

P-ISSN: 2663-1075 NAAS Rating (2025): 4.74 www.hortijournal.com IJHFS 2025; 7(8): 85-88 Received: 09-06-2025

Akshada S Kharat

Accepted: 11-07-2025

E-ISSN: 2663-1067

Master's Student, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

Arati A Jambhale

Assistant Professor,
Department of Agricultural
Economics, Dr. Sharadchandra
Pawar College of Agriculture,
Baramati, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Pallavi S Deokate

Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

YL Jagdale

Subject Matter Specialist, Department of Horticulture, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

Mitalee S Bhalerao

Master's Student, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

Prasad R Akolkar

Master's Student, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Akshada S Kharat Master's Student, Dr. Sharadchandra Pawar College of Agriculture, Baramati, Maharashtra, India

A comprehensive study on price spread and marketing efficiency of colour capsicum in Pune district of Maharashtra

Akshada S Kharat, Arati A Jambhale, Dr. Pallavi S Deokate, YL Jagdale, Mitalee S Bhalerao and Prasad R Akolkar

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26631067.2025.v7.i8b.369

Abstract

Colour capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.), grown under shade net conditions, is a high-value horticultural crop gaining prominence due to its quality, market demand, and profitability. Protected cultivation enhances yield, quality, and income potential for farmers. The present study aims to analyse the price spread and assess the marketing efficiency of colour capsicum under shade net cultivation to identify the most remunerative marketing channels.

The study was carried out in Pune district of Maharashtra, focusing on two purposively selected tahsils Baramati and Indapur based on their large area under colour capsicum cultivation. Three villages were randomly selected from each tahsil, making a total of six villages. From these, 90 colour capsicum farmers were selected and categorized into two separate groups. Primary data collected for the agricultural year 2023-24 were analysed using simple tabular methods and functional analytical tools. The analysis of production and disposal patterns of colour capsicum indicated that (99.79%) of the total produce was marketed. Two marketing channels were identified for colour capsicum, with Channel II (Producer-Wholesaler-Retailer- Consumer) being the most preferred by farmers. The highest price spread of ₹3387 was observed in Channel I, attributed to the greater number of intermediaries and market places involved and ₹729.28 for channel II.

Keywords: Colour capsicum, marketing, marketing efficiency, price spread

Introduction

(*Capsicum* spp), commonly known as pepper, is a member of the Solanaceae family. Often referred to as sweet pepper, bell pepper, or Shimla Mirch, it is a popular vegetable widely cultivated across India. Capsicum is widely known as a highly preferred vegetable and salad. Capsicum is also called as sweet pepper due to absence of capsaicin thus it has taken up the role of an important vegetable crop around Among various vegetables it holds significance due to its nutritional value and market demand (Maitra *et al.*, 2024) ^[6]. It is extensively grown in countries such as India, China, Mexico, Indonesia, and Spain. The fruit of capsicum is classified as a berry and includes a range of varieties, such as sweet peppers, chili peppers, and bell peppers. In modern agriculture, capsicum is recognized as a significant vegetable and cash crop, alongside other key crops like tomatoes, potatoes, and onions. Approximately 36 million tonnes of capsicum was produced worldwide from 2 million hectares area, led by China (46% of the total production), followed by Mexico, Indonesia, and Turkey (Anon. 2023) ^[1]. Capsicum is important vegetable crop in Maharashtra. It was cultivated on an area of 4.32 thousand ha with a total production of 39.27 thousand MT and productivity of 9.10 T/ha during 2023-24.

Materials and Methods

The sampling process for the present study involved the purposive selection of the study area, including the district, tehsils, villages, cultivators, and markets. Pune district was purposively selected due to maximum numbers of cultivators under colour capisucm. Among its 14 tehsils, Baramati and Indapur had a maximum cultivators under colour capisucm and were therefore selected at the second stage. Subsequently, three villages from each tehsil were purposively identified, resulting in a total of six villages.

From each village, 15 colour capisucm growers were randomly selected, constituting a total sample size of 90 respondents for the study.

Tools of Analysis

Total Marketing Cost: For calculating total marketing cost, this formula was used.

C = Cr + Cm1 + Cm2..... Cmn

Where.

C = Total marketing cost

Cr = Cost paid by the producer from the time the produce leaves the farm till he sells it.

Cm = Cost incurred by its middleman in the process of buying and selling the product.

Marketing Margin: The intermediary's margin is the difference between the total market payments he makes during his transaction. For obtaining marketing margin following formula was used.

$$MT = \sum (Si - Pi) / Qi$$

Where,

MT = Total Marketing Value

Si = Sell value of a product paid by ith firm

Pi = Purchase value of product paid by ith firm

Qi = Quantity of product handled by ith firm

Price Spread: Price spread means difference between consumer's price and price received by the farmer. In this study, price spread covered the overall cost of marketing as well as the profit or loss made by intermediaries in the process of moving produce from the farm to the customer.

$$Ps = Cp - Pf$$

Where.

Cp = Consumer's Price

Pf = Price received by the farmer

Marketing Efficiency (ME): The marketing efficiency was calculated by using the modified method suggested by Achary and Agarwal (2001).

$$MME = RP/(MC + MM)$$

Where,

MME = Modified measure of marketing efficiency

RP = Price paid by consumer or retailers sell price

MC = Total marketing cost MM = Net marketing margin

Result and Discussion

The following were the key marketing channels observed in colour capsicum marketing during the study.

- 1. Producer- Aggregators- Wholesaler- Retailer-Consumer.
- 2. Producer Wholesaler- Retailer- Consumer.

Cost of marketing colour capsicum

Table 1 presents the marketing costs incurred by colour capsicum producers across various post-harvest operations, including loading-unloading, packing, transportation, and commission charges.

Marketing encompasses various functions such as loadingunloading, packing, transportation, and handling of produce. The costs associated with these activities play a crucial role in colour capsicum marketing, as they directly influence both consumer prices and producer profitability.

Table 1: Channel wise per quintal marketing cost incurred by colour capsicum farmers

Sr. No.	Particulars	Channel	
Sr. No.	Particulars	Small	Large
1	Grading charge	36.5	31
1		(11.25)	(14.59)
2	Packing material charges	35	35
		(10.79)	(16.47)
3	Transport charges	34	38
		(10.48)	(17.88)
4	Hamali	6.5	11.25
		(2)	(5.29)
5	Commission charges	0	0
		0	0
6	Other (Breakfast, meal, etc.)	212.5	163
		65.49	76.71
		(0.2)	(0.36)
7	Total marketing cost	324.5	212.5
		(100)	(100)
8	Average price received	6272.5	8350
	Net Price realized	5948	7137.5

It could be revealed from the Table 1. that, the per quintal cost of marketing of colour capsicum for small and large size groups was ₹ 324.50 and ₹ 212.50, respectively. Thus, the per quintal marketing cost was highest in the small size group, indicating higher marketing expenditure compared to large farmers due to the role of aggregators. Among the marketing costs, other expenses (breakfast, meal, etc.) and transportation charges were the major items, contributing the highest share in total marketing cost.

Price spread in different marketing channels of colour capsicum

The price spread represents the gap between the amount paid by the consumer and the price realized by the producer, encompassing all post-harvest expenses and the profit margins of intermediaries. The detailed breakdown of costs and margins associated with each agency in the marketing structure is provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Price spread in different channels of colour Capsicum, (₹/q)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Channel I	Channel II
1	c	6272.5	8350
	Gross price received by Farmer	(100)	(91.9)
		324.5	212.5
	i) Marketing cost	(3.36)	(2.34)
	ii) Net price realized	5948 (61.57)	7137.5 (73.88)
	Aggregators		
	i) Price paid	6272.5	0
		(64.93)	
	*****	330.5	0
2	ii) Marketing cost	(3.42)	
	iii) Marketing margin	377.5	0
		(3.91)	
		6650	0
	iv) Price received	(68.84)	
3	Wholesaler		
		6650	8350
	i) Price paid	(68.84)	(91.96)
	ii) Marketing cost	250.5	150.5
		(2.59)	(1.66)
	iii) Marketing margin	290	280
		(3)	(3.08)
	iv) Price received	7265.5	8630
		(75.21)	(95.05)
	Retailer		
	i) Price paid	7265.5	8630
4		(75.21)	(95.05)
	ii) Marketing cost	298.12	110.45
		(3.09)	(1.22)
	til) Mandagina manain	2096.38	339.44
	iii) Marketing margin	(21.7)	(3.74)
iv) Price received		9660	9079.89
		(100)	(100)
	Consumer		
i) Price paid		9660	9079.89
		(100)	(100)
Price spread		3387	729.28

(Figures in the parentheses is the percentages to the price paid by consumer)

The Table 2 indicated that the producer received a net price of ₹6272 in Channel I, ₹8350 in Channel II. The lowest price spread was recorded in Channel II (Producer-Wholesaler-retailer -consumer) due to minimal marketing costs and margins. The highest price spread of ₹3387 was observed in Channel I, attributed to the greater number of intermediaries and market places involved and ₹729.28 for channel II.

The estimated producer's share in the consumer rupee was (61.57%) in Channel I, and (73.88%) in Channel II. This indicated that Channel II was the most profitable, allowing colour capsicum farmers to retain the highest share of the consumer price.

Channel wise marketing efficiency of colour capsicum

Marketing efficiency was assessed using the modified method proposed by Acharya and Aggarwal (1999), which provides a comprehensive framework for evaluating the efficiency of marketing channels based on costs and price realization.

Table 3: Channel wise marketing efficiency of colour capsicum

Sr. No.	Particulars	Size Groups	
		Channel I	Channel II
1	Net price received by farmer	5948	7137.5
2	Total marketing cost	1203.62	473.45
3	Total Marketing Margin	2763.88	619.44
	MM + MC	3967.5	1092.89
4	Price paid by consumer	9660	9079.89
5	Marketing efficiency ratio	2.43	8.31

According to Table 3, the marketing efficiency was maximum in Channel-II (8.31), followed by Channel-II (2.43). The higher efficiency observed in Channel-II indicates a more cost-effective and profitable marketing channel for colour capsicum compared to Channel-I. Similar results were observed in the study conducted by Kalia *et al.* (2021) supporting the present findings.

Conclusion

- Following channels was identified for marketing of colour capsicum in research area i) Producer-Aggregator-Wholesaler-Retailer-Consumer, ii) Producer - Wholesaler - Retailer - Consumer. Among the two, Channel II accounted for the majority of colour capsicum sales.
- 2. The average per quintal marketing cost is ₹324 in Channel I, and for Channel II it is ₹212.5.The producer's share in the consumer rupee was (61.57%) in Channel-I and (73.88%) in Channel-II.The maximum marketing efficiency was highest 8.31 in channel II.

References

- 1. Anonymous. FAOSTAT. 2023. [cited 2025 Aug 19]. Available from: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/
- 2. Barwal P. A study on marketing channels and marketing efficiency of colour capsicum in Mid-Hills of Himachal Pradesh. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2022;15.
- Choudhary S, Meena NM, Moond NSK, Ram ND, Shukla NUN. Productivity, Quality and Economics of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annum*) Cultivars under Modified Net House Conditions in Arid Regions. Ann Arid Zone. 2024;63(2):21-7.
- 4. Jakhar RK, Singh AK, Kumawat N. Performance of capsicum cultivars (*Capsicum annum* L.) grown under shade net and open field in arid ecosystem of Rajasthan. Environ Ecol. 2017;35(1):290-4.
- 5. Kalia P, Kathuria K, Kumar K, Farooq MS. Price Spread and Marketing Efficiency of Capsicum: A Study of Kandaghat Block of Solan District in Himachal Pradesh (India). Asian J Dairy Food Res. 2021;40(2):225-8.
- Maitra S, Sairam M, Santosh DT, Gaikwad DJ, Sahoo U. Growth, productivity and quality of colored capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.) as influenced by hybrids and plant growth regulators under controlled environment conditions. Res Crops. 2024;25(2):328-35.
- 7. Pavithra KN, Gaddi GM, Pooja. Price spread in capsicum cultivation under open-field and protected cultivation in Chikkaballapura district of Karnataka. Pharma Innov J. 2021;10(7):7109.
- 8. Shekhaliya V, Mishra S. Analysis of marketing channels of Papaya in Middle Gujarat, India. J Exp Agric Int. 2024;46(8):355-63.

- 9. Shukla C, Acharya SK, Bhakar SR, Jamrey PK. Effect of different micro climate on the physiological parameters and yield of capsicum. Hortic Int J. 2019;3(2):61-5.
- 10. Singh N, Sharma R, Kayastha R. Comparative Economics Analysis of Capsicum Cultivation under Protected and Open Field Conditions in Himachal Pradesh. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(8):1002-12.
- 11. Thakur P, Mehta P, Lal P, Chaudhary R, Pani SK, Singh AG, *et al.* Agricultural Produce Supply Chain Network of Colour capsicum: Empirical Evidence from India. Economies. 2024;12(1):24.
- 12. Thapa K, Kafle B, Bohara B, Shahi M, Regmi K. Production and Marketing Economics of Off-Season Tomato in Kaski District, Nepal. Food Agribus Manag. 2024;5(2):66-72.