

E-ISSN: 2663-1067 P-ISSN: 2663-1075 NAAS Rating (2025): 4.74 www.hortijournal.com IJHFS 2025; 7(10): 12-15 Received: 22-07-2025

Received: 22-07-2025 Accepted: 27-08-2025

Bhawana Kumari

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

AS Jodha

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Damini Visen

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Vishal Pareek

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Sonia Jaiswani

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Dhwani Sharma

Department of Biotechnology, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: Bhawana Kumari Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, JRNRV University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Effect of Nano DAP on Growth and Yield of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.)

Bhawana Kumari, AS Jodha, Damini Visen, Vishal Pareek, Sonia Jaiswani and Dhwani Sharma

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26631067.2025.v7.i10a.396

Abstract

A field experiment entitled "Effect of Nano DAP on Growth and Yield of Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.)" was conducted during rabi, 2024-25 at Instructional Farm, School of Agricultural Sciences, Dabok, Udaipur to find out the effect of nano DAP on growth, yield and quality of fenugreek, to explore whether application of nano DAP can fulfil the recommended dose of fertilizers and to work out an economically viable treatment. The experiment consisted of 12 treatment combinations including RDF (50, 75 and 100%), nano DAP 6 ml l-1 (one and two spray) in combination or alone and control which were evaluated under randomized block design with 3 replications. The findings showed that the highest values for growth attributes viz., plant height at 30, 60, 90 DAS and harvest, dry matter accumulation at and number of branches per plant as well as yield attributes and yield viz., number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, test weight, seed and biological yield (1829 and 6616 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded with the application of treatment T₁₂ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l-1) two spray] which was statistically at par with T₁₁ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l-1) one spray]. Fenugreek crop recorded highest chlorophyll content with the application of treatment T₁₂ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray]. The aforesaid treatment also fetched highest net return (₹105741 ha⁻¹) contrary highest B-C ratio (3.79) was recorded under treatment T₁₀ (100% RDF). Therefore, application of 100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray may be recommended for fenugreek to obtain higher yield and net returns.

Keywords: Fenugreek, Nano DAP, Growth, Yield, Quality and Economics

1. Introduction

Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.), an essential autogamous seed spice, belongs to the Fabaceae family and the Papilionaceae subfamily. It is a diploid species with chromosomal number 2n=16. It is popularly grown as annual rabi crop in north India and generally known as 'methi'. The name fenugreek is derived from the species name "foenumgraecum" which translates to "Greek hay" (Flammang et al., 2004) [9]. It is thought to be indigenous to an area stretching from Iran to Northern India (Marzougui et al., 2007) [16]. The seed contain an alkaloid trigonellin (0.12-0.38%) is thought to reduce glycosuria in diabetes. The important steroid "Diosgenin" is used in synthesis of sex hormone and makes ovule contraceptive. The sapogenin, is an estrogen precursor and helps in managing menopause. The concentration of diosgenin varies from 0.86 to 2.2% in seed (Bochalia et al., 2011) [3]. Fenugreek is reported to have anti-diabetic, anti-fertility, anticancer, anti-microbial, anti-parasitic and hypocholesterolaemic effects (Al-Habori and Raman, 2002) [1]. Fenugreek seed contains carbohydrates (48%), followed by proteins (25.5%), mucilaginous matter (20%), fats (7.9%) and saponins (4.8%) (Rao and Sharma, 1987). Fenugreek is India's third most important seed spice, after coriander and cumin. Total area under cultivation of fenugreek in India is 1.56 lakh hectares with an annual production of 2.41 lakh tons during 2020-21. Fenugreek occupies prime place amongst the seed spices grown in northern India particularly in Rajasthan. Rajasthan is considered as "Fenugreek Bowl" of the country (Latye et al., 2016) [12]. Rajasthan is the major producer of fenugreek followed by Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, West Bengal, Uttaranchal, Haryana (Spice Board of India, 2020-21). The average productivity of fenugreek in India is low (1215 kg ha⁻¹) which is required to be increased (Malav et al., 2018) [14].

Nano DAP is a nanotechnology based revolutionary input and a sustainable option for

farmers towards smart agriculture. Nano DAP is an efficient source of available nitrogen and phosphorous for all crops and helps in correcting their deficiencies in standing crops. Nano DAP formulation contains 8.0 per cent N (w/v) and 16 per cent P2O5 (w/v). Application of nano DAP leads to higher seed vigour, more chlorophyll, photosynthetic efficiency, better quality and increase in crop yield. Nano DAP fulfils the nutritional demand of crops without harming the environment. Nano-fertilizers outperform traditional fertilizers because they can triple nutrient efficacy, minimize the need for chemical fertilizers, make crops drought and disease resistant and are less harmful to the environment. It takes less time, produces more and decreases the amount of conventional fertilizer needed by at least 50% (Panda et al., 2020) [19]. The term "nano" derives from the Greek word for "dwarf" which refers to one billionth of a metre, or 10-9. Particles with at least one dimension smaller than 100 nm are termed as "nanoparticles" (Thakkar *et al.*, 2010) [27]. Nano-fertilizers offer large surface area sorption capacity, and controlledrelease kinetics to specified areas, they have been termed as smart delivery method (Rameshaiah et al., 2015; Solanki et al., 2015) [22, 25].

Materials and Methods

In the rabi of 2024-25, the field experiment was carried out at the School of Agricultural Sciences, Instructional Farm in Dabok, Udaipur. There was 6.4 mm of rain overall throughout the crop growing season. Clay loam soil with a slightly alkaline response (pH 7.3), low organic carbon (0.58%), low nitrogen (254.4 kg ha⁻¹) and medium accessible phosphorus (21.5 kg ha-1), but high potassium (353.2 kg ha⁻¹) was the soil type used in the experiment. Ten treatment combinations including four with 100% RDF along with vermicompost, FYM and PSB in combination or alone, five with 50% RDF along with vermicompost, FYM and PSB in combination or alone and control which were evaluated under randomized block design with 3 replications. On November 16, 2024, fenugreek crop was planted. To maximize plant stands, 25 kg ha⁻¹ of seeds were utilized, with 30 cm between rows. The fertilizer dosage that was advised was maintained at 40:20:0 (N:P:K). During the whole growth season, two irrigations were given. In accordance with treatments, fertilizers were administered.

Results and Discussion Effect of treatments Growth attributes

The results (Table 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3) revealed that significant effect of nano DAP was recorded on different growth parameter as viz., plant height, dry matter accumulation and number of leaves per plant over control which indicated that varying doses of treatments were effective in increasing the growth parameter of fenugreek. The highest plant height at 30, 60, 90 DAS and harvest (20.45, 46.31, 60.56 and 71.72 cm, respectively), dry matter accumulation at 60, 90 DAS and harvest (4.89, 12.07 and 17.48 g plant⁻¹, respectively) and number of branches per plant (7.13) were recorded superior with the application of T_{12} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray] which was statistically at par with T_{11} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml 1⁻¹) one spray]. Liu and Lal (2014) observed similar results on soybean crops, stating that the use of nanoparticles boosted growth characteristics such as plant height and raised soybean

growth rate by 32.6 per cent when compared to soybean provided with standard phosphatic fertilizer. Results published by Vaghar *et al.* (2020) ^[28] in soybean indicated that foliar application of iron, zinc and manganese nanochelate, particularly in combination, might improve plant development, height, number of branches and yield contributing traits.

Yield attributes and yield

The results (Table 4.4 and 4.5) revealed that the application of nano DAP in fenugreek significantly influenced the yield attributes. Maximum number of pods per plant (29.28), pod length (12.25 cm), number of seeds per pod (16.18) and test weight (12.07) were recorded with the application of 100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray as compared to other treatments except 100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l-1) one spray. Seed and biological yield (1829 and 6616 kg ha⁻¹) were significantly higher with application of T₁₂ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml 1⁻¹) two spray] which was statistically at par with T₁₁ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻ 1) one sprayl. The foliar application of NPK nano-fertilizers was shown to enhance chickpea yield attributes by increasing growth hormone activity and enhancing metabolic processes, which in turn prompted flowering, the number of pods and grain formation (Drostkar et al., 2016) [8]. Thus, foliar application of nano DAP improves yield contributing characteristics along with yield. Similar results were reported by Kailas et al. (2017) [10] in pegionpea, Choudhary *et al.* (2018) [6], Mallikarjuna (2021) [15] in maize and Raiput et al. (2022) [21] in millet.

Quality parameters

It was observed (Table 4.6) that application of nano DAP had a significant effect on chlorophyll content of fenugreek. Maximum chlorophyll content (3.04 mg g⁻¹) was recorded with the application of treatment T₁₂ [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray] which was statistically at par with T_{11} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml 1^{-1}) one spray]. The minimum values for chlorophyll content was recorded with treatment T₁ (Control). This might be due to the fact that use of inorganic fertilizers in combination with nano DAP may serve as an adequate nutrition that is prerequisite in protein biosynthesis which might have improved the nutrient uptake, ultimately resulted to more chlorophyll content and dry matter accumulation in fenugreek. Nano fertilizers, with their small particle size, which ensure efficient nutrient uptake and utilization, providing essential elements for metabolic processes. Maske et al. (2025) [17] noted that balanced application in nano form improves nutrient availability, leading to increased TSS content in chili fruits. Similar inferences were also concluded by Mishra et al. (2020) [18], Chauhan and Deepanshu (2023) [5] in chilli, Bolashetti et al. (2023) [4] in Onion and Khule et al. (2023) [11] in Linseed.

Economics

The results (Table 4.7) revealed that the application of nano DAP in fenugreek significantly influenced net return and B-C ratio. The maximum net return (\gtrless 105741 ha⁻¹) was recorded under T_{12} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray] which was statistically at par with T_9 [75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray], T_{10} (100% RDF) and T_{11} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) one spray] whereas, maximum B-C ratio (3.79) was recorded under T_{10} (100%

RDF). The increased net return could be explained on the basis of increased yield under T_{12} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l⁻¹) two spray] which was higher than rest of the treatments. The present results are consistent with the findings of Attri *et al.* (2023) ^[2] in rice, Singh *et al.* (2023) ^[24] in wheat, Dobriya *et al.* (2025) ^[7] in pearl millet and Rajpurohit *et al.* (2024) ^[20] and Yewale *et al.* (2025) ^[29] in chickpea.

Conclusion

On the basis of results procured from present investigation it

may be concluded that under prevailing agro-climatic zone IVa of Rajasthan, a significant increase in growth, yield attributes, yield, quality, as well as net returns of fenugreek were found under application of T_{12} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l^{-1}) two spray]. The maximum yield (1829 kg ha $^{\!-1}$) and net return (₹105741 ha $^{\!-1}$) were obtained with T_{12} [100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml $l^{\!-1}$) two spray]. Therefore, application of 100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml $l^{\!-1}$) two spray may be recommended for fenugreek to obtain higher yield and net returns. However, these are one-year results and need further experimentation for final recommendation.

Table 1: Effect of treatments on plant height and dry matter accumulation of fenugreek at successive growth stages

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				Dry mater accumulation (g plant ⁻¹)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Harvest
Control	15.51	31.13	45.38	56.54	1.67	3.56	8.10	12.99
Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	16.25	37.12	51.37	62.54	1.77	3.99	10.76	15.65
Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	16.50	37.37	51.62	62.78	1.83	4.14	10.91	15.80
50% RDF	16.39	37.26	51.51	62.68	1.82	4.12	10.83	15.72
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	16.49	38.03	52.28	62.78	1.89	4.49	11.04	15.93
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	17.03	40.79	55.04	66.21	1.91	4.57	11.12	16.01
75% RDF	18.51	39.38	53.63	64.79	1.82	4.52	11.08	15.97
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	19.12	40.27	54.52	65.69	1.93	4.59	11.14	16.03
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	19.30	42.40	56.65	67.82	1.90	4.68	11.23	16.12
100% RDF	19.58	40.79	55.37	66.20	1.85	4.76	11.32	16.21
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	19.80	44.65	58.90	70.07	1.88	4.77	11.33	16.88
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	20.45	46.31	60.56	71.72	1.90	4.89	12.07	17.48
S.Em. ±	0.67	0.77	0.75	0.71	0.08	0.13	0.29	0.39
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.97	2.25	2.20	2.09	NS	0.37	0.86	1.14

Table 2: Effect of treatments on number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod and test weight in fenugreek

Treatments	Number of branches	Number of pods	Pod length	Number of seeds per	Test weight	
	per plant	per plant	(cm)	pod	(g)	
Control	4.18	16.18	8.49	10.85	8.76	
Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	5.35	20.58	10.94	11.78	10.93	
Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	5.91	21.85	11.09	12.46	10.91	
50% RDF	5.41	22.45	11.01	13.59	10.83	
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	6.04	22.83	11.22	13.65	11.13	
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	6.19	23.36	11.30	14.18	11.23	
75% RDF	6.33	23.62	11.25	14.76	11.08	
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	6.47	23.69	11.32	15.83	11.30	
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	6.73	26.37	11.41	15.92	11.33	
100% RDF	6.58	25.25	11.49	16.01	11.32	
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	6.63	27.02	11.50	16.11	11.47	
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	7.13	29.28	12.25	16.18	12.07	
S.Em. ±	0.26	1.57	0.21	0.54	0.27	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.76	4.61	0.60	1.58	0.79	

Table 3: Effect of treatments on yield, harvest index, chlorophyll content, net return and B-C

Treatments	Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		Harvest index	Chlorophyll content	Net return (₹ ha	В-С	
	Seed	Haulm	Biological	(%)	at 60 DAS (mg g-1)	1)	ratio
Control	1181	4027	5243	22.68	2.28	68215	2.78
Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	1318	4112	5430	24.29	2.49	74050	2.60
Nano DAP (6 ml 1 ⁻¹) two spray	1430	4127	5557	25.75	2.50	77909	2.40
50% RDF	1434	4210	5643	25.44	2.41	85507	3.37
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	1530	4329	5859	26.10	2.60	88589	3.02
50% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	1625	4340	5964	27.24	2.61	91198	2.73
75% RDF	1567	4477	6044	25.92	2.51	95080	3.69
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	1607	4426	6033	26.80	2.67	93756	3.15
75% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	1691	4754	6446	26.26	2.69	96484	2.85
100% RDF	1629	4636	6264	26.01	2.63	99359	3.79
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) one spray	1732	4523	6255	27.71	2.91	102300	3.38
100% RDF + Nano DAP (6 ml l ⁻¹) two spray	1829	4787	6616	27.70	3.04	105741	3.09
S.Em. ±	44.70	168.28	181.44	0.81	0.06	3269	0.11
C.D. (P=0.05)	131.10	NS	532.16	NS	0.17	9587	0.33

References

- Al-Habori M, Raman A. Pharmacological properties in fenugreek. In: Petropoulos GA, editor. The genus Trigonella. 1st ed. London: Taylor and Francis; 2002. p. 163-82.
- 2. Attri M, Sharma N, Mehta S, Mecarty JS. Effects of seedling dipping and foliar application of nano DAP on growth, yield and economics of fine rice. Bangladesh J Bot. 2023;52(4):1025-1031.
- 3. Bochalia GS, Tiwari RC, Ram B, Kantwa SR, Choudhari AC. Response of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) genotypes to planting geometry, agro-chemicals and sulphur levels. Indian J Agron. 2011;56(3):273-279.
- 4. Bolashetti S, Hilli JS, Uppar DS, Hiremath SM. Influence of foliar nutrition through nano urea and boron on plant growth characters and seed yield in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(10):1343-6.
- 5. Chauhan R, Deepanshu. Effect of traditional fertilizer, nano fertilizer and micronutrient on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int J Environ Climate Change. 2023;13:2740-6.
- Choudhary DK, Karmakar S, Kumar B. Intercession of legume-based intercropping and nano phosphorus as managerial input for upland of Jharkhand. Chem Sci Rev Lett. 2018;7(28):941-946.
- 7. Dobariya MP, Bhuva HM, Goplani SN, Khunt AK. Impact of nano DAP on growth, yield and economics of summer pearl millet. Int J Res Agron. 2025;8(6):118-21.
- 8. Drostkar E, Talebi R, Kanouni H. Foliar application of Fe, Zn and NPK nano-fertilizers on seed yield and morphological traits in chickpea under rainfed condition. J Resour Ecol. 2016;4(1):221-8.
- 9. Flammang AM, Cifone MA, Erexson GL, Stankowski LF. Genotoxicity testing of a fenugreek extract. Food Chem Toxicol. 2004;42:1769-75.
- Kailas H, Rao KN, Balanagoudar S, Sharanagouda H. Effect of conventional and nano micronutrient fertilizers on yield and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;8(9):185-93.
- 11. Khule YR, Waghmare MS, Shelake MS, Boradkar SG, Chavan AL. Effect of foliar application of nano-N fertilizer on growth, yield, and quality of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(2):3208-11.
- 12. Latye PT, Bharad SG, Kale VS, Nandeshwar VN, Kholia A. Varietal performance of fenugreek under Akola conditions. Int J Minor Fruits Med Aromat Plants. 2016;2(1):32-4.
- 13. Liu R, Lal R. Synthetic apatite nanoparticles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean. Sci Rep. 2014;4(1):1-6
- Malav JK, Patel JK, Pavaya RP, Patel BB, Patel VR. Effect of different organic sources on fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) under organic farming module. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(2):17-25.
- 15. Mallikarjuna PR. Effect of nano nitrogen and nano zinc nutrition on nutrient uptake, growth and yield of irrigated maize during summer in the southern transition zone of Karnataka [MSc (Agri) thesis].

- Shivmogga: Keladi Shivappa Nayaka Univ Agric Sci; 2021
- Marzougui N, Ferchichi A, Guasmi F, Beji M. Morphological and chemical diversity among 38 Tunisian cultivars of (*Trigonella foenum-graecum L.*). J Food Agric Environ. 2007;5:245-50.
- 17. Maske NM, Ajabe MA, Mhaske BG. Effect of nano urea and nano DAP on the growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int J Plant Soil Sci. 2025;37(4):316-22.
- 18. Mishra B, Sahu GS, Mohanty LK, Swain BC, Hati S. Effect of nano fertilizers on growth, yield and economics of tomato variety Arka Rakshak. Indian J Pure Appl Biosci. 2020;8(6):200-4.
- 19. Panda JN, Pattnaik A, Mahapatra A, Jena P, Asim NS. Effects of nano fertilizer on vegetative growth of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9:1980-6.
- Rajpurohit D, Solanki NS, Mathur IJ, Pareek V, Tyagi S, Jaiswani S, et al. Effect of nano DAP and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Prog Res Int J. 2024;19(1):499-505.
- 21. Rajput JS, Thakur AK, Nag NK, Chandrakar T, Singh DP. Effect of nano fertilizer in relation to growth, yield and economics of little millet (*Panicum sumatrense* Roth) under rainfed conditions. Pharma Innov J. 2022;11(7):153-6.
- 22. Rameshaiah GN, Pallavi J, Shabnam S. Nano fertilizers and nano sensors-an attempt for developing smart agriculture. Int J Eng Res Gen Sci. 2015;3(1):314-20.
- 23. Rao PU, Sharma RD. An evaluation of protein quality in fenugreek seed and their supplementary effect. Food Chem. 1987;24(1):1-9.
- 24. Singh NS, Tandon A, Banjara GP, Sahu M, Mali M. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(7):1671-5.
- 25. Solanki P, Bhargava A, Chhipa H, Jain N, Panwar J. Nano-fertilizers and their smart delivery system. In: Rai M, Ribeiro C, Mattoso L, Duran N, editors. Nanotechnologies in food and agriculture. Cham: Springer; 2015. p. 81-101.
- 26. Spice Board of India. Major spice wise area and production 2020-21. Kerala: Spice Board of India; 2021. Available from: https://www.indianspices.com
- 27. Thakkar MN, Mhatre S, Parikh RY. Biological synthesis of metallic nanoparticles. Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med. 2010;6:257-62.
- 28. Vaghar MS, Sayfzadeh S, Zakerin HR, Kobraee S, Valadabadi SA. Foliar application of iron, zinc, and manganese nano-chelates improves physiological indicators and soybean yield under water deficit stress. J Plant Nutr. 2020;43(18):2740-56.
- 29. Yewale SV, Ugale NS, Danawale NJ, Ghodke SK, Patil MR, Bodake PS. Response of foliar spray of nano DAP and nutrients on growth, yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int J Res Agron. 2025;8(1):306-10.