



E-ISSN: 2663-1075  
P-ISSN: 2663-1067  
IJHFS 2019; 1(1): 13-16  
Received: 15-11-2018  
Accepted: 18-12-2018

**Kamlesh Ahirwar**  
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi  
Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi  
Vigyan Kendra Chhatarpur  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Uttam Kumar Tripathi**  
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi  
Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi  
Vigyan Kendra Chhatarpur  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Rajiv Kumar Singh**  
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi  
Vishwa Vidyalaya, Krishi  
Vigyan Kendra Chhatarpur  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Sant Kumar Namdev Manoj  
Kumar Ahirwar**  
Ph. D. Research Scholar,  
Department of Horticulture,  
Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot  
Gramodaya Vishwa  
Vidyalaya, Chitrakoot,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Rohit Sharma**  
CSIR-CIMAP Lucknow,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Correspondence**  
**Kamlesh Ahirwar**  
Ph. D. Research Scholar,  
Department of Horticulture,  
Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot  
Gramodaya Vishwa  
Vidyalaya, Chitrakoot,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

## Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizers growth on yield and yield attributes character of tomato

**Kamlesh Ahirwar, Uttam Kumar Tripathi, Rajiv Kumar Singh, Manoj Kumar Ahirwar and Rohit Sharma**

### Abstract

The maximum number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster and percentage of fruit set was highest in T<sub>7</sub> (Mixture of all). T<sub>10</sub> (Azotobacter + Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha each as seedling treatment) recorded highest number of flowers per cluster and number of fruits per cluster. While percentage of fruit set did not vary significantly due to biofertilizers. Maximum fruit diameter, fruit length, fruit weight and number of fruits per plant were observed for T<sub>7</sub> (Mixture of all) amongst treatments of micronutrients. Maximum fruit diameter, fruit length, and fruit weight was highest in T<sub>10</sub> (Azotobacter + Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha each as seedling treatment) whereas number fruits per plant were higher in T<sub>8</sub> (Azotobacter @ 1 kg/ha as seedling treatment) amongst treatments of biofertilizers. T<sub>7</sub> (Mixture of all) recorded the highest yield per plant and yield q/ha followed by T<sub>6</sub> (Manganese sulphate (Mn) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray) and T<sub>5</sub> (Ferrus sulphate (Fe) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray). Yield per plant and yield q/ha did not vary significantly due to biofertilizers.

**Keywords:** Yield, yield attributes, biofertilizers, micronutrients

### Introduction

High yield is the ultimate goal in all crops with good qualities and tomato is no exception. Among the various yield increasing factor i.e. variety, time of planting, use of major and minor nutrient, the use of trace elements seems worthwhile to study in connection with tomato cultivation. The micronutrients play an important role as that of major nutrients for increasing production and quality of tomato. The production and productivity of tomato is being adversely affected in different areas due to deficiencies of micronutrients.

The deficiencies of trace elements i.e. zinc, manganese, iron, boron, molybdenum and copper may be prove to be limiting in production of tomato. The method of application of fertilizer is one of the basic factor for getting maximum yield potential. In recent advances in improved agriculture techniques, the foliar application of fertilizer plays a vital role in improving the quantity and quality of horticultural crops especially in tomato crop. Micronutrients are required in minute quantity for increasing growth, yield and quality of tomato, when micronutrients are applied in the field the required quantity of nutrients are utilized by the plants and remaining quantity is fixed in the soil. To over come this problem the foliar application of Micronutrients is one of the efficient method to provide the desired elements in standing crop. The method of foliar spray of Micronutrients is quick and efficient and required less time for assimilation. The foliar sprays are convenient and require less quantity of elements than that of soil application and cheapest method of fertilizer application Zenlorekuzi and Minami (1984) reported that foliar application of micronutrients (Cu, Mn and B) had advantageous effects than soil application.

Fertilizer application also plays a major role for harnessing optimum yields per unit area in tomato crop. At present, chemical fertilizers are in short supply and expensive too. Although these fertilizers contribute a lot in fulfilling the nutrient requirement of tomato but their regular, excessive and unbalanced use may lead to health and ecological hazards, deterioration of physico-chemical properties of the soil and ultimately poor crop yields. The problem of the nutrient drain from the soil is becoming so acute and is beyond the capacity of any single fertilizer to accept the challenge of appropriate nutrient supply. There is a need to enhance the yield without having adverse effects on soil properties. Hence, the present studies were undertaken to judge the effect of Azotobacter and Azospirillum on plant growth, yield and quality of tomato. Carrier based inoculants are used as seedling dipping in Azotobacter and Azospirillum.

## Material and Method

The experiment was conducted to study the effect of Micronutrients and Biofertilizers on yield and yield attributes in Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) variety "JT-99" on farmers field of Panagar, Jabalpur (M.P.). During rabi season 2008-09 and 2009-10. Soil

analysis was done to know the present status of micronutrients of the experimental area. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eleven treatments including control replicated three times.

The details of treatments are given below:

**Table 1:** Details of the treatments

S. No.	Symbol	Treatments
1.	T <sub>0</sub>	Control
2.	T <sub>1</sub>	Boric acid (B) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray
3.	T <sub>2</sub>	Zinc sulphate (Zn) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray
4.	T <sub>3</sub>	Ammonium molybdate (Mo) @ 50 ppm as foliar spray
5.	T <sub>4</sub>	Copper sulphate (Cu) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray
6.	T <sub>5</sub>	Ferrus sulphate (Fe) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray
7.	T <sub>6</sub>	Manganese sulphate (Mn) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray
8.	T <sub>7</sub>	Mixture of all
9.	T <sub>8</sub>	Azotobacter @ 1 kg/ha as seedling treatment
10.	T <sub>9</sub>	Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha as seedling treatment
11.	T <sub>10</sub>	Azotobacter + Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha each as seedling treatment

At the time of field preparation farm yard manure was applied @ 200 quintal/ha before second harrowing. N: P: K was applied @ 120 kg N<sub>2</sub>, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare. Phosphorus and potash were given as basal dose at the time of planting, whereas, half of the nitrogen was applied as basal dose and remaining half quantity in two split doses at 25 days and 50 days after transplanting. 100gm of biofertilizers dissolved in one liter of water and root was dipped for 15 minutes. The seedlings root were dipped before transplanting in both biofertilizer Azotobacter and Azospirillum separately as @ 1 kg/ha and in mixture of both biofertilizers (Azotobacter + Azospirillum) ½ parts of both biofertilizer were collected in separate open pan. Plant protection measures were adopted as per recommendation. Three sprays of micronutrient were given at an interval of 10 days starting from 30 days after transplanting. In control no micronutrient and biofertilizers was applied. The observation recorded were flowers per cluster, fruits per cluster, percentage of fruit set, fruit diameter, fruit length, fruit weight, fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, and fruit yield per hectare.

## Result and Discussion

It was observed that the application of micronutrients resulted not only in better vegetative growth but also exhibited higher number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster and percentage of fruit set. It is obvious from the data presented in Table 5.3 that all the micronutrients possessed higher number of flowers per

cluster, number of fruits per cluster and percentage of fruit set as compared to control.

Data presented in Table elucidates that T<sub>7</sub> had highest number of flowers per cluster (5.33) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (5.19) whereas it was lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (4.27). Amongst the micronutrients the minimum effect of copper was noticed on this trait (4.85) followed by boron (4.90) which were at par. Zinc and iron also had almost similar effect on this trait (5.04 and 5.05 respectively) while molybdenum proved better (5.11) than zinc and iron.

As regards the number of fruits per cluster T<sub>7</sub> (4.06) was found to be significantly superior to all other treatments. T<sub>3</sub> (3.60), T<sub>5</sub> (3.61) and T<sub>6</sub> were found at par with each other but better than T<sub>1</sub> (3.25), T<sub>2</sub> (3.44) and T<sub>4</sub> (3.27). On the other hand in control only 2.77 fruits per cluster were noted. In respect to percentage of fruit set it was recorded that T<sub>7</sub> gave the highest percentage of fruit set (76.26%) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (71.46%) and T<sub>3</sub> (70.33%). However amongst the micronutrients minimum percentage of fruit set was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (66.31%) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (67.37%), T<sub>2</sub> (68.31%) and T<sub>6</sub> (68.87%). Although all the treatments exhibited greater percentage of fruit set as compared to control.

Increase in flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster and percentage of fruit set may be probably because of role of boron and zinc which they play in flowering. Boron increases RNA and DNA contents in reproductive tissues. The pollen germinates properly which leads to fruit setting.

**Table 2:** Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizers on yield and yield attributes of tomato

Treatments	Flowers/cluster	Fruits/cluster	% of fruit set	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit weight (g)	No. of fruits/plant	Yield /plant (Kg)	Yield (q/ha)
T <sub>0</sub> Control	4.27	2.77	65.03	4.40	4.31	41.78	16.01	0.98	209.82
T <sub>1</sub> Boric acid (B) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray	4.90	3.25	66.31	5.01	5.05	51.55	20.10	1.23	257.39
T <sub>2</sub> Zinc sulphate (Zn) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray	5.04	3.44	68.31	4.67	4.96	52.47	19.90	1.13	220.84
T <sub>3</sub> Ammonium molybdate (Mo) @ 50 ppm as foliar spray	5.11	3.60	70.33	4.98	5.08	54.47	20.95	1.20	242.29
T <sub>4</sub> Copper sulphate (Cu) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray	4.85	3.27	67.37	5.04	5.00	58.25	20.90	1.22	250.55
T <sub>5</sub> Ferrus sulphate (Fe) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray	5.05	3.61	71.46	5.06	5.23	51.29	21.48	1.29	270.58
T <sub>6</sub> Manganese sulphate (Mn) @ 100 ppm as foliar spray	5.19	3.58	68.87	5.26	5.22	45.08	20.25	1.32	272.73
T <sub>7</sub> Mixture of all	5.33	4.06	76.26	6.21	6.28	67.62	24.66	1.43	288.77
T <sub>8</sub> Azotobacter @ 1 kg/ha as seedling treatment	4.79	3.39	70.95	5.48	5.13	52.03	22.18	1.28	274.11
T <sub>9</sub> Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha as seedling treatment	4.77	3.38	70.82	5.46	5.26	53.18	21.48	1.27	275.96
T <sub>10</sub> Azotobacter + Azospirillum @ 1 kg/ha each as seedling treatment	5.02	3.54	70.69	5.72	5.71	54.70	21.93	1.24	275.50
SEm±	0.02	0.03	0.66	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.74	0.01	1.19
C.D. 5%	0.06	0.10	1.97	0.21	0.19	0.53	0.25	0.05	3.54

Dhanasekaran and bhuvanewari (2005) <sup>[3]</sup> found highest number of flowers per cluster and highest fruit set with combined application of humic acid, NAA and micronutrient mixture. The respective maximum and minimum number of flowers per cluster was noted in T<sub>10</sub> (5.02) and control (4.27). T<sub>8</sub> (4.79) and T<sub>9</sub> (4.77) were found at par regarding this trait. Similarly number of fruits per cluster were also recorded highest in T<sub>10</sub> (3.4) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (3.39) and T<sub>9</sub> (3.38), whereas under control 2.77 fruits per cluster were observed. All treatments of biofertilizers did not exhibit any differences amongst them for percentage of fruit set, although effect of biofertilizers was found significant over control. Application of Azotobacter + Azospirillum increases the microbial activity in root zone which ultimately enhances the nutrient uptake better nitrogen fixation and results in better root system development and possible synthesis of plant growth hormones. Thus better development of plant and phenological parameters takes place. Similar findings of biofertilizers on phenological characters have also been reported by Sudhakar and Purushottam (2008) <sup>[8]</sup>.

A perusal of data clearly indicates that T<sub>7</sub> exhibited maximum fruit diameter (6.21 cm) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (5.26 cm). T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> were at par. On the other hand the lowest fruit diameter was exhibited by control (4.40 cm) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (4.67 cm) and T<sub>3</sub> (4.98 cm). Similarly fruit length was also highest in T<sub>7</sub> (6.28 cm) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (5.23 cm) and T<sub>6</sub> (5.22 cm) which was significantly maximum than recorded in control (4.31 cm). All the micronutrients had significant effect on fruit weight. The maximum fruit weight was noticed under T<sub>7</sub> (67.62 g) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (58.25 g) and T<sub>3</sub> (54.47 g). T<sub>6</sub> exhibited the minimum effect (45.08 g) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (51.29 g) and T<sub>2</sub> (51.55 g). Similar to the fruit weight maximum number of fruits per plant were also noted for T<sub>7</sub> (24.66) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (21.48), T<sub>3</sub> (20.95) and T<sub>4</sub> (20.90) had almost similar effect on fruits per plant. The minimum effect T<sub>2</sub> (19.90) was noticed on this attribute. T<sub>1</sub> (20.10) and T<sub>6</sub> (20.25) were also found to be at par but had more number of fruits per plant than control and T<sub>2</sub>.

In present investigation maximum fruit diameter, fruit length and fruit weight was found in T<sub>7</sub> i.e. mixture of all micronutrients which is probably because boron along with other micronutrients not only affect the formation and accumulation of different fraction of carbohydrate but also affect the normal physiological and metabolic process of the plant. Combination of micronutrients particularly boron increase the level of sugar on stigma of flower which helped in fruit set due to the better pollen germination and pollen tube growth. These results are in agreement with the findings of Tanaka *et al.* (2003), Dhanasekaran and Bhuvanewari (2005) <sup>[3]</sup>.

Effect of micronutrients on yield per plant is obvious from the data presented in Table 5.4. As noticed for yield attributes T<sub>7</sub> had maximum effect on yield per plant also (1.43 Kg) and proved to be significantly superior to other treatments of micronutrients. T<sub>6</sub> (1.32 Kg) ranked second for yield per plant followed by T<sub>5</sub> (1.29), T<sub>1</sub> (1.23 Kg), T<sub>3</sub> (1.20 Kg) and T<sub>4</sub> (1.22 Kg) were found at par. T<sub>2</sub> exhibited the minimum effect on yield per plant (1.13 Kg). Similarly maximum yield of 288.77 q/ha was noted for T<sub>7</sub> which was significantly higher than all treatments followed by T<sub>6</sub> (272.73 q/ha) and T<sub>5</sub> (270.58 q/ha). T<sub>2</sub> exhibited the minimum effect on yield (220.84 q/ha) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (242.29 q/ha), T<sub>4</sub> (250.55 q/ha) and T<sub>1</sub> (257.39 q/ha). These

findings are in conformity with those of Devis *et al.* (2003) <sup>[2]</sup>, Alexander *et al.* (2004) <sup>[1]</sup>, Kumaresan and Kalamani (2004) <sup>[5]</sup>.

All the treatments of biofertilizers had significant effect on yield and yield components as compared to control. Fruit diameter increased considerably due to use of biofertilizers. The respective maximum and minimum fruit diameter was recorded for T<sub>10</sub> (5.72 cm) and T<sub>0</sub> (4.40 cm). T<sub>8</sub> (5.48 cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (5.46 cm) were found to be at par but significantly superior to control and significantly inferior to T<sub>10</sub>. Similarly fruit length was also higher in T<sub>10</sub> (5.71 cm) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (5.26 cm). T<sub>8</sub> (5.13 cm) exhibited minimum effect on fruit length. Fruit weight increased significantly due to biofertilizers. The maximum fruit weight was observed under T<sub>10</sub> (54.70g) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (53.18g), whereas T<sub>8</sub> (52.03g) had minimum effect on it. Number of fruits per plant also increased appreciably due to biofertilizers. The maximum fruits per plant were noted for T<sub>8</sub> (22.18) followed by T<sub>10</sub> (21.93) and T<sub>9</sub> (21.48). A considerable increase was observed in yield per plant and yield q/ha due to use of biofertilizers over control. But the effect of all the treatments of biofertilizers did not differ significantly for these parameters of study.

In present investigation highest yield was obtained with use of biofertilizers which is probably due to better plant stand and direct contribution of biofertilizers in improving the fertility condition of the soil because of bacterial activity. The increase in yield might be attributed to increased availability of nitrogen and phosphorus as well as accumulation of plant hormones synthesized by biofertilizers which in turn might have increased carbohydrate supply and nutrient mobility by improving better root activity, leaf area, chlorophyll content and photosynthetic rate in addition to increasing hormonal levels in the active sinks viz; flowers fruits etc.

## References

- Alexander M, Srikantha I, Yogesh Kumar M, Natesan S, Mithyantha MS. Effect of application of boron and calcium on yield and shelf life of tomato. IFA International Symposium on micronutrients. 23-25 February, New Delhi, India, 2004.
- Davis JM, Sanders DC, Nelson PV, Lengnick L, Sperry WJ. Boron improves growth, yield, quality and nutrient content of tomato. *J Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 2003; 128(3):441-446.
- Dhanasekaran K, Bhuvanewari R. Effect of nutrient enriched humic acid on the growth and yield of tomato. *International J. Agric. Sci.* 2005; 1:80-83.
- Hamsaveni MR, Kurdikeri MB, Shekorgouda M, Shashidhara SD, Dharmatti PR. Effect of gypsum and boron on seed yield and quality of tomato cv. Megha. *Karnataka Jour. Agric. Sci.* 2003; 16(3):457-459.
- Kumarasen KR, Kalamani R. Effect of copper and iron on the yield and quality of tomato (var. PKM-1), IFA International symposium on micronutrients. 23-25 February, New Delhi, India, 2004.
- Sengupta SK, Dwivedi YC, Kushwah SS. Response of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) to bioinoculants at different levels of nitrogen. *Veg. Sci.* 2002; 29(2):186-188.
- Singh Makhani, Batra VK, Bhatia AK, Singh Virender, Arora SK. Response of foliar application of micronutrients on tomato variety – Hissar Arun. *Veg.*

- Sci. 2003; 30(2):182-184.
8. Sudhakar PS, Purushotham K. Studies on the effects of biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato, The Orissa J of Hort. 2008; 36(2):93-97