



International Journal of Horticulture and Food Science

E-ISSN: 2663-1067

P-ISSN: 2663-1075

NAAS Rating (2025): 4.74

www.hortijournal.com

IJHFS 2025; 7(9): 13-17

Received: 13-06-2025

Accepted: 16-07-2025

Aayushi D Vaidya

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Dr. AM Navale

Former Head, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Dr. RT Gaikwad

Head, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Dr. BT Patil

Head, Department of Horticulture, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Ritu S Thakare

Associate Professor, Department of Soil Science, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Vijaya B Athwale

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:

Aayushi D Vaidya

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Studies on plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* L.)

Aayushi D Vaidya, AM Navale, RT Gaikwad, BT Patil, Ritu S Thakare and Vijaya B Athwale

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26631067.2025.v7.i9a.386>

Abstract

The present investigation was conducted during kharif 2024-25 at the Experimental farm of Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, MPKV, Rahuri, to isolate, characterize and evaluate efficient plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*). Nine bacterial isolates were obtained from the rhizosphere and identified as belonging to *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species based on morphological, physiological and biochemical traits. Laboratory assays revealed their potential for nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization and potassium mobilization. A field experiment laid out in randomized block design with seven treatments and three replications assessed the effect of PGPR with graded NPK levels. Results indicated that the combined application of PGPR with 100% NPK significantly improved vine length, number of primary branches, fruit yield compared to control and sole NPK application. The study highlights the potential of integrating PGPR with reduced fertilizer doses for sustainable cultivation of bottle gourd.

Keywords: PGPR, *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, biofertilizer, bottle gourd

1. Introduction

Bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Mol. Standl.) is one of the most widely cultivated cucurbitaceous vegetables in India and other tropical and subtropical regions. It is valued not only for its tender green fruits consumed as a staple vegetable but also for its diverse medicinal and industrial applications. The crop is rich in water (96%), carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins, and is recognized for its therapeutic properties such as diuretic, cardiotonic and cooling effects. In India, it occupies more than 1.55 lakh hectares with a production of over 2.5 million tonnes, predominantly in states like Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra and Bihar. Despite its nutritional and economic importance, bottle gourd productivity remains constrained by imbalanced fertilizer use and declining soil fertility. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) have emerged as an effective and sustainable tool to improve crop performance. PGPR are free-living soil bacteria that colonize the rhizosphere and promote plant growth through direct mechanisms such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization and potassium mobilization. Among the diverse PGPR genera, *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* are widely studied for their efficiency in nutrient mobilization and biocontrol potential. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to (i) isolate, identify and characterize efficient PGPR strains from the rhizosphere of bottle gourd, (ii) evaluate their nutrient solubilizing abilities under laboratory conditions, and (iii) assess their impact on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of bottle gourd under graded levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental site

A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2024-25 at the Experimental Farm, Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth (MPKV), Rahuri, Maharashtra, India. Geographically, the site lies in the scarcity zone of Western Maharashtra, characterized by semi-arid climate with mean annual rainfall of 520 mm, received in two peaks (July and September).

The experimental soil was medium black with neutral reaction (pH 7.72), low in available nitrogen (203.7 kg ha⁻¹), low in available phosphorus (10.3 kg ha⁻¹), and medium in available potassium (330.45 kg ha⁻¹). Organic carbon content was 0.55%.

2.2 Plant material and fertilizers

Seeds of bottle gourd cultivar *Phule Samrat* were procured from the AICRP on Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, MPKV, Rahuri. The recommended fertilizer dose (RDF) for bottle gourd was 50:50:50 N:P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹ applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash, respectively.

2.3 Isolation and characterization of PGPR

Rhizospheric soil samples of bottle gourd were collected from AICRP vegetable Improvement project, MPKV Rahuri. One gram of soil was serially diluted up to 10⁻⁶ using sterile distilled water, and 0.1 mL aliquots were plated on selective media: Jensen's medium for *Azotobacter*, Pikovskaya's medium for phosphate solubilizing bacteria, and Aleksandrow's medium for potassium mobilizers. Plates were incubated at 28-30 °C for 7 days, and distinct colonies were purified by streak plate method.

2.4 Morphological and physiological characterization

Isolates were characterized based on colony morphology, pigmentation, Gram reaction and cell shape. Temperature tolerance was assessed at 30, 40 and 50 °C on nutrient agar.

2.5 Biochemical characterization

Standard assays were performed for catalase, urease, starch hydrolysis, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, and gelatin hydrolysis following Cappuccino and Sherman (1987) [13].

2.6 Functional potential

Nitrogen fixation was estimated using Microkjeldahl method (Reis *et al.*, 1994) [12] in cultures grown in Jensen's medium. Phosphate solubilization was assessed by halo zone formation on Pikovskaya's medium. Potassium mobilization was determined qualitatively on Aleksandrow's medium containing muscovite mica.

2.7 Experimental design and treatments

The field trial was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with seven treatments replicated three times. Each gross plot measured 4.5 × 5.0 m with a net plot of 3.0 × 4.0 m, maintaining 1.5 m × 1.0 m plant spacing.

The treatments were as follows:

| Treatment No. | Treatment details |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
| T ₁ | Absolute control |
| T ₂ | Reference strain |
| T ₃ | 25% NPK + PGPR |
| T ₄ | 50% NPK + PGPR |
| T ₅ | 75% NPK + PGPR |
| T ₆ | 100% NPK + PGPR |
| T ₇ | 100% NPK (alone) without PGPR |

2.8 Crop management

Ten seeds were sown per plot in July 2024. Standard agronomic practices were followed. A light irrigation was given immediately after sowing, followed by irrigation at

10-15 days intervals. Hand weeding and intercultural operations were carried out as required. Fully matured fruits were harvested manually at physiological maturity.

Observations recorded from three randomly selected plants per plot: Germination percentage (7 DAS), Vine length (cm), Number of primary branches per vine, Days to 50% flowering, Fresh weight and dry weight of plant (g), Root length (cm), Number of fruits per vine, Average fruit weight (g), Fruit length and diameter (cm), Yield (q ha⁻¹).

2.9 Microbial population count

Soil samples (0-15 cm depth) were collected at sowing and harvesting to assess microbial populations using serial dilution and plate count technique (Alexander, 1977) [2].

2.10 Statistical analysis

Experimental data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for randomized block design as per Panse and Sukhatme (1967) [10]. Treatment means were compared at 1% and 5% levels of significance using critical difference (CD).

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Isolation and characterization of PGPR

Nine bacterial isolates were obtained from rhizospheric soil of bottle gourd and designated as *Azotobacter* (Isolates 1, 4, 7), *Pseudomonas* (Isolates 2, 5, 8) and *Bacillus* (Isolates 3, 6, 9). Morphologically, *Azotobacter* colonies were yellow pigmented and rod-shaped, *Pseudomonas* isolates were light green with smooth margins, while *Bacillus* formed whitish colonies with rough circular margins. Gram staining confirmed *Azotobacter* and *Pseudomonas* as Gram-negative, and *Bacillus* as Gram-positive.

Physiological assays revealed that all isolates grew well at 30 °C, moderately at 40 °C, while only *Pseudomonas* isolates (2, 5, 8) survived at 50 °C, confirming their mesophilic nature. Biochemical characterization showed that *Pseudomonas* and *Azotobacter* were positive for catalase, starch hydrolysis and gelatin hydrolysis, while *Bacillus* isolates showed strong positive Voges-Proskauer reaction, reflecting their metabolic diversity. These findings are consistent with Soesanto and Mugiastuti (2011) [19], who reported similar biochemical traits in PGPR isolated from vegetable rhizospheres.

Functional analysis confirmed that *Azotobacter* isolates fixed nitrogen efficiently, *Pseudomonas* isolates produced clear phosphate solubilization halos on Pikovskaya's medium, and *Bacillus* isolates mobilized potassium from muscovite mica. These traits validate their potential as biofertilizers for nutrient mobilization. Similar reports were made by Richardson *et al.* (2009) [14] for phosphate solubilization and Kumar *et al.* (2020) [6] for potassium mobilization in *Bacillus* strains.

Table 1: Collection of isolates from soil sample

| Location | Name of bacteria | Isolates | Medium |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| AICRP Vegetable project | Nitrogen-fixing bacteria | 1 | Jensen's |
| | | 4 | |
| | | 7 | |
| | Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria | 2 | Pikovskaya |
| | | 5 | |
| | | 8 | |
| | Potash-mobilizing bacteria | 3 | Aleksandrow |
| | | 6 | |
| | | 9 | |

Table 2: Characterization of isolates based on morphology

| Isolates | Gram Reaction | Colony colour | Shape | Size | Pigmentation |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|--------------|
| Isolate 1 | Gram-ve | Yellow | Rod (Circular) | 1-2 mm | Dark brown |
| Isolate 2 | Gram-ve | Light green | Straight rod | 1-2 mm | Yellow green |
| Isolate 3 | Gram +ve | White | Rod (Roughly circular) | 1-2 mm | Yellow white |
| Isolate 4 | Gram-ve | Yellow | Rod (Circular) | 1-2 mm | Dark brown |
| Isolate 5 | Gram-ve | Light green | Straight rod | 1-2 mm | Yellow green |
| Isolate 6 | Gram +ve | White | Rod (Roughly circular) | 1-2 mm | Yellow white |
| Isolate 7 | Gram-ve | Yellow | Rod (Circular) | 1-2 mm | Dark brown |
| Isolate 8 | Gram-ve | Light green | Straight rod | 1-2 mm | Yellow green |
| Isolate 9 | Gram +ve | White | Rod (Roughly circular) | 1-2 mm | Yellow white |

Table 3: Biochemical characterization of isolates

| Isolate no and Biochemical test | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Catalase test | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Urease test | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - |
| Strach Hydrolysis test | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - |
| MR test | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| VP test | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| Gelatin hydrolysis test | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - |

Table 4: Ability of isolates of *Azotobacter* spp. to fix Nitrogen by Microkjeldhals method

| Sr. No | Isolates No. | Nitrogenase activity mg/g of sucrose consumed |
|--------|--------------|---|
| 1. | Isolate 1 | 13.40 |
| 2. | Isolate 4 | 12.52 |
| 3. | Isolate 7 | 10.05 |

Table 5: Phosphate solubilization activity (Zone of solubilization)

| Sr. No. | Name of the isolate | Culture diameter | Solubilization zone | Solubilization efficiency (%) | Solubilization Index |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Isolate 2 | 10 | 20 | 200.0 | 3.0 |
| 2. | Isolate 5 | 9 | 13 | 144.4 | 2.4 |
| 3. | Isolate 8 | 11 | 26 | 236.3 | 3.3 |

Table 6: Potash solubilization activity (zone of solubilization) by different isolates

| Sr. No. | Name of the isolate | Culture diameter | Solubilization zone | Solubilization efficiency (%) | Solubilization Index |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Isolate 3 | 10 | 25 | 250.0 | 3.5 |
| 2. | Isolate 6 | 11 | 19 | 172.7 | 2.7 |
| 3. | Isolate 9 | 12 | 21 | 175.0 | 2.7 |

3.2 Effect of PGPR on germination and growth attributes

Among the different inoculation treatments, T₆ (100% NPK + PGPR) showed the highest germination rate of 86.53%. This was followed by T₅ (75% NPK + PGPR) with a germination percentage of 85.94%. The lowest germination was observed in T₁, the absolute control, with 78.19%. Overall, the results indicate that the application of PGPR along with fertilizers enhanced the germination percentage compared to the use of fertilizers alone in bottle gourd. Similar enhancement of seed germination by PGPR was reported in *Crataegus pseudoheterophylla* (Fatemeh *et al.*, 2014) [4].

3.3 Vine length

Observations on vine length were recorded at the time of final harvest. The shortest vine length was observed in T₁ (absolute control), measuring 71.55 cm at the flowering stage and 361.37 cm at harvest. In contrast, the longest vine length was recorded in T₆, with 93.01 cm at the flowering stage and 476.70 cm at harvest. Overall, these results indicate that the inoculation of *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Bacillus*, particularly in combination with fertilizers, improved vine length in bottle gourd compared to the

untreated control.

The improved vegetative growth with integrated nutrient management treatments was also recorded by Rekha and Gopalkrishnan (2001) [13] in bitter melon, Londhe *et al.* (2002) [9] in cabbage, Singh and Krishna (2007) [20] in pointed gourd, Tavhare (2007) [20] in brinjal cv. *Krishna*.

3.4 Fresh weight and dry weight

The highest total fresh weight of shoot and root was recorded in treatment T₆ as 950.04 g, where PGPR inoculation was applied. In contrast, the lowest total fresh weight was observed in treatment T₁, which served as the absolute control as 825.23 g without any PGPR inoculation. The highest total dry weight of shoot and root was recorded in treatment T₆ as 226.34 g, where PGPR inoculation was applied. In contrast, the lowest total dry weight was observed in treatment T₁, which served as the absolute control as 154.50 g without any PGPR inoculation. A similar observation was reported by Samayoa *et al.* (2020) [15], who demonstrated that screening and evaluating potential plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria associated with *Allium cepa* Linn. Positively influenced growth parameters in onion.

3.5 Root length

The treatment T₆ which involved the application of 100% NPK combined with PGPR, recorded the highest root length of 45.00 cm. This was followed by treatment T₅, 75% NPK

+ PGPR which recorded 43.57 cm however both the treatments were at par with each other. In contrast, the lowest root length of 20.00 cm was observed in treatment T₁, i.e. absolute control without any PGPR application.

Table 7: Observations recorded

| Tr. No. | Treatment | Germination percentage | Vine Length at harvesting | No of branches per vine | Days required for 50% flowering | Fresh weight (g) | Dry weight (g) | Root length (cm) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| T ₁ | Absolute control | 78.19 | 361.37 | 8.37 | 52.50 | 825.23 | 154.50 | 20.00 |
| T ₂ | Reference strain | 80.37 | 402.50 | 8.63 | 53.43 | 841.58 | 161.77 | 25.00 |
| T ₃ | 25% NPK + PGPR | 81.28 | 382.70 | 8.90 | 55.50 | 850.44 | 180.44 | 28.00 |
| T ₄ | 50% NPK + PGPR | 82.44 | 407.30 | 9.13 | 56.43 | 866.76 | 195.09 | 29.00 |
| T ₅ | 75% NPK + PGPR | 85.94 | 454.37 | 9.59 | 57.30 | 948.18 | 221.10 | 43.57 |
| T ₆ | 100% NPK + PGPR | 86.53 | 476.70 | 9.66 | 59.60 | 950.04 | 226.34 | 45.00 |
| T ₇ | 100% NPK (alone) without PGPR | 84.57 | 350.93 | 9.46 | 56.80 | 919.33 | 204.06 | 37.24 |
| | SE(m)± | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 1.81 | 0.63 |
| | CD at 5% | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.09 | 0.52 | 2.15 | 5.60 | 1.96 |

3.6 Yield attributes and fruit yield

3.6.1 Number of fruits per vine.

The highest number of fruits per vine was recorded in treatment T₆ (7.93 fruits per vine), which was statistically at par with treatment T₅. The lowest number of fruits per vine was observed in the absolute control (T₁), with 6.67 fruits per vine.

3.6.2 Fruit weight at marketable stage (g).

The highest average fruit weight was recorded in treatment T₆ at 815.36 g, while the lowest was noted in the absolute control, T₁, at 575.10 g.

3.6.3 Length of fruit (cm)

The highest fruit length was recorded in treatment T₆, measuring 30 cm, while the shortest fruit length was observed in the absolute control treatment T₁, at 21.67 cm.

3.6.4 Diameter of fruits (cm).

The highest fruit diameter was recorded in treatment T₆, measuring 9.76 cm, while the smallest was observed in T₁, with 8.33 cm. Similar variations in fruit diameter for different varieties of ridge gourd were earlier reported by Rathore *et al.* (2017) ^[11], who recorded a diameter of 4.75 cm at the center. Comparable findings were also reported by Krishnamoorthy and Ananthan (2017) ^[20].

3.6.5 Yield

The highest yield of 418.67 q/ha was achieved with 100% NPK + PGPR (T₆). This was followed by 75% NPK + PGPR (T₅) of 417.57 q/ha and 100% NPK (alone) without PGPR (T₇) of 411.53 q/ha which was at par with treatment T₆. The lowest yield of 360.97 q/ha was from the control group (T₁), which received no biofertilizers. Control plots (T₁) recorded the lowest yield, while T₆ was superior to PGPR integrated treatments, highlighting the synergistic role of bio-inoculants in nutrient assimilation and crop productivity. These findings corroborate those of Kumari *et al.* (2018) ^[7], who reported enhanced yield of mungbean with PGPR inoculation, and Singh *et al.* (2017) ^[17], who demonstrated increased garlic yield with *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria.

3.6.6 Microbial population

Soil analysis at harvest revealed higher available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in PGPR-treated plots compared to control and sole RDF plots. PGPR inoculation not only improved nutrient uptake by plants but also enhanced microbial population in the rhizosphere, suggesting positive microbial dynamics. These results are supported by Kurrey *et al.* (2018) ^[8], who reported that *Azotobacter* application improved soil organic carbon and nutrient availability in onion fields.

Table 8: Observations of fruit and yield

| Tr. No. | Treatment | Number of fruits per plant | Marketable Fruit weight (g) | Length of fruit (cm) | Diameter of fruit (cm) | Yield (q/ha) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| T ₁ | Absolute control | 6.67 | 575.10 | 21.67 | 8.33 | 360.97 |
| T ₂ | Reference strain | 6.83 | 655.23 | 22.00 | 8.59 | 396.57 |
| T ₃ | 25% NPK + PGPR | 7.10 | 658.70 | 24.67 | 9.05 | 399.40 |
| T ₄ | 50% NPK + PGPR | 7.40 | 718.73 | 26.00 | 9.20 | 409.50 |
| T ₅ | 75% NPK + PGPR | 7.87 | 740.53 | 29.26 | 9.55 | 417.57 |
| T ₆ | 100% NPK + PGPR | 7.93 | 815.36 | 30.00 | 9.76 | 418.67 |
| T ₇ | 100% NPK (alone) without PGPR | 7.73 | 735.80 | 28.90 | 9.43 | 411.53 |
| | SE(m)± | 0.04 | 38.10 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 2.40 |
| | CD at 5% | 0.12 | 117.40 | 1.15 | 0.48 | 7.42 |

4. Conclusion

The present investigation demonstrated that rhizospheric isolates of *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* possess multiple plant growth promoting traits such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization and potassium

mobilization, along with enzymatic activities that contribute to soil nutrient cycling. Field evaluation revealed that the integration of these PGPR with graded fertilizer levels significantly improved germination, vegetative growth, flowering, yield attributes of bottle gourd.

Among the different treatment combinations, the application of PGPR with 100% of the recommended NPK dose (T_6) was found to be the most efficient, producing yield equivalent to or better than the full recommended dose of fertilizers. This indicates that PGPR inoculation can effectively reduce the requirement of chemical fertilizers by at least 25% without compromising crop productivity. Moreover, PGPR treatments improved soil microbial population and nutrient status at harvest, suggesting their long-term role in enhancing soil fertility and sustainability. The study thus provides strong evidence that PGPR-based biofertilizers, when combined with rational use of chemical fertilizers, can serve as an eco-friendly and cost-effective strategy for sustainable production of bottle gourd. Wider adoption of such microbial inoculants in integrated nutrient management programs will not only improve vegetable productivity but also mitigate the adverse effects of indiscriminate fertilizer use on soil health and environment.

References

1. Adesemoye AO, Ugoji EO. Evaluating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in West Africa. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot. 2006;42:188-200.
2. Alexander M. Introduction to Soil Microbiology. 2nd Ed. New Delhi: John Wiley Eastern Ltd; 1977, p. 467.
3. Cappuccino JG, Sherman N. The Ames test: A bacterial test system for chemical carcinogenicity. In: Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual. 1987, p. 345.
4. Fatemeh A, Masoud T, Pejman A, Aidin H. Effect of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) and stratification on germination traits of *Crataegus pseudoheterophylla* Pojark. Seeds. Sci Hortic. 2014;172:61-67.
5. Krishnamoorthy V, Ananthan M. Evaluation of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.) genotypes for higher yield. J Krishi Vigyan. 2017;6(1):229-231.
6. Kumar M, Saxena AK, Chakdar H, Anuroopa N, Bagyaraj DJ. *Bacillus* species in soil as a natural resource for plant health and nutrition. J Appl Microbiol. 2020;128(6):1583-1594.
7. Kumari P, Meena M, Upadhyay RS. Characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) isolated from the rhizosphere of *Vigna radiata* (mung bean). Biocatal Agric Biotechnol. 2018;16:155-162.
8. Kurrey DK, Sharma R, Lahre MK, Kurrey RL. Effect of *Azotobacter* on physio-chemical characteristics of soil in onion field. Pharma Innov J. 2018;7(2):108-113.
9. Londhe SD, Londhe SD, Supe VG. Studies on integrated nutrient management in cabbage cv. Golden Acre. MPKV, Rahuri; 2002.
10. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. 2nd Ed. New Delhi: ICAR; 1967, p. 38.
11. Rathore JS, Collis JP, Singh G, Rajawat KS, Jat BL. Studies on genetic variability in ridge gourd genotypes in Allahabad agroclimate condition. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(2):317-338.
12. Reis VM, Olivares FL, Dobereiner J. Improved methodology for isolation of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and confirmation of its habitat. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 1994;10:101-104.
13. Rekha CR, Gopalakrishnan TR. Effect of levels and frequencies of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth and productivity of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). J Trop Agric. 2001;39:137-139.
14. Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. In: Advances in Agronomy. 2009;101:305-339.
15. Samayoa BE, Shen FT, Lai WA, Chen WC. Screening and assessment of potential plant growth-promoting bacteria associated with *Allium cepa* Linn. Microbes Environ. 2020;35(2):ME19147.
16. Singh KP, Mohan K. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable production of pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.) under Ganga diara of Bihar. Asian J Hortic. 2007;2(1):99-101.
17. Singh V, Sharma KC, Sharma HR. Effect of bio-inoculants and graded levels of fertilizers on nutrient uptake in garlic. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(5):1200-1209.
18. Singh VK, Shree S, Kumar R, Singh P, Singh RG. Effect of microbial inoculants and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of hybrid cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*). Bioscan. 2015;10(3):1227-1231.
19. Soesanto E, Mugiastuti, Rahayuniati RF. Morphological and physiological features of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P60. In: 4th International Seminar of Indonesian Society for Microbiology. 2011; p. 22-24.
20. Tavhare SB. Integrated nutrient management in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) cv. Krishna [Dissertation]. Rahuri: Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth; 2007.