

E-ISSN: 2663-1067 P-ISSN: 2663-1075 <u>https://www.hortijournal.com</u> IJHFS 2023; 5(1): 16-19 Received: 12-10-2022 Accepted: 19-12-2022

Sannathimappa HG

Associate Professor, Agronomy Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Karnataka, India

Marutesh AM

Associate Professor, Food Science Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Karnataka, India

Nagaraja Kusagur

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture EEU, Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Karnataka, India

Chandru Patil

Technical Assistant, AICRP on IFS, Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Marutesh AM Associate Professor, Food Science Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Karnataka, India

Effect of biofertilizer on growth and yield attributes of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) under zone -7 of (Davangere, district) Karnataka

Sannathimappa HG, Marutesh AM, Nagaraja Kusagur and Chandru Patil

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26631067.2023.v5.i1a.145

Abstract

An experiment on Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) Effect of Biofertilizer on growth and yield attributes of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) under zone -7 of Karnataka. The experiment was carried out at diploma (Agri) College Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Davangere. In kharif season during the year 2022 with three replicated randomized block designs. With seven treatments in 6.5 x 5.5 m plot size twelve plant population was maintained in each plot with normal spacing given 1.5 x 1.5 m row and plant to plant respectively. The results of the experiment revealed Treatment T₅ (75% NPK + FYM +VC 1250 Kg/ha+ biofertilizer (*Pseudomonous flourscence* @ 2.50 kg/ha and *Trichoderma viridae* @ 750 g/ha) was shows better results recorded more number of primary branches/shoots (5.45 no) vine length (482.75 cm) Leaves /plant (66.83 Nos) earliest opening of first female flower shows in in DAS (40.58) highest fruit length / diameter also (45.08 /8.26 Cm and fruit Yield recorded in (1.45 kg), 15.06 kg) & (4635.89 kg) plant,/plot and hector respectively.

Keywords: Biofertilizer, DAS, earliness, fruit weight fruit length fruit diameter, vermicompost

Introduction

Ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula L. Roxb.) Ridge gourd is one of the cucurbitaceous photosensitive, climbing vegetable crop it can be grown in tropical and sub-tropical region of India. It contains high content of water and nutrients, protein, fat, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins, plants need support for growth parameters vine height, Number of shoots Leaf area. Biofertilizers or vermicompost function as key player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity current soil management strategies are mainly dependent on inorganic chemical-based fertilizers, which caused a serious threat to human health and environment does not pollute the soil and also does not show any negative effect to environment and human health Sreenivas (et al. 2000) [20] Thus, there is a need to identify stable nutrients which is suitable for particular season and location The expression more female flowers emerged of yield is the outcome of interaction of several characters (Venkateeshwarlu, 2008)^[23]. The exploitation of beneficial microbes as biofertilizers has become paramount importance in agriculture sector for their potential role in food safety and sustainable crop production. To increase the productivity of crop, it is essential to standardize the agro techniques such as optimum nutrients management improve the fruit set, fruit yield and good quality of fruits. The main objectives of this experiment are to know the suitable ridge gourd nutrients and method of cultivation for obtaining high yield under zone -7 Devanagare district of Karnataka.

Materials and Methods

An investigation carried during the year *rabi* summer 2021 and Kharif 2022 to study the performance of influenced organic, in-organic nutrients, biofertilizer and vermicompost inputs. Arka Surjith variety seeds sown in two season (Spring summer 2021 and kharif 20220) during the period of summer month provide protective irrigation from Bhadra cannel water in alternate rows and receive un expected or cyclone rain during the crop period. An experiment carried out at College of Diploma Agriculture, Agricultural Research Station, Kathalagere, Davanagere district of Karnataka.

The experimental geographical area is located at 14°16' N latitude, and 75°49' E longitude at an elevation 628 m from mean sea level with annual rainfall of 598 mm. (AHRS, Kathalagere is typical humid and tropical climatic conditions mid suthran zone -7 of Karnataka state). The soil are deep and clay loamy in structure with brown colours moderately acidic to neutral in reaction the available N2 P₂O₅ and K₂O ranged from 280-235, 24-35.5 and 161-318 kgs /ha respectively. The organic carbon available nitrogen phosphorus and potash. during the period of experimentation, the maximum and minimum temperature ranged from 33.0° to 39.20 °C & 25.0° to 280. °C and 28.0° to 22.0 °C & 25.6to 21.8° in spring summer and kharif season respectively. The Soil was ploughed with mould board plough followed by rotavator applied farm yard manure (12.5t/ha) and fertilizer N: P: K (100:75:50 kg/ha) research were taken in randomized block design with three replications chosen plot size is 6.0 x6.0 m both length and breadth/ maintained in 1.5 m X 1.5 m between the rows and plant to plant. Totally sixteen plants in accommodated each treatment the following treatments are.

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | - | |
|---|---|---|
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 1 | T ₁ - RDF of NPK (100:50:75.00kg/ha), |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 2 | T ₂ -50% NPK + FYM (18.5 t/ha) + biofertilizer (12.50 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | Kg/ha), |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 3 | T ₃ -50% NPK+% + VC (1250 Kg/ha) + biofertilizer 12.50 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5 | Kg/ |
| 5 (12.50 Kg/ha), 6 T ₆ -50% NPK + FYM +VC+ biofertilizer (12.50 Kg/ha) 7 T ₇ -75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer 12.50 Kg/ha. 7 (Pseudomonous flourscence @1.0 kg/Ac. and Trichoderma) | 4 | T ₄ -50% NPK +FYM + biofertilizer (12.50 Kg/ha), |
| 6 (12.50 Kg/ha), 6 T ₆ -50% NPK + FYM +VC+ biofertilizer (12.50 Kg/ha) 7 T ₇ -75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer 12.50 Kg/ha. 7 (Pseudomonous flourscence @1.0 kg/Ac. and Trichoderma) | 5 | T ₅ - 75% NPK + FYM +VC (1250 Kg/ha) + biofertilizer |
| T7-75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer 12.50 Kg/ha.7(Pseudomonous flourscence @1.0 kg/Ac. and Trichoderma | 3 | (12.50 Kg/ha), |
| 7 (Pseudomonous flourscence @1.0 kg/Ac. and Trichodermo | 6 | T ₆ -50% NPK + FYM +VC+ biofertilizer (12.50 Kg/ha |
| | | T ₇ -75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer 12.50 Kg/ha. |
| | 7 | (Pseudomonous flourscence @1.0 kg/Ac. and Trichoderma |
| viridae @ /50 g/nc) | | viridae @750 g/hc) |

Maintained row spacing weeding was done in 25-day intervals The data were collected randomly from 5 plants Vine length (cm), Primary branches, Fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm) no of fruits/plant, average fruit weight (g), days to first female flower opening, days to first harvest, (DAS), and fruit yield kg/plot. The current soil management strategies are mainly dependent on inorganic chemicalbased fertilizers, which caused a serious threat to human health and environment. The exploitation of beneficial microbes as biofertilizers has become paramount importance in agriculture sector for their potential role in food safety and sustainable crop production All the agronomic and plant protection measures were adopted as per the recommended package of practices (Prabhakar et al., 2010) ^[27]. The experimental data were statistically analysed (Panse and Sukhatme, 1978)^[28] and compared using critical difference at five per cent probability level.

Results and Discussion

Vine length (cm): Vine length was measuring with the help of meter scale from base level to top end the highest vine length was recorded at 75 days after sowing presented in table.1 clearly indicated that different treatments significantly influenced all the vine length recorded the treatment T_5 was recorded maximum (482.75 cm) followed by T_6 (475.75 cm) and minimum vine length was recorded in the treatment T_1 . (341.22 (cm) Similar results were obtained by Similar findings were reported by Karthick *et al.* (2017) ^[10, 11] Performance of Ridge Gourd Varieties and Nature of Cultivation for Yield and Yield Attributes.

No of Leaves/plant: Number of leaves, number of vines were significantly influenced treatment T_5 shows (87.06) on par with T_4 -(85.13 Nos) were minimum number of leaves recorded in T_1 (74.06) results are in agreement with the findings of Ananda Murthy *et al.*, 2020 ^[1] and Asha *et al.*, (2018) ^[3]. Effect of Biofertilizer on Growth of ridge gourd growth and fruit yield.

No of primary branches /shoots: The perusal of the data clearly indicated that significant difference were significantly influenced by the interaction of biofertilizers. The treatment T_5 were recorded maximum number of primary branches (5.45 Nos) followed by T_6 (5.21 Nos) and minimum primary branches was recorded in the treatments in check T_1 (3.32 no). Similar results were obtained by Kathik *et al.*, (2017) ^[10, 11] and Nishant Barik *et al.*, 2018 ^[16]. Significant difference were significantly influenced by the interaction of biofertilizers and vermicompost seed treatment and soil application as resulted highest primary shoot appearance.

Days to first female flower opening: Application vermicompost and biofertilizer the earliness might be also due to the enhanced production of growth which induce the earliness of female flower production, more flower stalks resulting early fruit harvesting. And more number of female flowers appearance in minimum number of days taken for first female flower appearance in T₅- (40.58 das) followed by T₆ (42.38 das) and maximum days taken for female flower appearance in check T₁- RDF and recorded (44.14 das). The results are in agreement with the findings have been made observed by Sreenivas *et al.*, (2000) ^[20] and Ananda Murthy *et al.*, (2020)^[1].

Leaf area/plant: Ridge gourd is a photosensitive crop more the leaf area directly proportional to the fruit yield with the help of meter scale by measuring from base level to the growing tip of leaves was recorded. The highest leaf area was recorded in treatment T_5 (4841.12cm²). T_2 -(4365.77 cm²) these results were in accordance with) Ananda Murthy *et al* (2020) ^[1] and Gautam, *et al.*, (2019) ^[12]. Effect bio fertilizer on growth yield of Ridge gourd and Assessment of Vegetative Growth Characteristics and Yield of Different Types of Clusters Bearing Ridge Gourd respectively.

Fruit Characters: Fruit length (cm) leaf area directly proportional to the fruit yield the highest fruit length were recorded at last harvest 8th picking treatment T_5 (47.03) were recorded in maximum fruit length and followed by T_6 on par with the results (46.17 cm) and minimum fruit length was recorded in the treatments in check T_1 (33.69 cm) RDF and FYM (100:50:75 kg/ha) Similar results were obtained by Choudhary, *et al.*, (2014) ^[1] Evaluation and correlation for growth yield and quality traits of Ridge gourd under arid condition.

Fruit Diameter (cm): Post harvest physiological and developmental behaviour colour size and shape fruit volume of the fruit observed at the 85% fruit maturity stage fruits can harvested Fruit diameter variability found highest diameter observed in T_5 (8.26 cm) followed by T_6 (8.23 cm) and minimum fruit diameter was recorded in the treatments in check T_1 (7.33 cm). Similar results were obtained by Choudhary, *et al.*, (2014) ^[1] Evaluation and correlation for

growth yield and quality traits of Ridge gourd under arid condition.

Average Fruit Weight (g): This may be due to the increase in fruit length and girth fruit weight per plant were recorded at last harvest the treatment were recorded maximum fruit weight in T₅ (186.62 g) similarly on par with T₆ (183.87 g) and minimum fruit weight was recorded in the treatments in check T₁ (165.25 g)). Similar results were obtained by Similar results were obtained Srikanth, *et al.*, (2020) ^[21]. Mean performance of parents and hybrid and yield quality attributing Character in Ridge gourd. Patel, *et al* (2021) ^[17] Integrated nutrient management INM on growth and yield of ridge gourd.

Fruit weight per plant: Yield attributes have a positive influence on the yield significant results among the treatment T_5 - was recorded highest weight of fruit (1.45 kg), followed by T_6 (1.35 kg) and minimum fruits was recorded in the treatments in check T_1 -(1.13 kg). Similar results were

reported Kavitha Choudhary, *et al*, (2022) ^[13] Effect of Inorganic fertilizer Organic fertilizer and Trichoderma on growth yield of Ridge gourd.

Fruit weight per plot (kg): The treatment T_5 were given highest plot weight (15.06 kg), followed by T_6 (14.81 kg) and minimum fruit weight was recorded in the treatments in check T_1 (12.41 kg). Similar results were obtained by Similar results Triveni *et al.*, (2020) ^[22]. Mean performance of study Ridge gourd Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.) some Quantities and Qualitative Characters.

Fruit yield kg / ha: The highest fruit yield is directly proportional to the management variety, climatic zone and biofertilizer combinations treatment T_5 was recorded the heights (4635.89 kg/ha) similarly followed by T_6 (4389.74) and minimum yield were observed in T_{1-} (3735.38kg/ha). Similar results were reported Kavitha Choudhary, *et al*, (2022) ^[13] Effect of Inorganic fertilizer Organic fertilizer and Trichoderma on growth yield of Ridge gourd.

Table 1: Effect of Biofertilizer on growth parameters of Ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula L.) under Zone -7, Karnataka.

| Sl. No | Treatments | Plant height (Cm) | No of leave/plants | No of vines/ branches | Opening female flower (Day) | Leaf area (Cm²)/ha | |
|--------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1 | T ₁ - RDF of NPK, | 341.223 | 59.860 | 3.32 | 44.14 | 4296.03 | |
| 2 | T ₂ -50% NPK + FYM + biofertilizer | 406.847 | 60.483 | 3.64 | 43.82 | 4290.11 | |
| 3 | T ₃ -50% NPK+% + VC + biofertilizer | 428.787 | 62.417 | 4.28 | 43.18 | 4348.16 | |
| 4 | T ₄ -50% NPK +FYM + biofertilizer | 449.117 | 65.343 | 4.78 | 42.9 | 4448.16 | |
| 5 | T ₅ - 75% NPK + FYM +VC + biofertilizer | 482.747 | 66.830 | 5.45 | 40.58 | 4841.23 | |
| 6 | T ₆ -50% NPK + FYM +VC+ biofertilizer | 475.457 | 62.783 | 5.21 | 42.38 | 4460.39 | |
| 7 | T ₇ -75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer | 417.053 | 60.493 | 3.84 | 43.67 | 4445.20 | |
| S.Em + | | 11.83 | 1.85 | 0.11 | 1.12 | 113.00 | |
| Cd | | 35.91 | 5.63 | 0.34 | 3.42 | 3.45 | |
| Cv. % | | 5.466 | 5.87 | 5.22 | 5.198 | 5.07 | |

Table 2: Effect of Biofertilizer on yield attributing characters of Ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula L.) under Zone -7, Karnataka.

| Sl. No | Treatments | Fruit length Cm | Diameter of fruit (Cm) | Fruit weight(g) | Yield kg plant | Yield kg /plot | Yield kg/ha |
|--------|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1 | T ₁ - RDF of NPK, | 37.883 | 7.333 | 165.25 | 1.13 | 12.41 | 3735.38 |
| 2 | T ₂ -50% NPK + FYM + biofertilizer | 37.503 | 8.067 | 167.62 | 1.27 | 12.94 | 3981.53 |
| 3 | T ₃ -50% NPK+% + VC + biofertilizer | 40.437 | 7.833 | 173.28 | 1.29 | 13.73 | 4225.64 |
| 4 | T ₄ -50% NPK +FYM + biofertilizer | 42.620 | 7.633 | 182.75 | 1.21 | 14.26 | 4389.74 |
| 5 | T ₅ - 75% NPK + FYM +VC + biofertilizer | 45.077 | 8.267 | 183.87 | 1.45 | 15.06 | 4635.89 |
| 6 | T ₆ -50% NPK + FYM +VC+ biofertilizer | 44.823 | 8.233 | 186.62 | 1.35 | 14.81 | 4559.74 |
| 7 | T ₇ -75% NPK+% FYM + biofertilizer | 38.367 | 7.500 | 147.04 | 1.22 | 13.45 | 4139.48 |
| S.Em + | | 1.19 | 0.229 | 4.46 | 0.02 | 0.35 | 110.37 |
| Cd | | 3.63 | 0.695 | 18.53 | 0.09 | 1.08 | 335.09 |
| Cv. % | | 5.79 | 5.803 | 5.12 | 5.15 | 5.15 | 5.159 |

References

- Ananda Murthy HS, Nair AK, Anjanappa M, Kalavainan DS, Kankara Hebbar S, Laxman RH. Growth and fruit Yield of hybrid Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L Roxb.) Arka Vikram in relation to NPK, Fertigation. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(6):3954-3963.
- Kumar A, Dwivedi AK. Growth and Yield of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L). (Roxb.)] Application of Nitrogen and Potash Fertilizers under Agro-Climatic Condition International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7:4209-4215.
- 3. Asha MN, Soumya PT, Ranjith HR, Balachandra CK.

Effect bio fertilizer on growth yield of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb) Current International of Journal Applied Sciences & Technology. 2018;26(4):01-06.

- 4. Bhargava AK, Singh VB, Kumar P, Meena RK. Efficiency of selection based on genetic variability in ridge gourd *Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.), Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1651-1655.
- 5. Kure C, Bahadur V, Topno SE, Anita. Performance of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) Genotypes in Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(6):1048-1052.
- 6. Choudhary BR, Kumar S, Sharma S. Evaluation and correlation for growth yield and quality traits of Ridge

gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.) under arid condition. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;84(4):498-502.

- 7. Feleafel MN, Mirdad ZM. Optimizing the nitrogen, phosphorus and potash fertigation rates and frequency for eggplant in arid regions. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2013;15(4):737-742.
- 8. Karthik K, Patel GS, Prasad JG. Performance of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.) verities and nature of cultivation on growth and yield flowering attributes International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2017;9(8):3910-3912.
- Karthik D, Varalakshmi B, Kumar G, Lakshmipathi N. Genetic Variability Studies of Ridge Gourd Advanced Inbred Lines (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.), International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience (IJPAB). 2017;5(6)1223-1228.
- Karthick K, Patel GS, Shanmugapriya V, Bhavik, Aravindbhai. Performance of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa* acutangular L. Roxb.) Varieties and Nature of Cultivation for Yield and Yield Attributes. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied. 2017;6(3):458-462.
- 11. Karthick K, Patel GS, Prasad JG. Performance of Ridge gourd verities and nature of cultivation growth and Floerting Attributes International Journal of Agriculture Science. 2017;9(8):3910-3912.
- Gautam BP, Hazarika DN, Chudhury H, Kalita MK. Assessment of Vegetative Growth Characteristics and Yield of Different Types of Cluster Bearing Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb.) Priyanka Baruah, Nayanmoni Buragohain International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied. 2019;8(12):1964-1971.
- Choudhary K, Netwal M, Garwal OP, Dhaka P. Effect of Inorganic fertilizer Organic fertilizer and Trichoderma on growth yield of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(2):1092-1094.
- Murali Bellamkonda K, Shailajal V, Naik R. Evaluating Performance of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa* acutangular L Roxb.) Cultivation in Pandal System in Nalgonda District of Telangana, India International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied). 2020;9(3):1489-1498-1489.
- 15. Nayak H, Sahoo D, Swain SC, Jena B, Pradhan P, Paramjita D. Effect of fertigation and mulching on growth, yield and yield attributing characteristics of pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.) cv. Swarna Alaukik. IJCS. 2018;6(2):258-261.
- Nishant Barik A, Borbora D, Phookan, Kumar V, Thanuram, Teron, *et al.* Organic Cultivation of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangular* L Roxb.) Current Journal of Science and Technology. 2018;26(4):1-6.
- 17. Patel HS, Patel NB, Sarvaiya JP, Chawla SL. Integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth and yield of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) cv. GARG-1 The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(8):1064-1069.
- Rathod P, Salvi VG, Dhopavkar RV. Effect of manures, fertilizers and biofertilizers on yield, nutrient content and uptake by Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) in coastal region of Maharashtra. Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research. 2018;36(2):79-85.

- Rathore JS, Collis JP, Singh G, Rajawat KS. Studies on Genetic Variability in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.)) Genotypes in Allahabad Agro-Climate Condition, International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied. 2017);6(2):317-338.
- 20. Sreenivas C, Muralidhar Rao S. Yield and quality of ridge gourd fruits as influenced by different levels of inorganic fertilizers and vermicompost. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2000;21(2):262-266.
- 21. Srikanth D, Venkata Ramana C, Kranthi Rekha G, Ratna Babu D, Umakrishna K, Naram L Naidu. Mean Performance of Parents and Hybrids for Fruit Yield and Quality Attributing Characters in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.) International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied. 2020;11:1180-1186.
- 22. Triveni D, Priya Dharshini P, Karthik K, Sudha Y. Mean performance of study Ridge gourd Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.) some Quantities and Qualitative Characters. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(4):298-300.
- 23. Venkateeshwarlu B. Role of biofertilizers in organic farming: Organic farming in rainfed agriculture Central institute for dry land agriculture, Hyderabad; c2008. p. 85-95.
- 24. Vyas MN, Leeu HN, Jadhav RG, Patel HC, Patel AS. Effect of plant growth regulator and yield of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L) Ecology, Environment and Conservation Journal; c2015, 21(1).
- 25. Varalakshmi B, Suchitha Y, Sanna KS, Manjunath. Characterization and Evaluation of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb L.). Germplasm J Plant Genet. Resource. 2016;29(1):66-70.
- 26. Yadav H, Maurya SK, Kumar S, Pooja. Genotype screening and character association studies in indigenous genotypes of ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.], Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;(5):223-231.
- 27. Naik CK, Gantasala SB, Prabhakar GV. Service quality (SERVQUAL) and its effect on customer satisfaction in retailing. European Journal of Social Sciences. 2010;16(2):231-43.
- 28. Panse VC, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural workers. III Rev. Ed. ICAR, New Delhi; c1978.