



E-ISSN: 2663-1067
P-ISSN: 2663-1075
<https://www.hortijournal.com>
IJHFS 2022; 4(2): 203-207
Received: 04-08-2022
Accepted: 07-10-2022

Suman Jakhar
Department of Vegetable
Science, College of
Horticulture and Forestry,
Jhalawar, Rajasthan, India

Kavita Aravindakshan
Department of Vegetable
Science, College of
Horticulture and Forestry,
Jhalawar, Rajasthan, India

Rajesh Kumar Sharma
Department of Vegetable
Science, College of
Horticulture and Forestry,
Jhalawar, Rajasthan, India

Rahul Chopra
Department of Natural
Resources Management,
College of Horticulture and
Forestry, Jhalawar,
Rajasthan, India

Bhuvnesh Nagar
Department of Forest
Products and Utilization,
College of Horticulture and
Forestry, Jhalawar,
Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author:
Suman Jakhar
Department of Vegetable
Science, College of
Horticulture and Forestry,
Jhalawar, Rajasthan, India

Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculantus* L.)

Suman Jakhar, Kavita Aravindakshan, Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Rahul Chopra and Bhuvnesh Nagar

Abstract

The study was carried out at the vegetable farm, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Jhalrapatan City, Jhalawar during *zaid* season from March, 2022 to June, 2022 to investigate the “Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculantus* L.)”. The experiment consisted of three level of vermicompost (0, 2.5 and 5 t/ha) and liquid bioenhancers (*Panchagavya* and *Jeevamrut* @ 0, 3, 6% including control) with total of 15 treatments. The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. Data were collected on different growth, yield and quality contributing characters of okra.

The individual application of V₂ (vermicompost @ 5 t/ha) significantly increased the plant height (51.88 cm and 67.59 cm), number of nodes (15.63 and 17.99), number of flower per plant (18.07 and 25.48) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.12) and crude protein (2.27%), respectively, as compared to control.

With the foliar application of B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) significant increase in plant height (50.03 cm and 61.80 cm), number of nodes (13.85 and 15.58), number of flower per plant (17.38 and 24.91) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (18.86) and crude protein (2.02%), as compared to control.

The combined application of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) recorded the maximum growth parameters *i.e.*, plant height (52.92 cm and 70.08 cm), number of nodes (17.64 and 19.30), number of flower per plant (18.37 and 25.67) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.50) and crude protein content (2.57%), as compared to control (V₀B₀).

Keywords: Foliar spray, growth, *jeevamrut*, liquid bioenhancers, okra, *panchagavya*, vermicompost

Introduction

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) usually known as *Bhindi* or lady’s finger belongs to the Malvaceae family, widely cultivated for its tender, green fruits. Chromosome number of 2n = 130. It is supposed to originate from Ethiopia. Okra is presently grown in many countries including, Turkey, Iran, Western Africa, Bangladesh, Afganistan, Burma, Pakistan, Malaysia, Japan, Branzil, Cyprus, Ethiopia, Ghana and United States of America. It is annual crop of vegetables in tropical and subtropical parts of the world. In India, it is one of the most important nutritious vegetable crops grown round the year. Okra is a fruit vegetable mostly consumed by almost all classes of people throughout the world. It is an often – cross pollinated vegetable with up to 20% cross pollination occurring through insect and other pollinators.

India is the second largest producing country in the world with 5.23 lac hectare areas with 64.16 lac MT productions (Anonymous, 2021-22)^[2]. In Rajasthan, total area under vegetable crop production is 4.28 thousand ha with 19.73 thousand metric tonnes, respectively (Anonymous, 2021-22)^[2].

Vermicompost is a stable organic fine granular matter, when applied to the soil, it loosens the soil and enhances the passage to the air inlet. The organic carbon in vermicompost slowly and gradually releases the nutrients into the system and helps the plant to absorb the nutrients. It also increases soil structural stability and reduces vulnerability of soil to calamities like erosion.

Organic growth promoters are the products that reduce the need for fertilizers and increase plant growth, resistance to water and abiotic stresses. In small concentrations, these substances are efficient, favouring the good performance of the plant's vital processes and allowing high yields and good quality products. *Panchagavya* consists of five components, namely cow dung, urine, milk, curd, and ghee. *Panchagavya* is also known to contain growth regulatory substances such as IAA, GA, and cytokinin. *Panchagavya* has played a significant role in providing resistance to pests and diseases, resulting in increased overall yields (Tharmaraj *et al.*, 2011) ^[11]. *Jeevamrut* promotes immense biological activity in soil and provides the nutrients for the crop stand. Mixing cow urine, cow dung, pulse flour and jaggary (gur), it is prepared and allowed to ferment for a week.

Materials and Methods

Jhalawar district is located at 23⁰⁴' to 24⁰⁵²' N-L attitude and 75⁰²⁹' to 76⁰⁵⁶' E-Longitude in South Eastern Rajasthan. Agro- climatically, the district falls in zone V, known as Humid South Eastern Plain. About 84.22% population of the district is rural whose main occupation in agriculture. The average rainfall in the region is 954.7 mm. Maximum temperature range in the summer is 43-48 °C and minimum 1.0-2.6 °C during winter. The soil of the experimental site is black soil of the clay loam type with proper drainage facilities.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications and 13 treatments as per recommended dose of fertilizer (NPK @ 60:32:30 kg/ha) involving levels of vermicompost, *panchagavya* and *jeevamrut* soil application and foliar spray at different growth stages of the crop.

V₀B₀: Control, V₀B₁: Vermicompost @ 0 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 3%, V₀B₂: Vermicompost @ 0 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 6%, V₀B₃: Vermicompost @ 0 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 3%, V₀B₄: Vermicompost @ 0 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 6%, V₁B₀: Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha, V₁B₁: Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 3%, V₁B₂: Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 6%, V₁B₃: Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 3%, V₁B₄: Vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 6%, V₂B₀: Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha, V₂B₁: Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 3%, V₂B₂: Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* @ 6%, V₂B₃: Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 3%, V₂B₄: Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* @ 6%.

The plant height was measured from the lower cotyledonary node to growing tip and average mean of five plants was taken and expressed in centimeters at 60 and 90 DAS. At final harvest, the total number of nodes on the main stem of each tagged plant was counted, and average of five plants was expressed as number of nodes on main stem. The total numbers of flowers in five randomly tagged plants were counted periodically until the final harvesting of fruits from each plot and average number of flowers per plant was calculated. The number of fresh fruit harvested from five randomly selected plants was recorded at each harvesting and per plant average number of fruits was calculated.

Results

Plant height (cm) at 60 and 90 DAS

The data pertaining to plant height is depicted in Table (4.1

and 4.2) which showed that vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers alone and in combination had increased the plant height at 60 & 90 DAS over control. The maximum plant height was recorded in V₂ (Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha) with 51.88 cm and 67.59 cm over control (V₀) with 45.32 cm and 53.33 cm, respectively at 60 and 90 DAS. The increase plant height in treatment level V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) was 14.47 and 26.73% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Further, among the liquid bioenhancers, maximum plant height was recorded in treatment B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) with 50.03 cm and minimum plant height with of 46.84 cm in treatment B₀ but it was found statistically at par with B₄ (*Jeevamrut* 6%) at 60 DAS and whereas at 90 DAS the maximum plant height of 61.80 cm in treatment B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) minimum plant height of 57.04 cm in control (B₀) but the treatment B₄ (*Jeevamrut* 6%) was found at par with B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%). The increase plant height in treatment level B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) was 6.81 and 8.34% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control. The combined effects of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers reveals that maximum plant height (52.92 cm) was recorded in treatment of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) at 60 DAS but V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) and V₂B₁ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 3%) was found statistically at par with V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). At 90 DAS, the maximum plant height (70.08 cm) was recorded in treatment of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) and minimum 51.03 cm in control (V₀B₀). The treatment V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) and V₂B₁ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 3%) was found statistically at par with V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). The increase plant height in treatment level V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was 25.28 and 37.33% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Number of nodes at 60 and 90 DAS

A keen observation data presented in Table (4.3 and 4.4) showed significant variations for number of nodes for vermicompost, liquid bioenhancers alone and in combined as compared to control on 60 and 90 DAS. The maximum number of nodes was recorded in treatment V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) with 15.63 and 17.99 and minimum in control (V₀) with 10.14 and 11.49 at 60 and 90 DAS. It was further noted that increased number of nodes per plant in treatment level V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) was 54.14 and 56.57% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Further, the foliar spray of B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) showed maximum number of nodes *i.e.* 13.85 and 15.58 and was found statistically at par with B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%). Whereas, minimum number of nodes *i. e.* 11.21 and 13.22 was recorded in control (B₀) at 60 and 90 DAS. The increase in the number of nodes per plant in treatment level B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) was 23.55 and 17.85% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

The combined effects of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers recorded maximum number of nodes (17.64 and 19.30) in treatment of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) at 60 DAS and 90 DAS and minimum in control (V₀B₀) *i.e.* 9.22 and 10.77. The treatment V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) and V₂B₁ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 3%) was found statistically at par with V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). It was further noted that increased number of nodes per plant in treatment level V₂

B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was 91.32 and 79.20% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Number of flowers per plant at 60 and 90 DAS

The number of flowers per plant exhibited highly significant variation Table (4.5 and 4.6) over control with application of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers. The maximum number of flower was recorded in treatment V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) with 18.07 and 25.48 and minimum in treatment V₀ (control) with 16.17 and 23.96 at 60 and 90 DAS. It was further noted that increased number of flower per plant in treatment level V₂(VC @ 5 t/ha) was 11.75 and 6.34% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

The foliar spray of liquid bioenhancers affected the number of flowers per plant over control. Maximum number of flower was recorded in B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) i.e. 17.38 and 24.91 at 60 and 90 DAS but it was found statistically at par with treatment B₄ (*Jeevamrut* 6%) whereas minimum number of flower per plant was recorded in B₀ (control) i.e. 16.80 and 24.42 at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively. The increase in the number of flowers per plant in treatment level B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) was 3.45 and 2.00% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Further, application vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers spray also showed significantly affect with that maximum number of flowers per plant with 18.37 and 25.67 in treatment of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) and minimum in treatment of control (V₀B₀) with at 60 and 90 DAS. However, V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) was found at par with V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). The increase in the number of flowers per plant in treatment level V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was 20.45 and 8.98% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, higher over control.

Number of fruits per plant

A perusal of data Table (4.7) showed that number of fruits per plant was significantly affected with application of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers over control. Maximum number of fruits per plant was observed in V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) with 20.12 and minimum number of fruits per plant in control (V₀) with 16.88. It was further noted that number of fruits per plant in treatment V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) was 19.19% higher over control.

Similarly, application of bioenhancers also increase the number of fruits per plant significantly over control. Maximum number of fruits per plant was recorded in B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) with 18.86, but it was found statistically at par with B₄ (*Jeevamrut* 6%) and B₁ (*Panchagavya* 3%), whereas minimum number of fruits per plant was found in control (B₀) with 17.91. It was further noted that number of fruits per plant in treatment B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) was 5.30% higher over control.

Further, combined effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers also had significant effect on number of fruits per plant over control. Maximum number of fruits per plant was recorded in V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) with 20.50 while minimum was recorded in control (V₀B₀) with 16.70. However, it was found statistically at par with treatment V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) and V₂B₁ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 3%), respectively. It was further noted that number of fruits per plant in treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was 22.75% higher over control.

Crude protein (%)

The data with regards to effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on crude protein is given in Table (4.8) that crude protein exhibited significant of higher values as compared to control with application of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers. The crude protein content was recorded higher in V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) with 2.27%, whereas lower crude protein content was found in control (V₀) with 1.56%. It was further noted that crude protein content in treatment V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) was 45.51% higher over control.

Further, liquid bioenhancers was also found maximum crude protein in B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) i.e. 2.02% and minimum crude protein was found in control (B₀) i.e. 1.68%. It was further noted that crude protein content in treatment B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) was 20.23% higher over control.

Similarly, the combined effect of vermicompost and bioenhancers also showed maximum crude protein 2.57% in treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) whereas minimum crude protein (1.46)% was found in control (V₀B₀). However, the treatment V₂B₄ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Jeevamrut* 6%) and V₂B₁ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 3%) was found at par with treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). It was further noted that crude protein content in treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was 70.02% higher over control.

Table 1: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on plant height at 60 DAS (cm)

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	42.24	45.31	47.67	44.61	46.76	45.32
V ₁	47.93	48.90	49.50	48.61	49.08	48.80
V ₂	50.37	52.37	52.92	50.97	52.77	51.88
Mean	46.84	48.86	50.03	48.07	49.53	48.67
	S.E.M ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.19		0.56			
B	0.25		0.72			
V x B	0.43		1.25			

Table 2: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on plant height at 90 DAS (cm)

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	51.03	53.83	55.01	51.90	54.87	53.33
V ₁	56.70	58.50	60.31	57.11	59.30	58.38
V ₂	63.41	69.58	70.08	65.18	69.68	67.59
Mean	57.04	60.64	61.80	58.07	61.29	59.77
	S.E.M ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.18		0.52			
B	0.23		0.68			
V x B	0.40		1.18			

Table 3: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on number of nodes 60 DAS

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	9.22	10.24	11.16	9.53	10.56	10.14
V ₁	11.47	12.21	12.74	11.94	12.52	12.18
V ₂	12.93	16.91	17.64	13.59	17.09	15.63
Mean	11.21	13.12	13.85	11.69	13.39	12.65
	S.E.M ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.25		0.74			
B	0.33		0.95			
V x B	0.57		1.65			

Table 4: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on number of nodes 90 DAS

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	10.77	11.67	12.20	10.87	11.93	11.49
V ₁	13.17	14.73	15.23	13.83	15.12	14.42
V ₂	15.73	18.92	19.30	16.93	19.05	17.99
Mean	13.22	15.11	15.58	13.88	15.37	14.63
	SEm ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.13		0.38			
B	0.17		0.49			
V x B	0.29		0.85			

Table 5: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on number of flowers per plant 60 DAS

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	15.84	16.29	16.38	16.04	16.32	16.17
V ₁	16.85	17.19	17.39	16.87	17.36	17.13
V ₂	17.73	18.04	18.37	17.89	18.34	18.07
Mean	16.80	17.17	17.38	16.93	17.34	17.13
	SEm ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.014		0.041			
B	0.018		0.054			
V x B	0.032		0.093			

Table 6: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on number of flowers per plant 90 DAS

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	23.78	23.96	24.15	23.86	24.05	23.96
V ₁	24.23	24.74	24.91	24.64	24.89	24.68
V ₂	25.23	25.56	25.67	25.33	25.62	25.48
Mean	24.42	24.75	24.91	24.61	24.85	24.71
	SEm ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.019		0.054			
B	0.024		0.070			
V x B	0.042		0.122			

Table 7: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on number of fruits per plant

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	16.70	16.91	17.05	16.76	16.97	16.88
V ₁	17.57	18.56	19.04	18.37	18.91	18.49
V ₂	19.47	20.40	20.50	19.80	20.45	20.12
Mean	17.91	18.62	18.86	18.31	18.78	18.50
	SEm ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.06		0.19			
B	0.08		0.24			
V x B	0.14		0.42			

Table 8: Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on crude protein content (%)

Treatments	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Mean
V ₀	1.46	1.52	1.67	1.51	1.62	1.56
V ₁	1.74	1.80	1.83	1.77	1.82	1.79
V ₂	1.85	2.49	2.57	1.91	2.51	2.27
Mean	1.68	1.94	2.02	1.73	1.98	1.87
	SEm ±		CD (p = 0.05)			
V	0.02		0.08			
B	0.03		0.10			
V x B	0.06		0.18			

B₀- Control, B₁ - *Panchagavya* 3%, B₂ - *Panchagavya* 6%, B₃ - *Jeevamrut* 3%, B₄ - *Jeevamrut* 6%, V₀ - Control, V₁ - Vermicompost 2.5 t/ha, V₂ - Vermicompost 5 t/ha

Discussion

The application of vermicompost played an important role

in enhancing the growth parameters yield and quality of okra over control. The maximum plant height (51.88 cm and 67.59 cm), number of nodes (15.63 and 17.99), number of flower per plant (18.07 and 25.48) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.12) and crude protein (2.27%) were recorded under treatment V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) and the minimum values for parameters plant height (45.32 cm and 53.33 cm), number of nodes (10.14 and 11.49), number of flower per plant (16.17 and 23.96) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (16.88) and crude protein (1.56%) were recorded under treatment V₀ (control). These findings clearly indicated that application of V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) played a significant role on enhancing the vegetative growth of okra. The application of vermicompost improvement in plant height may be due to better moisture holding capacity, supply of micronutrients and availability of major nutrients due to favorable soil conditions. The increased nitrogen nutrition may also have accelerated the process of cell division and differentiation (Barani and Anburani 2004 in okra) [3]. Increase in number of nodes might be due to better availability and uptake of plant nutrients, more specially N, P, K resulting in better photosynthesis and protein synthesis. Thus, these increased amount of NPK nutrients in plants, lead to increase plant metabolites that help to build up plant tissues of okra (Barani and Amburani 2004) [3]. The increased in number of fruits per plant might due to the better availability and uptake of nutrients by plants. Vermicompost improved the physical properties of soil and thereby improved the water and nutrient holding capacity of soil as well as soil fertility condition (Subba Rao and Shankar, 2001 in Brinjal) [10]. The maximum values of growth parameters *i.e.*, plant height (50.03 cm and 61.80 cm), number of nodes (13.85 and 15.58), number of flower per plant (17.38 and 24.91) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (18.86) and crude protein (2.02%) were recorded under treatment B₂ (*Panchagavya* 6%) and minimum plant height (46.84 cm and 57.04 cm), number of nodes (11.21 and 13.22), number of flower per plant (16.80 and 24.42) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (17.91) and crude protein (1.68%) were recorded under treatment B₀ (control). In increase plant height and number of flower per plant may be due to *panchagavya* treatment it contain micronutrients like auxin, IAA which is responsible for the cell division and cell elongation by enhancing auxin hypothesis in these treatments (Perumal *et al.* 2006) [7] and (Anburani 2008) [1]. Increase number of nodes per plant might be due to the application of *panchagavya* at frequent intervals leads to better adaption of plants and also supplied the plant with required nutrients throughout the cropping season; this allowed the plant to grow with lesser nutrient competition, because of which production of more number of nodes (Choudhary *et al.* 2017) [4]. Increase number of fruit might be due to an increased allocation of photosynthates towards economic part due to increased leaf area. The increased leaf area may increase the synthesis of cytokinin and its accumulation in the active sinks which could have caused increased number of fruits as reported by (Harish DK, 2009 in Brinjal) [5]. The improvement in crude protein content might be attributed due to higher uptake of nitrogen during growth period which increased photosynthesis, synthesis of protoplasm and protein (Patil *et al.* 2012) [6].

The results of present investigation shows that the

application of vermicompost with liquid bioenhancers (*panchagavya* 6%) had significant effect on growth, yield and quality parameters over control. The maximum value of parameters *i.e.* the maximum plant height (52.92 cm and 70.08 cm), number of nodes (17.64 and 19.30), number of flower per plant (18.37 and 25.67) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.50) and crude protein content (2.57%) was recorded under treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%). Whereas, the minimum values of parameters *i.e.*, plant height (42.24 cm and 51.03 cm), number of nodes (9.22 and 10.77), number of flower per plant (15.84 and 23.78) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (16.70) and crude protein content (1.46%) were recorded under treatment V₀B₀ (control). The application of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) increased the growth, yield and quality attributes. Application of vermicompost helped the plants to attain maximum height to the slow release of major nutrients to the plant especially nitrogen and also *panchagavya* application supplies almost all essential plant nutrients for the growth and development of a plant which eventually resulted in the increase in plant height Singh *et al.* (2005) ^[9] and Patil *et al.* (2012) ^[6]. Maximum number of nodes may be due to greater photosynthesis production of metabolites and enzymatic activities due to vermicompost and *panchagavya* application which might have influenced into increased the availability of nutrients through organic source helped in higher and stronger root growth. The results were in confirmatory with (Singh *et al.* 2017) ^[8].

Conclusion

It could be inferred that the individual application of vermicompost @ 5 t/ha (V₂) and *Panchagavya* 6% (B₂) exhibited maximum plant height (67.59 and 61.80 cm), number of nodes (17.99 and 15.58), number of flower per plant (25.48 and 24.91) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.12 and 18.86), and crude protein (2.27 and 2.02%), and minimum plant height (55.33 and 57.04 cm), number of nodes (11.49 and 13.22), number of flower per plant (23.96 and 24.42) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (16.88 and 17.91) and crude protein (1.56 and 1.68%) under control, respectively. Combined application of V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was found suitable in terms of growth, yield and quality over control. The treatment V₂B₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha + *Panchagavya* 6%) was found maximum plant height (52.92 and 70.08 cm), number of nodes (17.64 and 19.30), number of flower per plant (18.37 and 25.67) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (20.50) and crude protein (2.27%) and minimum plant height (42.24 and 51.03 cm), number of nodes (9.22 and 10.77), number of flower per plant (15.84 and 23.78) at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively, number of fruit per plant (16.70) and crude protein (1.46%) under control, respectively.

Author's contribution

Conceptualization and designing of the research work (SJ, KA, RS), Execution of field experiments and data collection (SJ, RC), Analysis of data and interpretation (SJ, BN), preparation of manuscript (BN, SJ).

References

1. Anburani. Effect of certain organics and pressmud on

- growth and yield characters of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Asian Journal Horticulture. 2008;3(2):273-276.
2. Anonymous. Area Production Statistics Estimates for Horticulture Crops 2019-20. National Horticulture Board Gurgaon; c2021-22. Website: nhb.gov.in/Statisticsviewer.
3. Barani P, A Anburani. Influence of vermicomposting on major nutrients in bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) var. Arka Anamika. South Indian Horticulture. 2004;52(1-6):170-174.
4. Choudhary G, Sharma SK, Singh KP, Choudhary S, Bazaya BR. Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of organic blackgram (*Vigna mungo*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(10):1627-1632.
5. Harish DK. Studies on organic brinjal production (*Solanum melongena* L.). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; c2009.
6. Patil DU, Laharia GS, Damre PR. Effect of different organic sources on biological properties of soil, nutrient uptake, quality and yield of soybean. An Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2012;7(2):190-193.
7. Perumal K, Praveen K, Stalin V, Janarthanam B. Assessment of selected organic manures as plant growth hormones and their impact on the growth attributes of *Allium cepa* L., Current Science. 2006;8:46-51.
8. Singh G, Sarvanan S, Rajawat KS, Rathore JS, Singh G. Effect of different micronutrients on plant growth, yield and flower bud quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). Current Agriculture Research Journal. 2017;5:108-115.
9. Singh MM, Maurya ML, Singh SP, Mishra CH. Effect of nitrogen levels and biofertilizer inoculation on productivity of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2005;75:167-168.
10. Subba Rao TSS, Sankar CR. Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of brinjal. South Indian Horticulture. 2001;49:288-289.
11. Tharmaraj K, Ganesh P, Sureshkumar R, Anandan A, Kolanjinathan K. A critical review on panchagavya- A boon plant growth. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives. 2011;2(6):1611-1614.