



International Journal of Horticulture and Food Science

E-ISSN: 2663-1067

P-ISSN: 2663-1075

NAAS Rating (2025): 4.74

www.hortijournal.com

IJHFS 2025; 7(12): 108-111

Received: 08-09-2025

Accepted: 14-10-2025

Suraj Mal Jat

Department of Horticulture,
Bundelkhand University,
Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Harpal Singh

Assistant Professor,
Department of Horticulture,
Bundelkhand University,
Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ramesh Chand Yadav

Department of Soil Science,
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central
Agricultural University,
Samastipur, Bihar, India

Urmila Poonia

Department of Horticulture,
Mahatma Jyoti Rao Phoole
University, Jaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Corresponding Author:

Suraj Mal Jat

Department of Horticulture,
Bundelkhand University,
Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of organic manures and bio-fertilizer on growth of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] Cv. kashi Chaman

Suraj Mal Jat, Harpal Singh, Ramesh Chand Yadav, and Urmila Poonia

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/>

Abstract

Organic manures and bio-fertilizer are essential for sustained agricultural production. FYM, Vermicompost, poultry manure, and bio-fertilizer can support sustainable agricultural production. The present investigation entitled "Effect of organic manures and bio-fertilizer on growth of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] Cv. Kashi chaman" was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2024 at the Organic research farm, Karguan ji, Department of Horticulture, Bundhelkhand University, Jhansi (U.P.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with seven organic treatments viz., T₁ (Control), T₂ (Farmyard manure @ 20 t/ha), T₃ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha), T₄ (Poultry manure @ 3.5 t/ha), T₅ (Farmyard manure @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter), T₆ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter), T₇ (Poultry manure @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter). These treatments were replicated thrice. The growth attributes were significantly affected due to the different organic manures and bio-fertilizer treatments. Application of organic manures and bio-fertilizer i.e. T₆ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter), recorded significantly higher plant height (26.33 cm, 57.33 cm and 101.33 cm), number of branches (3.70 and 4.77), number of leaves (12.67, 30.00 and 43.00), stem diameter (1.35 cm, 2.56 cm and 3.36 cm).

Keywords: Organic manures, biofertilizer, growth, okra

Introduction

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is a valuable vegetable widely known as lady's finger and locally known as bhindi in India, with chromosomal number 2n=130 and endemic to tropical and subtropical Africa. It is prized for its tender fruits. Okra, a member of the Malvaceae family, is a major kharif and summer vegetable crop. Okra is an excellent source of carbohydrates, fiber, proteins, and vitamins (Adeboye and Oputa, 1996)^[1].

Okra is an excellent source of iodine and can help with goiter treatment. Fruits can also be dried or stored for use during the off-season. Dried fruit contains 13-22% edible oil and 20-24% protein, and is used to refine edible oil. Dry fruit skin and fibers are utilized in the production of paper, cardboard, and textiles. Okra is a versatile crop known for its soft and tasty pods. This food is high in protein, riboflavin, niacin, phosphorus, potassium, zinc, and copper, as well as dietary fiber, vitamins A, C, K, thiamin, vitamin B6, folate, calcium, magnesium, and manganese. It is low in calories and fat, making it a valuable addition to the diet of people in developing countries with nutritional imbalances (Kumar and Sreeparvathy, 2010)^[4].

The production of okra takes approximately 90 to 100 days, and it thrives in a warm and humid environment. India is the largest producer of okra in the world. The country cultivates okra on 0.55 million hectares, yielding an annual production of 7.3 million tonnes and achieving a productivity rate of 13.12 metric tonnes per hectare (NHB, 2023-24).

The leading okra-producing states include Gujarat, followed by West Bengal, Bihar.

Effect of Organic Manures and Biofertilizer on Growth of Okra

Organic inputs such as farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost, and chicken manure are gaining popularity globally. With the growing population, there is an increasing challenge in managing and disposing of farm waste. Therefore, effective technologies are necessary to address this issue. Organic manure serves as a natural, cost-effective, and time-efficient solution for waste management.

Farmyard manure (FYM) is a mixture of bovine dung, urine, straw, litter, and fodder residues. It contains approximately 0.5% nitrogen (N), 0.25% phosphorus (P), and 0.5% potassium (K). Essentially made from cow dung, cow urine, waste straw, and other dairy wastes, farmyard manure is high in nutrients. Among its many qualities, it is quite helpful. By binding soil aggregates, the organic manure FYM enhances soil texture in addition to giving plants nutrients. In addition to enhancing the soil's microbial population and fertilizer usage efficiency, organic manure boosts the soil's cation exchange capacity, water retention capacity, and phosphate availability while lowering nitrogen losses from the gradual release of nutrients (Tadesse *et al.*, 2013) [9].

Vermicomposting is an environmentally friendly technique that utilizes earthworms to recycle organic waste. This process not only enhances the density of microorganisms but also produces worm casts, which provide essential nutrients for plants. Worm casts contain compounds such as gibberellins, cytokinins, and naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), all of which promote plant growth. Vermicomposting improves soil quality by increasing the amount of humic acid, enhancing cation exchange capacity, and boosting water-soluble carbohydrates, while also lowering the carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio. The average composition of vermicompost includes 0.9% to 1.5% nitrogen (N), 0.3% to 0.64% phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅), 0.54% to 1.72% potassium oxide (K₂O), along with copper (Cu) concentrations ranging from 2.00 to 37.7 parts per million (ppm), zinc (Zn) from 5.7 to 120 ppm, and iron (Fe) from 10.0 to 161.0 ppm. Vermicompost is produced through the interaction of earthworms and microorganisms during the non-thermophilic biodegradation of organic matter (Sallaku *et al.*, 2009) [8].

Poultry manure is an excellent alternative to chemical fertilizers and can significantly enhance soil quality. According to Boateng *et al.*, the application of poultry manure increased nitrogen (N) levels in the soil by more than 53%, raising the content from 0.09% to 0.14%. Additionally, the levels of exchangeable cations also improved.

Bio-fertilizers promote plant growth and help maintain soil fertility. These fertilizers are made up of microorganisms that fix nitrogen, mobilize phosphorus, and break down cellulose, all of which enhance the availability of nutrients in the soil and support agricultural production. The use of bio-fertilizers improves mineral and water absorption, boosts root development, encourages vegetative growth, and facilitates nitrogen fixation (Bhagyaraj and Suvana, 1999) [2].

Materials and Methods: The field experiment was conducted at the Organic research farm, Karguan ji, Department of Horticulture, Bundhelkhand University, Jhansi (U.P.) in *kharif* season 2024. Geographically, Jhansi is one of the districts of Uttar Pradesh. The location is at 78° 36'59" E longitude and 25° 27'03.4" N latitude, with an average elevation of 285 meters above mean sea level between the rivers Pahuj and Betwa. This region is within agro-climatic zone VI (Bundelkhand zone).

The climatic conditions prevailing during the course of investigation are presented in Table 1 and soil characteristics in Table 2. The maximum and minimum temperature range between 37.5 °C and 17.3 °C respectively were recorded during crop season. The maximum and minimum relative humidity were 94% and 40% recorded during course of crop period while the total precipitation occur was 767.8 mm.

Table 1: Meteorological data during experimental period *kharif* season 2024-25

Months	Temperature (°C)		RH (%)		Rainfall (mm)
	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	
July	37.5	26.2	91	65	51.8
Augyst	35.2	24.6	92	52	65.05
Sep.	35.1	23.6	94	40	74.95
Oct.	35.5	17.3	90	40	0.6

Suraj Mal Jat *et al.*

Table 2: Physical and Chemical analysis of soil at organic research farm (Karguan Ji, Jhansi)

S. No.	Particulars	Value	Method used
1.	Fine sand (%)	40.0	Hydrometer Method
2.	Silt (%)	35.0	Hydrometer Method
3.	Clay (%)	22.2	Hydrometer Method
4.	Texture class	Sandy loam	International pipette Method
5.	Soil pH	6.9	Beckman's pH meter
6.	Organic carbon (%)	0.5	Black's Method
7.	Avilable N (kg/ha.)	163.46	Alkaline permanganate method
8.	Avilable P (kg/ha.)	6.22	Calorimeter method
9.	Avilable K (kg/ha.)	196.14	Flame Photometer method
10.	Water pH	7.2	Using pH meter
11.	EC (ds/m) At 25 °C	2.5	Conductance Method

Experimental Design and Layout

The experiment followed a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The treatment was randomized using Fisher's (1950).

1. **Design :** R.B.D
2. **Replication :** 03

3. **Treatments :** 07
4. **Total no. of plot :** 21
5. **Cropping season :** Kharif (2024)
6. **Crop :** Okra
7. **Variety :** Kashi Chaman
8. **Spacing :** 60×30cm² (R×P)

9. Plot size : 1.5×2.40m² (L×W)

10. Date of sowing : 10/08/2024

Table 3: Treatment details

S. No.	Notation	Treatment combination
1.	T ₁	(Control)
2.	T ₂	(FYM @ 20 t/ha)
3.	T ₃	(Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha)
4.	T ₄	(PM @ 3.5 t/ha)
5.	T ₅	(FYM @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter)
6.	T ₆	(VC @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter)
7.	T ₇	(PM @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter)

Before sowing, seeds were treated with Azotobacter. Bio-fertilizer solutions were developed. To make a sticky paste, add 5 g of jaggery to 50 ml of warm water. Seeds were treated for half an hour, dried in the shade for 30 minutes, and immediately planted in the experimental plot.

Seed sowing

The seeds of cv. 'Kashi Chaman' were sown on August 10, 2024, according to the layout design. Initially, two seeds were sown in each hill, and after germination, just one plant per hill remained. The distances between rows and plants were fixed at 60 cm and 30 cm, respectively. Thus, each plot could contain 20 plants. Watering, hoeing, weeding, and plant protection methods were used on a regular basis to ensure a healthy yield.

Irrigation

For establishment of the crop, a light irrigation was given immediately after sowing. Subsequent irrigations were given as per the crop requirement.

Thinning, weeding and hoeing

To maintain the proper plant population, thinning was done 25 days after sowing, leaving only one plant per hill. Hoeing and weeding were done twice, at 20 and 55 days after sowing.

Plant protection measures

Although no significant pest or disease attacks were seen during crop growth, sticky traps were kept to monitor sucking pests. Pheromone traps were put out to track the

occurrence of fruit borers. To handle the whitefly and jassids, 5 ml of neem oil per liter was sprayed.

Harvesting

Harvesting was done in the morning so that hairs on the fruit could be easily removed. Fruits were gathered by bending the pedicel with a light jerk. The fresh green pods were taken from the plant when they reached a length of 8-10 cm.

Results and Discussion

Plant height (cm)

Five plants were randomly selected from each plot and permanently marked. The height of each tagged plant was measured at 30, 60, and 90 DAS from the base of the plant to the tip of the main shoot with the help of a scale, and the average height of five plants was recorded as the mean plant height.

Effect of Organic Manures and Biofertilizer on Growth of Okra

Table 4: Effect of the different organic manures and bio-fertilizer on plant height (cm) of okra at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Treatments(T)	Plant height (cm)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁ (Control)	19.00	47.00	87.67
T ₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha)	22.00	51.67	92.00
T ₃ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha)	25.00	55.67	97.67
T ₄ (Poultry manure @ 3.5 t/ha)	20.00	49.00	90.33
T ₅ (FYM @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter)	24.00	53.67	95.00
T ₆ (VC @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter)	26.33	57.33	101.33
T ₇ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter)	21.67	50.67	90.00
C.D. at 5%	1.512	2.54	2.027
SE(m)	0.485	0.82	0.651

The maximum plant height (26.33 cm, 57.33 cm and 101.33 cm respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was recorded in T₆ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter), while it was lowest (19.00 cm, 47.00 cm and 87.67 cm) in T₁ (Control).

Number of branches per plant

The total number of branches arising from the plant's main stem was counted in each of the five randomly selected plants at 30, 60, and 90 days after planting, as well as at the final harvest stage, and the average was calculated per plant.

Table 5: Effect of the different organic manures and bio-fertilizer on number of branches per plant of okra at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Treatments(T)	Number of branches per plant		
	30DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁ (Control)	00	2.80	3.80
T ₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha)	00	3.30	4.30
T ₃ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha)	00	3.57	4.60
T ₄ (Poultry manure @ 3.5 t/ha)	00	3.00	4.00
T ₅ (FYM @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter)	00	3.40	4.40
T ₆ (VC @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter)	00	3.70	4.77
T ₇ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter)	00	3.17	4.10
C.D. at 5%	00	0.15	0.173
SE(m)	00	0.048	0.056

The maximum number of branches per plant (00, 3.70 and 4.77 respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was recorded in T₆ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter), while it was lowest (00, 2.80 and 3.80) in T₁ (Control).

Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves per plant was counted for five tagged plants in each plot at 30, 60, and 90 DAS, and an average was calculated.

Table 6: Effect of the different organic manures and bio-fertilizer on number of leaves per plant of okra at 30, 60, and 90 DAS.

Treatments(T)	Number of leaves per plant		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁ (Control)	8.33	20.00	32.00
T ₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha)	11.00	24.00	39.33
T ₃ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha)	12.33	28.00	41.00
T ₄ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha)	9.00	21.00	36.00
T ₅ (FYM @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter)	11.67	26.00	40.00
T ₆ (VC @ 5 t/ha +Azotobacter)	12.67	30.00	43.00
T ₇ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter).	10.33	23.33	37.00
C.D. at 5%	1.503	1.923	2.001
SE(m)	0.482	0.617	0.642

The maximum number of leaves per plant (12.67, 30.00 and 43.00 respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was recorded in T₆ (Vermicompost@ 5 t/ha +Azotobacter), while it was lowest (8.33, 20.00 and 32.00) in T₁ (Control).

Stem diameter (cm)

The stem diameter of five randomly selected plants was measured with Vernier calipers at 30, 60, and 90 DAS, and the average stem diameter was calculated.

Table 7: Effect of the different organic manures and bio-fertilizer on stem diameter of okra at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Treatments(T)	Stem diameter (cm)		
	30DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁ (Control)	0.64	2.17	2.87
T ₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha)	0.86	2.30	3.17
T ₃ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha)	1.20	2.36	3.27
T ₄ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha)	0.73	2.25	2.97
T ₅ (FYM @ 20 t/ha + Azotobacter)	0.95	2.33	3.22
T ₆ (VC @ 5 t/ha +Azotobacter)	1.35	2.56	3.36
T ₇ (PM @ 3.5 t/ha + Azotobacter).	0.77	2.25	3.03
C.D. at 5%	0.047	0.071	0.075
SE(m)	0.015	0.023	0.024

The maximum stem diameter (1.35 cm, 2.56 cm and 3.36 cm respectively) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was recorded in T₆ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha +Azotobacter), while it was lowest (0.64 cm 2.17 cm and 2.87 cm) in T₁ (Control).

Application of organic manure and bio-fertilizers had a positive increase in the growth attributes of the plants in terms of plant height. The significantly maximum plant height, number of branches, number of leaves, and stem diameter were obtained at 30, 60, and 90 DAS in T₆, which might be due to the combined effect of organic manure and bio-fertilizer sources. Similar findings were also observed by Khetran *et al.* (2017) [3], Sachan *et al.* (2017) [7], and Meena *et al.* (2019) [5] in okra.

Conclusion

The results of the present investigation revealed that the application of vermicompost at 5 t/ha + Azotobacter was statistically superior for enhancing the growth and yield of okra in addition to increasing soil fertility status. The data indicated that net return and benefit-cost ratio were also recorded highest by applying vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter. In the present investigation, supplementation of Farmyard manure, vermicompost, and biofertilizers improved soil fertility. Therefore, to produce and sustain a higher yield of okra, it is recommended to make vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azotobacter for okra cultivation.

References

- Adeboye OC, Oputa CO. Effects of galex on growth and fruit nutrient composition of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Life Journal of Agriculture. 1996;18(2):1-9.
- Bhagyaraj DJ, Suvarna CV. Biofertilizers and their application. Agri-East. 1999;1:16-21.
- Khetran R, Muhammad AK, Ali JZS, Basharat M, Fahmid S, Ali N, *et al.* Effect of different doses of NPK fertilizers on seed yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences. 2017;4(1):163-172.
- Kumar PS, Sreeparvathy S. Studies on heterosis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2010;1(6):1431-1433.
- Meena DC, Meena ML, Kumar S. Influence of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2019;21(2):130-134.
- National Horticulture Board. Indian horticulture database 2023-2024. Gurgaon (HR): Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India; 2024.
- Sachan S, Singh D, Kasera S, Mishra S, Tripathi Y, Mishra V. Integrated nutrient management in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) for better growth and higher yield. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1854-1856.
- Sallaku G, Babaj I, Kaciu S, Balliu A. Influence of vermicompost on plant growth characteristics of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) seedlings under saline conditions. Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment. 2009;7:869-872.
- Tadesse T, Dechassa N, Bayu W, Gebeyehu S. Effects of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizer application on soil physico-chemical properties and nutrient balance in rain-fed lowland rice ecosystem. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013;4:309-316.