

E-ISSN: 2663-1075 P-ISSN: 2663-1067 IJHFS 2019; 1(1): 04-06 Received: 04-11-2018 Accepted: 08-12-2018

Krishn Kumar Verma College of Agriculture, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

Kamlesh Ahirwar

Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Rajiv Kumar Singh

Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Uttam Kumar Tripathi Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chhatarpur,

Manoj Kumar Ahirwar Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Damoh, Madhya Pradesh, India

Madhya Pradesh, India

Correspondence Krishn Kumar Verma College of Agriculture, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

Knowledge of farmers towards causes for decline of orange planting in Amravati district

Krishn Kumar Verma, Kamlesh Ahirwar, Rajiv Kumar Singh, Uttam Kumar Tripathi and Manoj Kumar Ahirwar

Abstract

The present investigation entitled "knowledge of farmers towards reasons for decline of orange orchards in Amravati District" has planned and conducted with the general objectives to study the knowledge of orange growers and exploratory design of social research was used in the present study. In all, 150 farmers were selected by personally interviewing the respondents with the help of structural schedule. Collected data were carefully examined, classified and tabulated. Frequency, mean, standard deviation, correlation and multiple regression analysis have been summarized as below. Findings reveled that majority of the respondents were of young and old age group and most of the respondents were educated upto high school level. Near about half of the respondents were in medium land holding range between 4.01 to 10 hectares. Whereas, majority of the respondents had high family size. Nearly 88% of the respondents had medium size of orchard. Most of them had their annual income ranging from Rs. 1, 00,001 to Rs. 1, 50,000/- and were belonged to lower middle category of socio-economic status. However most of the respondents had low source of information and most of the respondents had well as the sources of irrigation and most of the respondents had high experience in orange cultivation. Findings revealed that majority of the respondents possessed medium level of knowledge about the recommended orange cultivation practices. The percent change in area under orange was 80.67 percent.

Keywords: Farmers towards causes, decline of orange planting

Introduction

Nagpur Mandarin orange is one of the most important fruits of Maharashtra state. The area production and yield per hectare of orange in Maharashtra during the year 2012-13 were 311.00 hectares (000), 2524 tones (000) and 9898.00 Kg/ha respectively. The important orange growing districts in Maharashtra are Nagpur, Amravati, Wardha, Yavatmal and Akola. In Amravati District orange cultivation covers an area of 48081.50 hectares with production of 336570.75 MT and productivity of 6.27 MT/ha during 2013-14. This shows that the average yield of orange in Amravati district is 8.0512 tones/ ha. Which is obviously l3ess that average yield of Maharashtra state (9.731 tones/ha) In spite of the high genetic potential in the crop and availability of latest technology the productivity of orange remained at 8.0512 tones/ha probably, it may be because of various production constraints like non availability of inputs and their exorbitant prices (Chikhale, 1993 and Bhople *et al*, 1996) ^[2, 1] lack of knowledge and skill (Gomase, 1997) ^[3] and irrigation constraints encountered by orange growers during use of various reasons for decline of orange cultivation.

Methodology

The study was conducted in purposively selected Achalpur Panchayat Samiti of Amravati district in Maharashtra state. The list of villages having cultivation of orange was obtained from the office of the panchayat samiti Achalpur. Out of these, 15 villages were purposively selected on the basis of large area under orange. A list of orange growers with orange orchards in bearing stage was prepared from each selected village in consultation with Gramsevak and Talathi of respective villages. From this list, 150 orange growers were selected for the study. The data were gathered through personal interview with the selected orange growers with the help of an interview schedules in the orange orchards. The independent variables were selected age, education, family size, landholding, annual income,

size of orchard, socio economic status, innovativeness, risk preference etc. The statistical tools namely mean, standard deviation, co-efficient, of correlation and co-efficient of regression were adapted to test the significantly of the results.

Result and Discussion

Distribution of the respondents according to their practice wise knowledge the knowledge of orange growers as per planning was studied and data depicted in Table 1 clearly shows that that respondents had knowledge about recommended percent of hormone for control of fruit dropping (48 percent), Major disease of orange (78 percent), Pest control measure (81.33 percent), Training seasons (81.33 percent), Lime concentration (82 percent), Disease control measure (82.67 percent), Pit depth (84.00 percent), Recommended training method (84.00 percent), Fertilizers recommendation (84.67 percent), Major pest of orange crop (84.67 percent), Pit filling material (84.67 percent), Interval for irrigation orchards (85.33 percent), Recommended hormone use for fruit dropping (85.33 percent), Selection of root stock(85.33 percent), Soil type 85.33 percent, Plantation method (86.67 percent), Economical year (87.33 percent), Intercrops (87.33 percent), recommended numbers of irrigation (87.33 percent), Planting space (88.00 percent), Recommended does for application of Bourdeux mixture (88.00 percent), Recommended does for application of Bourdeux mixture (88.00 percent), Recommended no. of fruit per plant (88.67 percent), Variety (88.67 percent), Applying Bourdeux mixture to trunk from ground level (89.33 percent), Propagation method 89.33 percent,

Plants/ha (90.67 percent). Recommended bahar treatment/year (90.67 percent), Recommended period for cultivation of intercrops 90.67 percent, Method of irrigation (91.33 percent), Planting seasons (91.33 percent), Pruning method 91.33 percent, Recommended month for Bourdeux mixture (91.33 percent), Recommended period for stopping irrigation prior to harvesting of fruit (91.33 percent), Recommended time for water stress 92.00 percent, Pruning season (92.67 percent), Flowering time of mrug bahar (94.00 percent). Recommended tillage operation at the time of fruiting stage (94.67percent), Flowering time ambia bahar (95.33 percent), Harvesting time of ambia bahar (96.00 percent), Harvesting time of mrug bahar (96.67 percent), Intercropping system (96.67 percent).

Thus, it can be concluded that the majority of the respondents had knowledge about maturity signs, soil type, average yield, protective irrigation, number of sparying and dusting, land preparation operation, preparatory village and intercropping system.

Beside investigation of practice wise knowledge the researcher has also made an attempt to categorize the respondents on the basis of extent of knowledge they possessed in respect of orange cultivation. Distribution of the respondents according to their knowledge distribution of the respondents according to their knowledge level (Table 2) revealed that most of the respondents (80.67 percent) had medium knowledge about recommended orange cultivation practices, followed by 10.00 percent of the respondents with low knowledge. However only 9.33 percent of the respondents belong to the category of high level knowledge.

Table 1: Distribution of the respondents according to their practice wise knowledge

Sr. No	Duration	Knowledge (N=150)	
	Practices		Percentage
1	Soil type (medium black)	128	85.33
2	Pit depth (1x1x1m)		84.00
3	Lime concentration (7 pH)	123	82.00
4	Planting seasons (monsoon)	137	91.33
5	Propagation method (grafting)	134	89.33
6	Selection of root stock (Jamberi and Rangpur)	128	85.33
7	Plantation method (square)	130	86.67
8	Planting space (6x6m)		88.00
9	Plants/ha (277)		90.67
10	Size of pits (1x1.5m)		88.00
11	Pit filling material (FYM 5kg + SSP 1/2kg/pit)	127	84.67
12	Variety (Kinnow, Nagpuri)	133	88.67
13	Fertilizers recommendation (1:1.25:1)	127	84.67
14	Recommended numbers of irrigation (22)	131	87.33
15	Method of irrigation (Furrow)	137	91.33
16	Intercropping system (Kharif- green gram, black gram, and soybean. Rabi- gram, wheat, tur)	145	96.67
17	Intercrops (vegetables viz, spinach, onion)	131	87.33
18	Recommended period for cultivation of intercrops $(2 - 3 \text{ years})$		90.67
19	Recommended training method (cutting)		84.00
20	Training seasons (June)		81.33
21	Pruning method (cutting)		91.33
22	Pruning season (June-oct)		92.67
23	Recommended bahar treatment/year (Ambia,mrug)	136	90.67
24	Recommended time for water stress (before harvesting)		92.00
25	Interval for irrigation orchards (50 days)		85.33
26	Recommended does for application of Bourdeux mixture (1:1:10)		88.00
27	Recommended month for Bourdeux mixyure (June- oct)		91.33
28	Applying Bourdeux mixture to trunk from ground level (1 to 1.5m)	134	89.33
29	Recommended tillage operation at the time of fruiting stage (harrowing)	142	94.67
30	Recommended hormone use for fruit dropping (NAA)	128	85.33

31	Recommended percent of hormone for control of fruit dropping (NAA 10ppm + 1 percent urea + per liter water)	72	48.00
32	Recommended no. of fruit per plant (500-700)	133	88.67
33	Recommended period for stopping irrigation prior to harvesting of fruit (50days)		91.33
34	Economical year(18 -25 years)	131	87.33
35	Major disease of orange (citrus canker, gummosis of citrus)	117	78.00
36	Disease control measure (Bordux mixture, ridomi 7 Mz)		82.67
37	Major pest of orange crop (citrus black hopper, citrus white fly)	127	84.67
38	Pest control measure (Monocrotophos)	122	81.33
39	Flowering time of mrug bahar (June-July)	141	94.00
40	Flowering time ambia bahar(Jan-Feb)	143	95.33
41	Harvesting time of mrug bahar (Feb-march)	145	96.67
42	Harvesting time of ambia bahar (Nov-Dec)	144	96.00

 Table 2: Distribution of respondents on the extent of their knowledge level.

S	Category	Respondents n= 150	
Sr.no.		Frequency	Percentage
1	Low	15	10.00
2	Medium	121	80.67
3	High	14	9.33
	Total	150	100

Conclusions

The orange growers were low knowledge about all practices as per plan prepared by them earlier. The important reasons reported by orange growers in use of various resources in accordance of plan were exorbitant prices and nonavailability fertilizers ignorance and lack of knowledge of soil testing, use of growth regulators and pests and disease and their control measures, non-availability of labour and irregular electric supply. In order to promote the efficient management and use of various reasons required for the decline of orange orchards. It is essential to the orange growers with requisite and judicious use of man, material and capital resources.

References

- 1. Bhople RS, Shinde PS, Nimje VR. Production and marketing constraints faced by orange growers. Maha. J Ext. Educ. 1996; 15:57-61.
- 2. Chikhale NJ. Constraints in adoption of recommended orange cultivation practices. M.Sc. (Agri) thesis (Unpub.), Dr. PDKV, Akola, 1993.
- 3. Gomase AS. Adoption behaviour of Kagzi lime (*Citrus aurantifoliai Swingle*) growers. M.Sc. (Agri) thesis (Unpub.), Dr. PDKV, Akola, 1997.
- 4. Kadam AL. Constraints in management of orange orchards faced by frmers, M.Sc. (Agri) thesis (Unpub.), Dr. PDKV, Akola. 1999.
- Ahire RD, Kulkarni RR, Lunabat AH. Correlates of Knowledge grape technology. Maha. J Extn. Educ. 1999; XVIII:193-194
- Bhople PP, Shinde PS, Bhople SR. Pattern of information management by orange grower. Maha. J Exten. Educ. 1998; 15:57-61.
- 7. Borkar MM, Chote GG, Lanjewar AD. Characteristics of farmers influencing their knowledge about use of biofertilizers. Maha.j. Ext. Educ. 2000; 19:130-131.
- Jadhav RM. Knowledge and adoption of orange rootstock by orange growers. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.) Dr. PDKV, Akola, 2006.
- 9. Jagdale V, Nimbalkar SD. Role of socio-personal economic and psychological characteristics of farmers on knowledge level about the improves dry land

technology. Maha. J Exten. Educ. 1993; 12:119-124.