



E-ISSN: 2663-1067
P-ISSN: 2663-1075
IJHFS 2020; 2(1): 01-09
Received: 01-11-2019
Accepted: 05-12-2019

Irfan Ullah

Department of Food Science and Technology, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Ali Muammad

Department of Food Science and Technology, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Naeem Ullah

Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, School of Public Health, Jilin University, Changchun, P.R. China

Usman Ali

Department of Agriculture, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University Sheringal Dir (U) Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Suliman Khan

Department of Food Science and Technology, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Imran Khan

Department of Food Science and Technology, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Corresponding Author:

Ali Muammad

Department of Food Science and Technology, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Effect of natural preservative (Ginger extract) on the over quality of carrot and kinnow blended jam

Irfan Ullah, Ali Muammad, Naeem Ullah, Usman Ali, Suliman Khan and Imran Khan

Abstract

The present study explores the effect of lemon and ginger extract on carrot and kinnow mixed jam during 90 days of storage. The treatments were KCG₀, KCG₁, KCG₂, KCG₃, KCG₄ and KCG₅ having carrot pulp and kinnow juice at ratio of (7:3), 750g sugar, 10ml lemon juice with variations in ginger extract in different levels. All the mixed carrot and kinnow jam samples were examined physico-chemically (pH, total soluble solids, titratable acidity, Vitamin-C, reducing and non-reducing sugar) and sensory attribute (colour, flavor, texture and overall acceptability). Statistical analysis revealed that treatment as well as storage had significant ($p < 0.05$) effect on physicochemical and sensory properties. Results showed that pH, vitamin-C, non-reducing sugar of the treated samples was decrease during storage. While TSS, acidity and reducing sugar increased were observed during storage. Generally it was observed from results that KCG₅ sample was more acceptable than carrot and kinnow mixed jam samples on the basis of physiochemically and sensory evaluation. Hence, mixed jam prepared from carrot and kinnow blends (KCG₅) is recommended for commercial use and for production on large scale.

Keywords: natural preservative, ginger extract, blended jam

Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L) contains a high amount of carbohydrates (sugars) and β -carotene (Vitamin A). In Pakistan about 11,000 hectares of area were covered with the cultivation of carrots which produced about 192000 metric tons every year (Anjum and Amjad 2002) [5]. Carrot have high moisture level from 86 to 89% (Gill and Kataria 1974) [17]. Carbohydrates and minerals are likely found in carrots which are in the form of Ca, p, Fe and Mg. It has been proved that carrots are fulfill with protein, minerals, crude fiber and carbohydrates (Gopalan *et al.*, 1991; Holland *et al.*, 1991). All over the world carrots are used is an important vegetable which is good for health. Carrot is flashy roots which are commonly used as salad in raw form also used in vegetables dishes in boiled or steamed form (Amjad *et al.*, 2005).

Kinnow Mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) is belong to citrus fruits which is one of the most important fruit in Pakistan cultivated absolutely in many places of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Punjab province. Kinnow have very attractive color with sweet taste and flavor. Punjab province is adjustable climate for the production of Kinnow mandarin which give 95% production of citrus fruit in Pakistan (Anon, 2008). In Pakistan 199.5 thousand hectares areas were covered with the cultivation of kinnow and produce 22943.3 thousand tons of kinnow in which Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, contribution is 30.7 metric tons (Agri. Stat. Pak., 2011-2012).

Kinnow have a burden of vitamins like vitamin A and vitamin C, carotenes, citric acid and pectin and minerals like calcium, iron and phosphorus (Mubeen Ahmed *et al.*, 2008). For the maintaining of collagen production the important nutrient which is very necessary is Vitamin C, collagen is a protein which is the main constituent which holds the body structure together, give support to the muscle, teeth, bones, skeletal system and also keep the skin smooth (Koop, 1989). Citrus fruit juice is composed of ascorbic acid content and mineral. Polyphenolic antioxidants, carotenoids and ascorbic acid is found in kinnow peel in a larg amount (Anwar *et al.*, 2008). The use of this fruit is vary in so many product which is very necessary for health like it is used in diet and ready to serve drink. Citrus drinks are used probably in all fruit drinks (Nchez *et al.*, 2003; Gorinstein *et al.*, 2004) [26, 16].

Mostly Kinnow as used fresh fruit all the time, due to its high nutritive value it must be preserved and also processed for different products like jellies, marmalades, for jam making and specially for ready to serve juice drinks (Hussain, 1997).

Lemon (*Citrus limon*) stands on the third position in citrus species because it is important after orange and kinnow. Lemon production is about 4.4 million tons (FAO, 2003) [12]. Italy, Spain, Greece and California are the most popular subtropical regions where lemons give more production (Codd *et al.*, 1972) [7]. The most uses of lemons are in teas, in fruit juices, as a flavoring agent and also used as an antioxidant for most food products (Codd *et al.*, 1972) [7]. To formulate a healthier product which is newly made like beef burger, bologna and dry cured sausage, due to high contact of dietary fiber the uses of lemons is so much.

Since 2000 years ginger was used in many foods as a spice. Ginger is used as an antioxidant because of its polyphenol compound in the roots and also contains gingerol and its derivatives, which have a high antioxidant activity (Herrmann, 1994). Water, Protein, CHO, Fibre and Ash are in the composition of raw ginger. Ginger gives various types of extract. Polyphenol compounds are present in CO₂ extract which show closest composition to roots. The use of ginger is so much beneficial for human health and its antioxidant characteristics are present in their application to commercial ginger preparation. Ginger extract has anti-tumor effects on certain cells which is infected with the special type of viruses called Epstein-Barr virus (Vimala *et al.*, 2000) and also has antioxidant effects which could lead to applications against many types of cancer.

Fruit which are more perishable are only available in season only and most of the fruit are surpluses in another part of the year and also in different regions. Perishable fruits wasted in the season due to lack of facilities like due to proper handling, distribution, marketing and storage. Perishable fruit in their season becomes scarce due to abundance in the market (Agarwal *et al.*, 2005) [3]. Modern storage facilities are less (30-40%) that's why fruit processing is very necessary. Due to spoilage more crop is destroyed (Singh *et al.*, 1994) [36].

Jam is a semi solid mixture formed when pure cooked with sugar fruit. Through boiling fruit pulp with relative amount of sugar makes the jam an intermediate moisture food. Other ingredients like pectin, acids, and many others like (preservative agent, flavoring agent and coloring materials) have a thicker consistency, and give enough firmness to the fruit tissues to hold it in a good position. The ended jam has a TSS (total soluble solids) up to 68% while the Codex Alimentarius Commission says that the TSS (total soluble solids) of the ended jam must be up to 65% (Baker *et al.*, 2005) the important product which has high amount of total solids like, jellies, jam and marmalade are processed and manufactured in the industries. Obviously single fruit jam is also prepared and jam is also formed from the combination of two different fruit mixtures (Manay *et al.*, 2005) [24].

The contribution of sugar in the final product weight is more than 40%. The sugar effect is the essential properties of the jam and also affects the stability of the final products. The sugar possibly makes the pectin gelatinization and gives good appearance to the final product body. The sugar acts as a dehydrating agent, and also permits the contact between the molecules and reduces the water activity up to 0.8, so the

chance of occurrence of spoilage organisms in the jam does not exist (Hyvonen and Torma, 1983) [20].

Citric acid is so much important for making of jam and jelly which is used in correct balance in the products. Lemon juice and lime are high amount of citric acid and is used in jam making instead of citric acid usage (Desrosier and Desrosier, 1978) [8].

Objectives

1. The present aim of research work is the preservation of carrot and kinnow Jam by ginger extract (natural preservative).
2. To analyze the organoleptic and physicochemical characteristics i.e. pH, TSS, sugar acid value, % acidity, total sugar (reducing, non-reducing) of the samples for 3 months storage, after 15 days of interval.

Methods and Materials

The research work was carried out in the laboratory of food science and technology department agriculture university Peshawar. All the products that were used in the research work are carrot, kinnow, lemon and ginger were purchased from the local market at Peshawar and were brought to the laboratory of food science and technology Department University of agriculture Peshawar.

Preparation of sample

The carrot were peeled and then heated in water in order to soften the pulp. The boiled carrot were put in the pulping machine to get the pulp. The kinnow were cut into two equal halves and the juice was extracted through juice extractor. The lemons were cut into two equal halves and the juice was extracted manually. Ginger was peeled, cut into small pieces, crushed and then its juice was extracted through juicer machine. For preparation of carrot, kinnow mixed jam the lemon extracts were mixed and kept on fire, TSS were continuously checked throughout with hand refractometer until its brix reaches to 65, for each treatment specific amount of ginger extract were used to study its preservation effect.

Proposed plan of the study

Carrot and kinnow blended jam were prepared with following different ratios.

Treatments	Carrot Pulp: Kinnow Juice	Sugar	Lemon juice	Ginger extract
T0 (control)	7:3	750 g	-----	-----
T1	7:3	750 g	10ml	5 ml
T2	7:3	750 g	10 ml	10 ml
T3	7:3	750 g	10 ml	15 ml
T4	7:3	750 g	10 ml	20 ml
T5	7:3	750 g	10 ml	25 ml

Packaging and storage of carrot kinnow blended jam

Carrot and kinnow blended jam were packed in sterilized 550 g glass jar. All the samples were analyzed for physicochemical and sensory analysis. The samples were analyzed after fifteen days of intervals for three months of storage.

Physicochemical analysis

Samples were analyzed for physicochemical properties i.e. pH, TSS, Acidity, Reducing sugar, Non-reducing sugar and

Ascorbic acid by the method of Association of Analytical Communities (AOAC) 2012 [2].

Hussain and Shakir (2010) [19] investigated slightly higher than present study.

Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation for taste, flavor, color and overall acceptability should be conducted by using nine hedonic scale of Larmond (1977). Sensory evaluation was carried out for total period of 3 months with 30 days of interval. All samples were presented to trained judges for comparison and for assigning score sample between one and nine (1-9), score 1 represent dislike extremely and 9 represent like extremely.

Result and Discussion

1. pH

Table-4.1 shows the effect of storage and treatments on pH of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p < 0.05$) effect on pH of blended Jam. Initially the pH reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 3.59, 3.59, 3.75, 3.64, 3.63 and 3.56 which were decreased to 3.33, 3.35, 3.5, 3.41, 3.44 and 3.41 respectively during storage. The mean value of pH significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 3.62 to 3.40 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of pH was recorded in sample CK₂ (3.63) followed by CK₄ (3.53) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₀ (3.46) followed by CK₁ (3.47). Maximum decrease in pH was recorded in sample CK₀ (7.24%) followed by CK₁ (6.69%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (4.21%) followed by CK₄ (5.23%).

During storage intervals the increase in percent acidity of fruits jam is due to formation of acidic compounds which results in decrease of pH values of the product (Sogi and Singh, 2001) [38]. The previous literature also supporting the present finding as they have concluded decrease in the pH values of fruit jam during storage. (Shakir *et al.*, 2007) [33]. Ehsan *et al.* (2002) [10] also investigated that pH value of watermelon jam decreased during storage interval. pH value of fruits is very important factor because it helps in the formation of optimum gel in jam preparation. In distinction, pH values of apricot and apple jam prepared and studied by

Table 4-1: pH

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	3.59	3.55	3.51	3.46	3.42	3.37	3.33	7.24	3.46d
KC1	3.59	3.56	3.52	3.48	3.44	3.4	3.35	6.69	3.47cd
KC2	3.75	3.71	3.68	3.63	3.59	3.55	3.5	6.67	3.63a
KC3	3.64	3.6	3.56	3.51	3.47	3.45	3.41	6.32	3.52b
KC4	3.63	3.6	3.56	3.54	3.5	3.46	3.44	5.23	3.53b
KC5	3.56	3.54	3.51	3.49	3.46	3.43	3.41	4.21	3.48c
Means	3.62a	3.59b	3.55c	3.51d	3.48e	3.44f	3.40g		

2. TSS

Table-4.2 shows the effect of storage and treatments on TSS of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p < 0.05$) effect on TSS of blended Jam. Initially the TSS reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 67.1, 67.9, 67.4, 67.2, 67.7 and 67.5 which were increased to 75.1, 75.1, 71.9, 69.8, 69.4 and 68.8 respectively during storage. The mean value of TSS significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 67.46 to 71.68 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of TSS was recorded in sample CK₁ (71.12) followed by CK₀ (71.08) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₅ (68.07) followed by CK₄ (68.32). Maximum increase in TSS was recorded in sample CK₀ (10.65%) followed by CK₁ (9.59%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (1.89%) followed by CK₄ (2.45%).

The increase in total soluble solids TSS might be due to hydrolysis of starch into simple sugar. Ehsan *et al.* (2002) [10] and Ehsan *et al.* (2003) [9] revealed increase in TSS values (70 to 70.8 °brix) in lemon jam and watermelon jam. The present findings are similar to Khan *et al.* (2012) [23] who observed increase from 66.5 to 68.8 °brix in total soluble solids TSS while his study on fruit jam. Similarly, Shakir *et al.* (2007) [33], also showed the same results who investigated an increases in total soluble solids TSS from (68.5-71.2 °brix) in pear apple mixed jam during 90 days of storage.

Table 4-2: TSS

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% increase	Means
	initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	67.1	68.3	69.7	71.1	72.5	73.8	75.1	10.65	71.08a
KC1	67.9	68.8	69.9	70.8	72	73.4	75.1	9.59	71.12a
KC2	67.4	68	68.7	69.4	70.3	71.1	71.9	6.26	69.54b
KC3	67.2	67.5	67.9	68.2	68.7	69.2	69.8	3.72	68.35c
KC4	67.7	67.8	68	68.1	68.4	68.9	69.4	2.45	68.32c
KC5	67.5	67.6	67.8	68	68.3	68.5	68.8	1.89	68.07c
Means	67.46e	68.00e	68.66de	69.26cd	70.03bc	70.81ab	71.68a		

3. Acidity

Table-4.3 shows the effect of storage and treatments on Acidity of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p < 0.05$) effect on Acidity of blended Jam. Initially the Acidity reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 0.62, 0.61, 0.62, 0.64, 0.66 and 0.65 which were increased to 1.09, 0.98, 0.83, 0.74, 0.75 and 0.71 respectively during storage. The mean value of Acidity significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 0.63 to 0.85 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of Acidity was recorded in sample CK₀ (0.84)

followed by CK₁ (0.77) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₅ (0.67) followed by CK₄ (0.69). Maximum increase in Acidity was recorded in sample CK₀ (43.12%) followed by CK₁ (37.76%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (8.45%) followed by CK₄ (12.00%).

The increase of acidity in fruit jam might be due to degradation of ascorbic acid (Vit-C) and hydrolysis of pectin. The increase in percent acidity of fruits jam may also be due to increase in total soluble solids TSS contents and breaking down of sugars of the samples (Sogi and Singh,

2001)^[38]. The present findings is supporting by Ehsan *et al.* (2002)^[10] who noticed an increase in percent acidity of jam throughout interval storage. Similarly Khan *et al.* (2012)^[23] observed increase in percent acidity in strawberry jam from 0.68 to 0.86 percent also Shakir *et al.* (2007)^[33] determined

increase in percent acidity from 0.60 to 0.78% during storage of fruit jam. The present findings are also supported by Anjum *et al.* (2000), who noticed an increase in acidity of apricot jam from 0.65-0.70% during whole storage interval.

Table 4-3: Acidity

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% Increase	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	0.62	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.90	0.99	1.09	43.12	0.84a
KC1	0.61	0.66	0.71	0.77	0.82	0.89	0.98	37.76	0.77ab
KC2	0.62	0.65	0.69	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.83	25.30	0.72bc
KC3	0.64	0.66	0.68	0.69	0.70	0.72	0.74	13.51	0.70c
KC4	0.66	0.67	0.68	0.70	0.72	0.74	0.75	12.00	0.69c
KC5	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.67	0.69	0.70	0.71	8.45	0.67c
Means	0.63e	0.663e	0.69cde	0.73cd	0.76bc	0.80ab	0.85a		

4. Vitamin-C

Table- 4.4 shows the effect of storage and treatments on Vitamin-C of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on Vitamin-C of blended Jam. Initially the Vitamin-C reading of samples (KC0 to KC5) was 4.67, 6.71, 6.61, 4.69, 6.29 and 5.48 which were decreased to 3.67, 6.01, 5.97, 4.4, 5.94 and 5.39 respectively during storage. The mean value of Vitamin-C significantly ($p<0.05$) increased from 5.74 to 5.23 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of Vitamin-C was recorded in sample CK1 (6.35) followed by CK2 (6.15) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK0 (4.20) followed by CK3 (4.55). Maximum increase in Vitamin-C

was recorded in sample CK0 (21.41%) followed by CK1 (10.43%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK5 (1.64%) followed by CK4 (5.56%).

Ascorbic acid (Vit-C) is the most important factor for the quality of the product. Veltman *et al.*, (2000)^[43] revealed that Ascorbic acid (Vit-C) is considerably affected due to the oxidation during processing and storage also the lost of Vitamin-C content is caused by the effect of light in the storage environment. The same findings in the decrease of Ascorbic acid (Vit-C) were observed by Jawaheer *et al.* (2003)^[22] during his experiment on fruit jam. Similarly Riaz *et al.*, (1999)^[29] also revealed that the Vitamin-C quantity of strawberry jam was significantly decreased from (18 mg/100g to 13 mg/100g) during whole storage interval.

Table 4-4: Vit-C

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	4.67	4.53	4.36	4.21	4.12	3.86	3.67	21.41	4.20e
KC1	6.71	6.59	6.44	6.31	6.27	6.13	6.01	10.43	6.35a
KC2	6.61	6.52	5.41	6.31	6.2	6.09	5.97	9.68	6.15ab
KC3	4.69	4.65	4.6	4.56	4.51	4.46	4.4	6.18	4.55d
KC4	6.29	6.24	6.18	6.13	6.07	6.01	5.94	5.56	6.12b
KC5	5.48	5.47	5.45	5.44	5.42	5.41	5.39	1.64	5.43c
Means	5.74a	5.66ab	5.40cd	5.49bc	5.43cd	5.32cd	5.23d		

5. Reducing Sugar

Table- 4.5 shows the effect of storage and treatments on reducing sugar of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on reducing sugar of blended Jam. Initially the reducing sugar reading of samples (KC0 to KC5) was 16.69, 16.17, 16.35, 16.12, 16.43 and 16.78 which were increased to 28.57, 21.99, 21.12, 19.73, 18.53 and 17.37 respectively during storage. The mean value of reducing sugar significantly ($p<0.05$) increased from 16.42 to 21.21 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of reducing sugar was recorded in sample CK0 (22.35) followed by CK1 (19.29) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK5 (17.06) followed by CK4 (17.44). Maximum increase in reducing sugar was recorded in

sample CK0 (41.58%) followed by CK1 (26.47%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK5 (3.40%) followed by CK4 (11.33%).

The increase in reducing sugar might be caused due to fluctuation in temperature and acidic condition which results in the conversion of sucrose to glucose and fructose (Singh *et al.*, 1999)^[35]. The similar findings were found of grape and apple marmalade by Ehsan *et al.* (2003)^[9] who revealed that reducing sugar value of product were increased from 16.55 to 31.36 throughout storage interval. This result was also justified by Riaz *et al.*, (1999)^[29], who observed a regular increase in reducing sugar content of strawberry jam during storage of the product. Similarly, the significant increase in reducing sugar content revealed in apricot jam (Anjum *et al.*, 2000).

Table 4-5: Reducing Sugar

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% Increase	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	16.69	18.21	20.1	22.23	24.17	26.54	28.57	41.58	22.35a
KC1	16.17	16.92	18.68	19.54	20.46	21.29	21.99	26.47	19.29b
KC2	16.35	17.12	18.01	18.94	19.68	20.31	21.12	22.59	18.79bc
KC3	16.12	16.66	17.19	17.74	18.48	19.05	19.73	18.30	17.85bcd
KC4	16.43	16.72	17.04	17.49	17.8	18.07	18.53	11.33	17.44cd
KC5	16.78	16.88	16.95	17.08	17.16	17.25	17.37	3.40	17.06d
Means	16.42d	17.08d	17.99cd	18.83bc	19.62abc	20.41ab	21.21a		

6. Non reducing sugar

Table-4.6 shows the effect of storage and treatments on Non reducing sugar of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on Non reducing sugar of blended Jam. Initially the Non-reducing sugar reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 47.3, 46.4, 47, 45.32, 45.6 and 46.9 which were decreased to 19.71, 22.64, 29.05, 35.34, 39.14 and 43.65 respectively during storage. The mean value of Non-reducing sugar significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased from 46.42 to 31.58 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of Non-reducing sugar was recorded in sample CK₅ (45.40) followed by CK₅ (42.13) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₀ (32.13) followed by CK₁ (34.37). Maximum decrease in Non-reducing sugar was recorded in sample CK₀ (58.33%) followed by CK₁

(51.21%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (6.93%) followed by CK₄ (14.17%).

Fluctuation in temperature and acidic condition occur with time which results in the conversion of sucrose to glucose and fructose and hence caused decrease in Non-reducing sugar (Singh *et al.*, 1999)^[35]. The present values of decrease in non-reducing sugar were justified by Shakir *et al.* (2007)^[33] who observed a significant decrease in sugar in mixed pear apple jam during storage interval. Ehsan *et al.*, (2003)^[9] revealed a decline in non-reducing sugar values while conducting physico-chemical analysis of grape and apple marmalade. The present study also shows similarity with the results of Riaz *et al.* (1999)^[29], who revealed a significant decrease non-reducing sugars of the strawberry jam from (44.64 to 32.35) throughout storage interval.

Table 4-6: Non Reducing Sugar

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	47.3	42.03	37.17	31.52	25.8	21.43	19.71	58.33	32.13c
KC1	46.4	42.43	38.85	33.74	30.02	26.51	22.64	51.21	34.37c
KC2	47	45.57	43.79	40.13	36.08	33.27	29.05	38.19	39.27b
KC3	45.32	43.52	41.92	40.25	38.96	36.73	35.34	22.02	40.29b
KC4	45.6	44.81	43.39	41.97	40.31	39.73	39.14	14.17	42.13ab
KC5	46.9	46.27	46.13	45.67	44.93	44.31	43.65	6.93	45.40a
Means	46.42a	44.10ab	41.87bc	38.88cd	36.01de	33.66ef	31.58f		

7. Color

Table- 4.7 shows the effect of storage and treatments on color of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on color of blended Jam. Initially the color reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 7.6, 7.6, 7.7, 8, 7.9 and 7.8 which were decreased to 4, 4.6, 5.9, 7, 7 and 7.1 respectively during storage. The mean value of color significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased from 7.76 to 5.93 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of color was recorded in sample CK₅ (7.45) followed by CK₄ (7.42) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₀ (5.87) followed by CK₁ (6.15). Maximum decrease in color was

recorded in sample CK₀ (47.37%) followed by CK₁ (39.47%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (8.97%) followed by CK₄ (11.39%).

Color of a food product considered a key parameters concerning consumer's opinion. Decline in color might be due to enzymatic browning and degradation of ascorbic acid. The current findings are similar with Ehsan *et al.* (2003)^[9] who observed a decrease in grape apple marmalade color from 7.8 to 6.8 during storage. Similarly, during storage the color of product was significantly declined (Gimenez *et al.*, 2001)^[15] also Khan *et al.* (2012)^[23] revealed that mean color in strawberry jam decreased from 9.00 to 7.00 during storage.

Table 4-7: Color

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	7.6	6.8	6	6.3	5.6	4.8	4	47.37	5.87c
KC1	7.6	7.1	6.7	6.2	5.7	5.2	4.6	39.47	6.15c
KC2	7.7	7.3	7	6.6	6.4	6.1	5.9	23.38	6.71b
KC3	8	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.1	7	12.50	7.42a
KC4	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.2	7	11.39	7.42a
KC5	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.1	8.97	7.45a
Means	7.76a	7.38ab	7.06bc	6.90bc	6.58cd	6.26de	5.93e		

8. Flavor

Table-4.8 shows the effect of storage and treatments on flavor of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on flavor of blended Jam. Initially the flavor reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 7.4, 7.4, 8.1, 8.3, 8 and 8.5 which were decreased to 2.4, 3.6, 6.5, 7, 7 and 7.6 respectively during storage. The mean value of flavor significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased from 7.95 to 5.68 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of flavor was recorded in sample CK₅ (8.08) followed by CK₄ (7.87) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₀ (4.82)

followed by CK₁ (5.61). Maximum decrease in flavor was recorded in sample CK₀ (67.57%) followed by CK₁ (51.35%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (10.59%) followed by CK₄ (12.50%).

During storage decline in flavor might be due to in decrease of pH values of the product or due to fluctuation in acids (Rathore *et al.*, 2007) [30]. The present results are similar with Ehsan *et al.* (2002) [10] who observed a decrease in flavor of watermelon and lemon mixed Jam form during whole period of five months. Similarly a decline in apple jam flavor from 8.60 to 5.90 was observed throughout 90 days of storage.

Table 4-8: Flavor

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	7.4	6.6	5.6	4.7	3.6	3.5	2.4	67.57	4.82d
KC1	7.4	6.9	6.3	5.7	5	4.4	3.6	51.35	5.61c
KC2	8.1	7.9	7.7	7	6.8	6.7	6.5	19.75	7.24b
KC3	8.3	8	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.2	7	15.66	7.60ab
KC4	8	8.7	8.4	8	7.7	7.3	7	12.50	7.87ab
KC5	8.5	8.4	8.2	8.1	8	7.8	7.6	10.59	8.08a
Means	7.95a	7.75a	7.31ab	6.85bc	6.41c	6.15cd	5.68d		

9. Texture

Table- 4.9 shows the effect of storage and treatments on texture of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on texture of blended Jam. Initially the texture reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 7.9, 7.5, 7.8, 7.5, 7.7 and 7.9 which were decreased to 5, 5.3, 6, 5.8, 7 and 7.2 respectively during storage. The mean value of texture significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased from 7.71 to 6.05 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of texture was recorded in sample CK₅ (7.57) followed by CK₄ (7.34) and minimum mean value was observed in sample CK₀ (6.57)

followed by CK₁ (6.40). Maximum decrease in texture was recorded in sample CK₀ (36.71%) followed by CK₁ (29.33%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (8.86%) followed by CK₄ (9.09%).

The present findings are parallel with Muhammad *et al.* (2009) [25] who observed decrease in texture of apple jam from 9.00 to 6.70. Similarly a gradual decrease in texture properties was revealed by Suutarinen *et al.* (2000) [40] in strawberry jam. However these values are found to be slightly different from Ehsan *et al.* (2003) [9] who revealed a texture decline in grape and apple marmalade during the entire period of storage.

Table 4-9: Texture

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	7.9	7.5	7.1	6.7	6.2	5.6	5	36.71	6.57c
KC1	7.5	7.2	6.8	6.4	6	5.6	5.3	29.33	6.40c
KC2	7.8	7.6	7.3	7	6.7	6.3	6	23.08	6.95b
KC3	7.5	7.2	6.9	6.6	6.4	6.1	5.8	22.67	6.64bc
KC4	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.1	7	9.09	7.34a
KC5	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.2	8.86	7.57a
Means	7.71a	7.48ab	7.21bc	6.93cd	6.66de	6.33ef	6.05f		

10. Over all acceptability

Table-4.10 shows the effect of storage and treatments on over all acceptability of value added carrot, and kinnow blended Jam. Statistically both storage and treatments had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on over all acceptability of blended Jam. Initially the overall acceptability reading of samples (KC₀ to KC₅) was 7, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6, 7.6 and 7.7 which were decreased to 2.5, 2.9, 3.5, 4.8, 5.7 and 6.7 respectively during storage. The mean value of overall acceptability significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased from 7.41 to 4.35 during storage. For treatments maximum mean value of overall acceptability was recorded in sample CK₅ (7.24) followed by CK₅ and CK₂ (6.64) and minimum mean value was

observed in sample CK₀ (4.61) followed by CK₁ (5.08). Maximum decrease in overall acceptability was recorded in sample CK₀ (64.29%) followed by CK₁ (59.72%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (12.99%) followed by CK₄ (25.00%).

The overall acceptability was also declined in mixed lemon and watermelon jam Ehsan *et al.* (2002) [10]. The present findings are also supporting by Khan *et al.* (2012) [23] who revealed decreased in overall acceptability from (9.00 to 7.00) in fruit jam. Similarly a decrease in overall acceptability of apple and grape marmalade from 8.8 to 7.96 was examined during the whole storage interval (Ehsan *et al.*, 2003) [9].

Table 4-10: Over All Acceptability

Treatment	Storage Intervals							% decrease	Means
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
KC0	7	6.2	5.4	4.5	3.7	3	2.5	64.29	4.61d
KC1	7.2	6.5	5.8	5.2	4.4	3.6	2.9	59.72	5.08cd
KC2	7.4	6.8	6.1	5.5	5	4.3	3.5	52.70	6.64b
KC3	7.6	7.2	6.8	6.3	5.7	5.2	4.8	36.84	6.22b
KC4	7.6	7.3	7	6.6	6.3	6	5.7	25.00	6.64b
KC5	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.3	7.1	6.9	6.7	12.99	7.24a
Means	7.41a	6.93ab	6.41bc	5.90cd	5.36de	4.83ef	4.35f		

Summary

The present research work was done to examine the effect of natural preservative (ginger extract) on the over quality of carrot and kinnow blended jam. Carrot juice contains β -carotenoids which is helpful in reducing the risk of skin and breast cancer. Kinnow is rich source of vitamin-C which is helpful in collagen production also necessary for maintaining smooth skin, keep teeth strong and helps in muscle repairing. Lemon is rich source of vital oils and used by flavouring industry since ancient times. Ginger extracts having polyphenol compounds (gingerol and its derivatives), having high antioxidant property. The pungent smell of ginger is due to Zingerone. It acts as anti-emetic, anti-ulcer, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-platelet, cardio-protective and anti-cancer properties also prevent nausea and vomiting in post-operative patients. For preparation of carrot, kinnow mixed jam the lemon extracts were mixed with Carrot and kinnow extracts and were kept on fire, the TSS were continuously checked with hand refractometer until it's brix reached to 65, Ginger extract were used in specific amounts for each treatment to study its preservation effect.

The pH of carrot, kinnow mixed jam significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 3.62 to 3.40 during storage, throughout storage maximum decrease in pH was recorded in sample CK₀ (7.24%) followed by CK₁ (6.69%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (4.21%) followed by CK₄ (5.23%). The mean TSS values were significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 67.46 to 71.68 during storage. Maximum increase in TSS was recorded in sample CK₀ (10.65%) followed by CK₁ (9.59%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (1.89%) followed by CK₄ (2.45%). The mean value of Acidity significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 0.63 to 0.85 during storage. Maximum increase in Acidity was recorded in sample CK₀ (43.12%) followed by CK₁ (37.76%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (8.45%) followed by CK₄ (12.00%). The Vitamin-C mean value were significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 5.74 to 5.23 during storage. Maximum increase in Vitamin-C was recorded in sample CK₀ (21.41%) followed by CK₁ (10.43%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (1.64%) followed by CK₄ (5.56%). The mean value of reducing sugar significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased from 16.42 to 21.21 during storage. Maximum increase in reducing sugar was recorded in sample CK₀ (41.58%) followed by CK₁ (26.47%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (3.40%) followed by CK₄ (11.33%). The mean value of Non-reducing sugar significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 46.42 to 31.58 during storage. Maximum decrease in Non-reducing sugar was recorded in sample CK₀ (58.33%) followed by CK₁ (51.21%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (6.93%) followed by CK₄

(14.17%).

The color mean value were significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 7.95 to 5.68 during storage. Maximum decrease in color was recorded in sample CK₀ (67.57%) followed by CK₁ (51.35%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (10.59%) followed by CK₄ (12.50%). The mean value of flavor significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 7.95 to 5.68 during storage. Maximum decrease in flavor was recorded in sample CK₀ (68.57%) followed by CK₁ (51.35%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (10.59%) followed by CK₄ (12.50%). The texture mean value were significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 7.71 to 6.05 during storage. Maximum decrease in texture was recorded in sample CK₀ (36.71%) followed by CK₁ (29.33%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (8.86%) followed by CK₄ (9.09%). The mean value of overall acceptability significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased from 7.41 to 4.35 during storage. Maximum decrease in overall acceptability was recorded in sample CK₀ (64.29%) followed by CK₁ (59.72%) and minimum increase was observed in sample CK₅ (12.99%) followed by CK₄ (25.00%).

Results showed that the treatment KC₅ was found most acceptable physiochemically and organoleptically. Hence, KC₅ RTS is recommended for commercial use and for large scale production at industrial level.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Carrot and kinnow mixed jam was prepared in the present research, Study concluded that the ginger can be effectively used in different proportions as natural antioxidant as an alternative source of chemical preservatives. Carrot and kinnow mixed jam was stored for three months. The products were studied for physico-chemical and sensory evaluations at interval of 15 days. On the basis of result obtained it is concluded that treatments KC₅ was the best treatment with having best keeping quality during storage. Some changes were experienced physiochemically but it did not influence the product considerably also the sensory parameters decrease slightly but remains in acceptable range during storage period.

Recommendations

1. Further research work can be done on various proportions of ginger
2. Same proportions of ginger extract can also be used in other fruits jam
3. Other natural anti-oxidant can also be used in carrot and kinnow mixed jam

References

1. Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan. Government of Pakistan ministry of national food security and research

- (Economic Wing) Islamabad, 2011-12.
2. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. AOAC international 19thEd, Gaithersburg, Maryland. USA. 2012; 2:20877-2417.
 3. Agarwal G, Mangaraj S. Studies on physicochemical changes in selected fruits during storage. Beverage and food World. 2005; 32(11):72-75.
 4. Alhoti S, Sidhu JS, Alamiri H, Alotaibi J, Qabazard H. Quality and acceptability of processed products from date fruits cultivars grown in the United Arab Emirates. Arab Gulf J Sci. Res. 1996; 14(2):383-402.
 5. Anjum MA, Amjad M. Influence of mother root size and plant spacing on carrot seed production. J Res. Sci. 2002; 13(2):105-112.
 6. Barker RA, Berry N, Hui YH, Barrett DM. Food Preserves and jams. Second. CRC press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2001.
 7. Codd L, Dijkhoff K, Fearon J, Van Oss C, Roeberson H, Stanford E. Chemical Technology: An Encyclopedia Treatment. New York: Barnes & Noble Books, 1972, 5-7.
 8. Desrosier NW, Desrosier JN. The technology of food preservation. Fourth edition. AVI publishing Co. Inc. Westport, Connecticut. E. James Bradford, Ph.D. Executive Director June 29, 1978, 2010.
 9. Ehsan EB, Naeem ZP, Javid A, Nazir A. Development, standardization and storage studies on grape fruit apple marmalade. PAK. J Food Sci. 2003; 13(4):11-15.
 10. Ehsan EB, Naeem ZP, Ghafoor A, Bahtti MS. Development, standardization and storage studies on watermelon lemon jam. Pak. J Food Sci. 2002; 12(3-4):21-24.
 11. Endrees H, Mattes F, Norz K. Handbook of food Sci Tech, and Engg: CRC press, 2005, 3.
 12. FAO. Estadística, 2003. www.fao.org
 13. Ferna J, Sayas E, Sendra E, Peirez JA, Kuri V. Characteristics of beef burger as influenced by various types of lemon albedo. J Innovat Food Sci Emerg Tech. 2005; 6(2):247-255.
 14. Garcia Marti'nez E, Ruiz-Diaz G, Marti'nez-Monzo J, Camacho MM, Marti'nez-Navarrete N, Chiralt A. Jam manufacture with osmodehydrated fruit. Food Res. Int. 2002; 35(1):301-306.
 15. Gimenez J, Kajda P, Margomenou L, Piggott JR, Zabetakis I. A study on the colour and sensory attributes of high-hydrostatic-pressure jams as compared with traditional jams. J Sci. Food and Agric. 2001; 81:1228-1234.
 16. Gorinstein S, Leontowicz H, Leontowicz M, Krzeminski R, Gralak M, Martin-belloso E *et al.* Trakhtenbergr. Fresh Israeli Jaffa Blond (Shamouti) Orange and Israeli Jaffa Red Star Ruby (Sunrise) Grapefruit Juices affect plasma lipid metabolism and antioxidant capacity in rats fed with added cholesterol. J Agric. Food Chem. E. 2004; 52:4853-485.
 17. Gill HS, Kataria AS. Some biochemical studies in European and Asiatic varieties of carrot. J Curr Sci. 1974; 43:184-185.
 18. Habiba RA, Mehaia MA. Improving Carrot Jam Characteristics and Its Nutritional Value by Using Date Paste Instead of Sugar. J of Agr. And Vet. Sci. Qassim Uni. 2008; 1(1):13-18.
 19. Hussain I, Shakir I. Chemical and organoleptic characteristics of jam prepared from indigenous varieties of apricot and apple. World J Dairy and Food Sci. 2010; 5(1):73-78.
 20. Hyvonen L, Torma R. Examination of sugars, sugar alcohols, and artificial sweeteners substitutes for sucrose in strawberry jam. Product development. J Food Sci. 1983; 48(192):183-185.
 21. James S, Usman MA, Ojo S, Ohouba EU, Lillian N, Sunni HO *et al.* Quality Evaluation and Consumer Acceptability of Mixed Fruit Jam from Blends of Pineapple (*Ananas sativa* Lindl.), Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) and Pawpaw (*Carica papaya*). Brit. J of Appl. Sci. and tech. 2016; 12(4):1-8.
 22. Jawaheer B, Goburdhun D, Ruggoo A. Effect of processing and storage of guava into jam and juice on the ascorbic acid content. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition. 2003; 58:1-12.
 23. Khan RU, Afridi SR, Ilyas M, Sohail M, Abid H. Development of strawberry jam and its quality evaluation during storage. Pak. J Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2012; 45(1):23-25.
 24. Manay SN, Shadaksharaswamy N. Foods, Facts and principles New Age International (P) Limited, New Delhi: 197, 2005.
 25. Muhammad A, Durrani Y, Ayub M, Zeb A, Ullah J. Organoleptic evaluation of diet jam from apple grown in Swat valley. Sarhad J Agric. 2009; 25(1):81-86.
 26. Nchez MC, Plaza L, Adeancos B, Pilarcano M. Vitamin C, Provitamin A, Carotenoids and Other Carotenoids in High-Pressurized Orange Juice during Refrigerated Storage. J Agric. Food Chem. 2003; 51:647-653.
 27. PATEL NV, Naik AG. Studies on standardization of pulp proportion for banana-pineapple blended jam during storage. Internat. J Proc. & Post Harvest Technol. 2013; 4(2):63-69.
 28. Rahman M, Markad ML, Kulkarni TS, Meghdambar PV. Sensory Attributes of the Mixed Fruit Jam made from Aloe Vera, Pineapple and Mango. Int. J. of Sci. and Res. 2015; 4(10):408-412.
 29. Riaz MN, Mohyuddin G, Al-Haq MI. Physical, chemical and sensory characteristics of jams made from fresh and frozen strawberries. Pakistan J Arid Agric. 1999; 2(1):51-60.
 30. Rathore HA, Masud T, Sammi S, Soomro AH. Effect of storage on physico-chemical composition and sensory properties of mango variety Dosehari. Pak. J Nutr. 2007; 6:143-148.
 31. Rodge BM, Yadlod SS. Evaluation of papaya varieties for jam making. Asian J of Hort. 2009; 4(2):267-270.
 32. Shakir II, Hussain A Zeb, Durrani Y. Sensory evaluation and Microbial Analysis of Apple and Pear mixed Fruit prepared jam from Varieties Grown in Azad Jammu and Kashmir. World J Dairy & Food Sci. 2009; 4(2):201-204.
 33. Shakir IY, Durrani I, Hussain IM, Qazi, Zeb A. Physicochemical Analysis of Apple and Pear mixed fruit jam prepared from Varieties Grown in Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Internet J Food Safety. 2007; 9(1):22-24.
 34. Sharma DS. Quality evaluation and storage stability of jamun mango blended jam. The bioscan. 2014; 9(3):953-957.
 35. Singh S, Shivhare US, Ahmed J, Raghavan GSV. Osmotic concentration kinetics and quality of carrot preserve. J Food. Res. Int. 1999; 32:509-514.
 36. Singh C, Poonia GS, Toor MS. Distribution pattern of

- fruit processing industry in Punjab. Indian Food Packers. 1994; 8(1):47.
37. Souad AM, Jamal P, Olorunnisola KS. Effective jam preparations from watermelon waste. *Int. Food Res. J.* 2012; 19(4):1545-1549
 38. Sogi DS, Singh S. Studies on bitterness development in Kinnow juice, ready-to-serve beverage, squash, jam and candy. *J Food Sci and Technol.* 2001; 38(5):433-438.
 39. Spayed SE, Moris JR. Use of immature fruit in strawberry jam. *J.A. Kranasas Farm Res.* 1981; 30(5):14.
 40. Suutarinen J, Honkapaa K, Heinio RL, Autio K, Morkkila M. The effect of different prefreezing treatments on the structure of strawberries before and after jam making. *Lebensmittel Wissenschaft Technologie. Food Sci. Tech.* 2000; 33(3):188-201.
 41. Take AM, Patil MM. Studies on preparation of guava jam blended with sapota. *Asian J of Home Sci.* 2012; 7:441-446
 42. Vikram B, Bihari M, Narayan S, Prasad VM. Effect of dried powder of herbals addition on aonla jam with granulated particles of coconut. *Int. J Proc. & Post Harvest Technol.* 2012; 3(2):188-193.
 43. Veltman RH, Kho RM, Van ACR, Sanders MG, Oosterhaven J. Ascorbic acid and tissue browning in pears under controlled atmosphere conditions. *Post Harvest Biol. Tech.* 2000; 19(2):129-137.
 44. Zafrilla P, Valero A, Garcia V. Stabilization of strawberry jams color with natural colorants. *J Food Sci. Tech.* 1998; 4(2):99-105.