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Abstract

Soil acidity is now a serious threat to barley production in most high lands of Ethiopia. Three hundred twenty (320) barley genotypes were evaluated in 2017 main-season, at Holeta Agricultural Research Center using 20x16 Alpha Lattice design under two-soil conditions (limed and unlimed). The objectives of the study were to evaluate the genetic variability among barley genotypes for soil acidity tolerance using multivariate analysis. Barley genotypes were classified into thirteen, sixteen and twelve clusters under unlimed and limed soil condition and by stress index cluster analysis, respectively. Principal component analysis exhibited 81% and 78% of total variation under unlimed and limed soil condition respectively. Phenotypic diversity index was very high for ear attitude, kernel row number and Kernel color and comparatively low for spike density.
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Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the most important cereal crop in Ethiopia, with productivity of 2.53 ton ha⁻¹ [1]. It is an important crop grown in diverse agro-ecology from 1,500 to 3,500m altitude for many purpose in different seasons and production systems and a common food grain, especially for highlands of Ethiopia [2, 3].

Soil acidity is one of the most important constraints in barley production, mainly on Nitisols or Oxisols, of the Ethiopian highlands where the rainfall intensity is high and crop cultivation has been carried out for centuries [4, 5]. Barley is considered to be more sensitive to acidic soils than rye, oat, rice and wheat [6]. Among cultivated cereals, barley has several accessions preserved in the Ethiopian gene bank with more than 15, 300 collections. This is approximately 23% of the total landraces conserved in the gene bank of the country [7]. The large diversity in the Ethiopian barley landraces could be due to the diversity in soils, climate, altitude and topography together with geographical isolation for long periods [8]. Barley in gene bank serves as a reservoir of potentially useful genes for many purposes, including breeding for resistance to diseases, pests and other environmental stresses, as well as for traits that increase yield or food quality [9]. Most of acid tolerant crop varieties are usually obtained from highly acidic soils of the world. The most likely reasons for such associations are natural selection and adaptation or human selection by early agriculturalists. Hence, evaluation of germplasm collected from acid soil areas was considered as the logical and appropriate entry strategy in acid tolerance breeding [10].

Estimating genetic diversity and determining the relationship between the germplasm collections enhance efficient collection management and genetic improvement [11]. Multivariate statistical techniques are used by geneticists to estimate genetic diversity among cultivars within a crop under the presumption that cultivars within groups are genetically related whereas diverse cultivars are classified into different groups. The premise was that genotypes from different geographical regions would exhibit genetically diverse due to mutation, genetic drift and selection [12]. Hence, this study was done with the objective to evaluate the genetic variability among barley genotypes for soil acidity tolerance using multivariate analysis.
Materials and Methods

Description of the Study Area
The experiment was conducted at Holetta Agricultural Research Centre, which was located at 9°00’N, 38° 30’E at an altitude of 2400 m above sea level. It is 29 km away from Addis Ababa on the road to Ambo. Holetta Agricultural Research Centre had mean annual rainfall of 1044mm, mean relative humidity of 60.6%, and mean maximum and minimum temperature of 22.10°C and 6.20°C, respectively. The soil of the experimental field is clay classified as,

Nitosol, which was characterized with pH of 4.58 and exchangeable acidity 2.50cmol/kg for unlimed experiment (HARC, 2017 Soil lab result).

Experimental Materials
A total of 320 barley genotypes including 27 released varieties and 293 pure lines collected from the representative acid soils in different Zones of Ethiopia (Table 1). The materials with their passport data were obtained from Holetta Agricultural Research Centre.

<p>| Table 1: Zones, altitude ranges and number of accessions of the collected barley germplasm used for the study |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone of collection</th>
<th>Class I &lt;2000</th>
<th>Class II 2001-2500</th>
<th>Class III 2501-3000</th>
<th>Class IV &gt;3000</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arssi</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South wello</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigray</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shekicho</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastsherege</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EastShewa</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EastWellega</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigray</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Omo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shewa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released varieties</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soil Sample Collection, Chemical Analysis and Lime Application Procedures
Random soil samples were taken at a depth of 0-20 cm using a zigzag sampling pattern from experimental field before sowing and after harvest [13]. The collected samples were immediately air-dried and sieved to separate the roots and other unwanted materials from the soil and all samples were combined. Finally, composite sample was submitted for laboratory analysis. Soil pH was measured potentiometrically with a digital pH meter in the supernatant suspension of 1:2.5 soils to water ratio [14]. Exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K and Na) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) were determined after leaching the soil samples with 1 M ammonium acetate solution at pH 7 [15]. Exchangeable acidity (Al + H) was determined by saturating the soil samples with 1M KCl solution and titrated with 0.02 M NaOH [16].

Before sowing the acid soil was ameliorated by lime (CaCO3), to raise soil pH from acidic conditions to a target level that was optimized for the plant growth [17]. The amount of lime required was calculated based on the formula of [18].

\[
LR, \text{ CaCO}_3 (\text{kg/ha}) = \frac{\text{ EA (cmol/kg of soil)} \times 0.15m \times 104 m^2 \times \text{ B.D (Mg/m^3)} \times 1000}{2000 \text{ cmol/kg}}
\]

Where EA= exchangeable acidity, expressed in cmol/kg of soil, 0.15 m plowing depth.

Total volume of hectares of soil = area (10000m²)×Depth (0.15m), B.D = bulk density taken as 1.1 g/cm³ for loam soil textural class. Then multiplied by a crop coefficient factor for soil acidity which is 2.0 = for Al-sensitive crops (barley belongs to these groups).

Experimental Design and Procedures
The study was conducted on two soil acidity conditions (unlimed and limed soils) as two separate experiments laid out in 20x16 Alpha Lattice Design with two replications for each experiment. Plots consisted of four rows each 2.5 m long by 0.8m width (2m²). Each plot had 0.2m spacing between rows. The spacing between plots, blocks in each replication and between replications were 0.5m, 1.0m and 1.0m respectively. The seed rate was 85kg/ha¹ and fertilizers were applied during planting in the form of Urea and Diamonium phosphate (DAP) at the rate of 41 and 46kg/ha one respectively. The experiment was planted on the first week of July in 2017. All field management practices were handled as per the recommendation for barley production.

Data collection
Crop phonology like days to emergence (DTE), days to heading (DTH) and days to maturity (DTM) were counted from date of planting to 50% seedling emergence and from date of emergence to 50% heading and 75% physiological maturity of plants in each plot respectively. The average plant height (PH) was measured from the ground to the tip of spikes of five main plants of the two middle rows of each plot. Disease scoring on barley leaf scale and net blotch...
Sodium (15 mg/kg) was determined from five random plants of the middle rows of each plot. After harvesting, indiscriminately counted thousand kernels weight (TKW) from each plot were weighted and adjusted to 12.5% standard grain moisture content of cereals, while hectolitre weight (HLW) was measured after drying the grain of each plot up to 12.5% moisture content. The total above ground biomass yield (BY) harvested from the middle two rows of each plot was dried out for some days under sun and then weighted. The grain yield (GY) was harvested from the middle two rows of each plot and adjusted to the standard grain moisture content (12.5%). Stress susceptibility index (SSI) was calculating for each genotype using the formula developed by 20 and Stress tolerance index (STI) was calculated for each genotype using the formula developed by 21. Qualitative traits (ear attitude, kernel row number, kernel color, spike density) were collected according to descriptors for barley 22.

Statistical Procedures
Cluster Analysis
Multivariate analysis computes two or more variables at a time. For this purpose the data will be standardized to mean of zero and a variance of one. Three hundred twenty genotypes and seventeen regions of origin were grouped into respective classes. The values of pseudo F statistic (PSF) and Hotellin’s pseudo T2 statistic were used for defining the optimum number of clusters. Hierarchical cluster analysis was computed using the PROC CLUSTER Procedure SAS Version 9.1.3 23. Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Average linkage (UWPGMA) was employed. The results of the cluster analysis were presented in the form of a dendrogram to depict the degree of similarity and interrelationships among regions and genotypes.

Principal component analysis
The principal component analysis (PCA) was computed to reduce the number of variables in to a few correlated components that can explain much of the variability. It was performed using the correlation matrix to define the patterns of variation among genotypes based on the mean of quantitative characters. It also helps to identify characters that load the most in explaining the observed variation. The PROC PRINCOMP Procedure of SAS Version 9.1.3 23 was used for principal component analysis.

Estimate of diversity index
The Shannon-Weaver diversity index (H’) was used to compute the phenotypic frequencies and to assess the phenotypic diversity for each character for all accessions. It is used in genetic resource studies as a convenient measure of both richness and evenness using quantitative data. It was computed using the phenotypic frequencies to assess the overall phenotypic diversity for each trait by zones and altitude ranges.

\[ H = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln(p_i) \]

Where: \( H' \) = standardized relative diversity index, \( n \) = is the number of phenotypic classes per characters
\( p_i = \) is the proportion of the total number of entries in the \( i^{th} \) class, \( \ln = \) natural logarithm

Results and Discussion
Effect of Lime Application on Soil Acidity Related Chemical Properties of the Soil
The soil chemical analysis results after harvest for some chemical properties are presented in Table 2. The soil acidity changed from strongly acidic to slightly acidic classes and the deficiency of certain plant nutrients were observed. The application of lime raised the soil pH to 6.24 and dropped exchangeable acidity from 1.71 to 0.21 (cmol/kg) under unlimed and limed, respectively.

The organic carbon (OC) content was 1.29 and 1.54 % under unlimed and limed soil which is medium according to 24 who categorized OC content as very low (<0.06%), low (0.60–1.25%) and medium (1.26–2.50%). This have an impact on the availability of organic matter content in the soil. The values for total nitrogen (N) were 0.13 and 0.16% under limed and unlimed soil. According to 25, these values were rated as low. The available phosphorus (P) was 12.68 and 17.89 mg/kg under unlimed and limed soil, respectively. The available P categorized as high (> 50 mg/kg), as medium (15 – 50 mg/kg) and as low (< 15 mg/kg) 22. Based on this classification, available P of limed soil was grouped as medium and unlimed as low.

The Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) was 21.98 and 24.99 (cmol/kg) under unlimed and limed soil. According to 25, soils had optimum CEC values. Liming also affected exchangeable Al, exchangeable bases (Ca, Na, Mg and K), Available Micronutrient (Zn, Fe and Mn) (Table 2). This result was in agreement with the result of 26 that indicated an increase in the exchangeable bases as a result of lime application to soils. Reclaiming acid soils by liming had significant effect on selected soil chemical properties of soil 27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil properties</th>
<th>Limed soil</th>
<th>Un limed soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH(H2O 1:2.5)</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (%)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available phosphorus (mg/kg soil)</td>
<td>17.89</td>
<td>12.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation exchangeable Capacity (cmol/(+)/kg)</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>21.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable Al (meq/100g soil)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable Ca (cmol(+)/kg)</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable Mg (cmol(+)/kg)</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable Na (cmol(+)/kg)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable K (cmol(+)/kg)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro nutrient Zn(ppm)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro nutrient Fe(ppm)</td>
<td>146.18</td>
<td>224.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro nutrient Mn(ppm)</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>55.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cluster analysis for Genotypes under limed and unlimed soil
Cluster mean analysis was used to compare and classify the observed trait variation in the genotypes. Barley genotypes collected from wide eco-geographic range of the country had best adaptation to soil acidity [38]. Based on various phenotypic data, barley genotypes were grouped by cluster analysis on the basis of Euclidean distances of dissimilarity to their distinct groups under unlimed and limed soil conditions (Table 3 and 5).

Under unlimed soil condition, barley genotypes were classified into thirteen clusters (Table 3). Numbers of genotypes per cluster varied from one hundred eighty nine genotypes in cluster I to 1 genotypes in cluster XIII. Within cluster trait means (Table 7) and percent of genotypes in each cluster were shown in (Table 3, Figure 1). Cluster I hold 59.06% of the total experimental materials. Genotypes grouped under cluster I were scattered along all regions and more at altitude group between (2001 and 3000m.a.s.l). Majority of landraces were collected from zones North Shawa, East Tigray, South Tigray, North omo, South wello, Arssi and one released variety, whereas cluster II and III contained the second and third large number of barley genotypes, each of these cluster constitute eight released varieties and different number of landraces collected from different part of Ethiopia (Table 4). Furthermore cluster I has been characterized by early flowering and maturing, highly susceptible to scald and moderately susceptible for net blotch diseases, relatively lower number of fertile tillers per plant, relatively the lightest thousand seed weight, intermediate plant height, relatively shorter spike length, relatively lower grain yield and biomass yield as compared to grand mean of genotypes. Cluster II include eighty three genotypes and characterized by intermediate flowering and maturing date, moderately susceptible to scald and net blotch diseases, relatively lower number of fertile tillers per plant, relatively longer spike length, intermediate plant height, higher number of kernel per spike, relatively the higher thousand seed weight, hectolitre weight, grain yield per hectare and relatively higher biomass yield per hectare as compared to grand mean of genotypes. Most of these landraces were collected at an altitude group between (2001 and 3000m.a.s.l) and all zones of collections except East Harerage, East Shewa and East Tigray. Relatively genotypes better in almost all performance were grouped under cluster IX which contribute 1.56% to the population these were Miscal-21, Travller, EH 1847, HB 1964 and Ibon174/03 (Table 4).

Fig 1: Dendrogram of barley genotypes under unlimed soil revealed by UPGMA cluster analysis based on 14 quantitative traits

Table 3: Clustering pattern of 320 Barley genotypes under unlimed soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Genotypes number</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 22 29 30 38 39 40 41 44 45 46 47 48 49</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>59.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50 51 53 57 58 59 60 62 63 65 66 68 69 70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71 72 73 74 76 79 83 84 90 91 92 96 101 102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104 105 106 107 108 109 110 112 113 114 115 116 117 118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119 121 126 129 130 133 138 140 141 142 143 145 146 147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149 150 151 152 153 155 158 159 161 162 163 165 166 167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168 169 170 171 172 173 174 176 178 179 180 184 185 186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>190 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 203 205 206 207 208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 223 224 226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>227 228 229 230 232 234 235 236 237 238 244 246 248 250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>251 252 253 254 255 256 258 259 260 261 262 264 265 266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>267 268 269 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 281 283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>286 287 288 290 291 293 316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34 35 36 37 42 54 55 56 61 67 75 77 78 80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>25.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>81 82 83 85 86 88 89 94 95 98 99 100 103 111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Under limed soil conditions, barley genotypes were assigned to sixteen clusters (Table 5). Numbers of genotypes per cluster varied from One hundred fifteen genotypes in cluster I to two genotypes in cluster XVI. Cluster means (Table 7) and percent of populations in each cluster were shown in (Table 5, Figure 2). One hundred fifteen genotypes were found in cluster I, which was 35.93% of the total experimental materials. Landraces grouped under cluster I were scattered along the major ridge between (2001 and 3000 m.a.s.l). This cluster containing the majority of landrace from zones of Arssi, North Shewa, East Tigray and South Tigray and released varieties, followed by cluster VIII and VI (Table 6). Furthermore cluster I had been characterized by early flowering and maturing, highly susceptible to scald and moderately susceptible for net blotch diseases, relatively lower number of fertile tillers per plant, relatively higher thousand seed weight, intermediate plant height, relatively shorter spike length, relatively lower grain yield and biomass yield as compared to grand mean of genotypes. Cluster VIII include forty nine genotypes which accounts 15.31% of the population and characterized by genotypes which had early flowering and maturing, relatively lower number of fertile tillers per plant, shorter plant height and spike length, higher kernel per spike, lightest thousand seed weight, relatively the lower hectarities weight, grain yield and biomass yield as compared to grand mean of genotypes. Most of these genotypes were collected from altitude group between (2001 and 3000 m.a.s.l) and zones except Agew Awi, Hadya, East Shewa and Keficho Shekicho. On the other hand genotypes better in almost all trait performance were grouped under cluster V which contributes 9.06% to the population (twenty nine genotypes). These had intermediate flowering and maturing date, relatively higher thousand seed weight, relatively the lower grain yield and biomass yield as compared to grand mean of genotypes.

Table 4: Distribution of barley genotypes under unlimed soil over thirteen clusters by eighteen zones of origin and four altitude groups based on 14 quantitative traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arssi</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondar</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South wello</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigray</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shekicho</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East harge</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Shewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wellega</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigray</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Omo</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released varieties</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of population</td>
<td>59.06</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Summary of principal component analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Altitude Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2501-3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 188 75 15 4 5 1 2 3 1 293
mean of genotypes. Most of these landrace were collected at an altitude group of (2501-3000m.a.s.l) and zones except South Tigray, Hadya, East Harerge and East Shewa. The result above showed that number of cluster under limed is greater than number of cluster under un limed soil these was due to under unlimed soil genotypes were exposed to nutritional toxicity and deficiency that found in growing soil environments. Soil acidity could prevent barley genotypes from expressing its maximum genetic potential and plant responses affected by the stresses. Under acidic stress large number of genotypes found under similar groups owing to little variation on their quantitative traits as a result of stress. Under both unlimed and limed soil condition cluster I had larger number experimental materials which account 59.06% and 35.94%, respectively. Similarly much of the material from Arssi, South Tigray, East Tigray and North Shewa had greater contribution to cluster I but the number of genotypes under un limed was larger than that of limed soil condition. Comparatively small numbers of released varieties were found under both unlimed and limed soil condition but relatively greater number found under limed soil condition this implied that landraces ecological amplitudes may exceed those of the varieties derived from them in terms of evolution and adaptation to change in agricultural systems under specific cultural and environmental stresses [29].

Based on the altitudinal clustering under both unlimed and limed soil condition cluster I had larger number experimental materials which account 64.16% and 37.88% respectively for total population. Under both soil conditions much of the materials grouped under altitudinal range between 2000-3000 m.a.s.l. similar results were indicated that landraces ecological amplitude may exceed those of the varieties derived from them [29]. Abiotic stress factors could prevent the plant from expressing its maximum genetic potential [30]. Altitude range between 2000-3000 m.a.s.l. was affected by soil acidity and barley genotypes collected from these areas were grouped to gather in response to stress than normal growing environments [31].

---

**Fig 2:** Dendrogram of barley genotypes under limed soil revealed by UPGMA cluster analysis based on 14 quantitative traits

**Table 5:** Clustering pattern of 320 Barley genotypes under limed soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>35.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 30 33 39 40 41 45 48 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52 54 59 64 68 69 70 72 73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74 84 96 97 104 105 107 108 112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114 116 118 119 121 129 130 136 138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140 142 144 147 149 150 151 152 153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>155 162 163 165 166 172 173 174 180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185 186 187 188 189 196 197 200 202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>204 205 207 208 211 213 214 218 222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225 226 227 228 231 232 233 236 237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>246 248 250 253 256 258 260 262 264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>267 271 272 273 274 276 277 278 279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>280 281 292 300 306 307 317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3 19 117 143 190 230 286</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4 61 111 137 192 284</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>5 28 88 99 270 297</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>6 7 11 14 23 26 27 31 32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57 95 98 103 110 120 122 123 127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>139 143 159 193 194 245 304 311 313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>316 318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>12 16 46 51 63 71 77 91 102</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109 115 125 126 134 135 158 161 176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178 184 191 198 221 249 255 269 293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>296 309 315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: Distribution of barley genotypes under limed soil over thirteen clusters by eighteen zones of origin and four altitude groups based on 14 quantitative traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsii</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South wello</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigay</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shekicho</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East harrere</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Shewa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wellega</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigay</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Omo</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shewa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released varieties</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of population</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: The summary of cluster mean of barley genotypes under unlimed (upper) and limed soil condition (lower) for 14 quantitative traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Clusters under unlimed</th>
<th>Clusters under limed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTH</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTM</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHT</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLD</td>
<td>1822.0</td>
<td>3416.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>7053.4</td>
<td>12234.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLW</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cluster analysis for genotypes by SSI and STI

Based on grain yield data under both soil conditions, genotypes were grouped according to their acid susceptible and tolerance index on the basis of Euclidean distances of dissimilarity. Under index cluster analysis, barley genotypes were subdivided into twelve clusters (Figure 3). The greatest number of genotype was found under Cluster VI and I had sixty five and fifty eight genotypes per cluster, respectively and characterized by high susceptibility and low tolerance index (Table 9).

Cluster III, IX, XI, VII and II contained three, one, three, eight, and nine genotypes per cluster and had lower susceptible index of -5.22, -3.45, -2.06, -1.91 and -1.82, respectively for grain yield (Table 8 & 9). Cluster VII and II had genotypes collected from almost all acid soil affected zones of country and released varieties and grouped under attitude groups suitable for barley production, reflecting their higher yields in the unlimed than in the limed environment, indicating that they are less vulnerable to acidic soil stress and hence acid soil tolerant. Cluster V, VIII, and XII had high susceptible index of 3.09, 2.87 and 2.52, indicating that they were highly vulnerable to acid soil stress. These are genotypes with specific adaptation to more favourable environments and they gave higher yield under limed environment, but gave low yields under unlimed environment.

Cluster XII, XI, X, IX, VIII, VII and VI contained one, three, eight, one, eight and sixty five genotypes per cluster and had high tolerance index of 4.43, 3.64, 3.35, 2.81, 2.64, 1.86 and 1.47, respectively, for grain yield, indicating that they could tolerate soil acidity stress (Table 8). The greatest number of genotype was under Cluster VI and VII. Cluster VII had genotypes collected from acid soil affected zones of Bale, South Gondar, South wello, Gurage, West Shewa, North Shewa and realised varieties and grouped under attitude groups suitable for barley production, characterized by low susceptibility and high tolerance index (Table 8, 9, 10).

Table 8: The summary of cluster mean of barley genotypes by stress indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTE = Days to emergence, DTH = Days to heading, DTM = Days to maturity, SC = scald, N.Bloch= Net bloch, FT = Number of fertile tillers per plant(count), SL = Spike length (cm), PHT = Plant height (cm), KPS = Number of kernels per spike (count), YLD= Grain yield (kg/ha), BM= Biomass Yield(kg/ha), HI = Seed harvest index, TKW= Thousand kernel weight (gm), HLW= Hectoliter weight (kg/kl)
Table 9: Clustering pattern of 320 Barley genotypes by stress indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td></td>
<td>282</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Distribution of barley genotypes by stress index over 14 clusters by eighteen zones of origin and four altitude groups based on yield traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td>I 0 2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arssi</td>
<td>II 15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td>III 3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondar</td>
<td>IV 1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South wello</td>
<td>V 2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigray</td>
<td>VI 2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td>VII 2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td>VIII 10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shikicho</td>
<td>IX 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td>X 3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>XI 9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East harerge</td>
<td>XII 8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Shewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wellega</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigray</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North omo</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shewa</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released varieties</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 58 9 129 27 65 8 8 1 8 3 1 27
Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis was performed with the standardized mean values for each of the fourteen quantitative traits used to observe the general pattern for variation of traits and to determine relationships among traits.

Under un limed soil condition, the principal component analysis exhibited variances of 35%, 15%, 10%, 8%, 7% and 6%, for the first six principal components and accounts for about 81% of total variation. The first two principal components (PCA1 and PCA2) contributed about 50.0% of the total variation (Table 11). Characters with relatively larger absolute values of eigenvector weights in principal component had the largest contribution to the variation of the genotypes into clusters, as it was normally assumed that characters with larger absolute values closer to unity within the principal component influence the clustering more than those with lower absolute values closer to zero. Based on the Eigen values and Eigen vectors, it is possible to indicate which traits are mainly responsible to explain the variation.

The first principal component analysis (PCA1) clarified 35% of the variation. Characters with relatively greater positive weights of eigenvectors in PCA1 include biomass yield, days to maturity, days to heading and grain yield had a greater contribution to variation in PCA1. However, the incidence of scald, harvest index, incidence of net blotch and fertile tiller per plant had the least contribution to variation in PCA1. Kernel per spike, days to maturity, days to heading and harvest index had relatively larger positive contribution to the second principal component and traits spike length, fertile tiller per plant, hectolitre weight and plant height had smaller negative eigenvector values contributed least loadings for the second principal component. Besides, spike length, fertile tiller per plant and thousand kernel weights had smaller negative eigenvector values contributed least loadings for the second principal component (Table 11).

Under limed soil condition, the principal component analysis exhibited variances of 31%, 15%, 10%, 8%, 7% and 6%, were extracted for the first six principal components and accounts about 78% of total variation. The first two principal components (PCA1 and PCA2) contributed about 46% of the total variation. Characters with relatively greater positive weight of eigenvectors in PCA1 include biomass yield, days to maturity, days to heading and grain yield had a greater contribution to variation in PCA1. However, the incidence of scald, harvest index, incidence of net blotch and fertile tiller per plant had the least contribution to variation in PCA1. Kernel per spike, days to maturity, days to heading and harvest index had relatively larger positive contribution to the second principal component and traits spike length, fertile tiller per plant, hectolitre weight and plant height had smaller negative eigenvector values contributed least loadings for the second principal component (Table 11). The PCA based on data from stressed and non-stress treatments revealed that PCA1 account for 47.9% of variation and showed the larger loading value of yield related and morphological characters. Traits such as days to heading and days to maturity and seed per spike contributed major variation and traits fertile tiller per plant had least loadings for the first principal component.

Table 11: Eigenvalue, variance, cumulative variance, and eigenvalues for 14 quantitative traits of barley genotypes grown under unlimed (left) and limed soil conditions (right)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unlimed</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Limed</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>PC4</td>
<td>PC5</td>
<td>PC6</td>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>PC4</td>
<td>PC5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTH</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTM</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHT</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLD</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLW</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKW</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigenvalue</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative var (%)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTE = Days to emergence, DTH = Days to heading, DTM = Days to maturity, SC = scald, N.bloch= Net blotch, FT = Number of fertile tillers per plant(count), SL = Spike length (cm), PHT = Plant height (cm), KPS = Number of kernels per spike (count), YLD= Grain yield (kg/ha), BM= Biomass Yield(kg/ha), HI = Seed harvest index, TKW= Thousand kernel weight (gm), HLW= Hectolitre weight (kg/hl)
Diversity Index

Estimates of Shannon Weaver diversity index over zones of origin and altitude groups showed high diversity index for the four qualitative traits studied. Phenotypic diversity was very high for ear Attitude (H' = 1.13), kernel row number (H' = 0.78) and Kernel color (H' = 0.65) and comparatively spike density (H' = 0.53) had low phenotypic diversity (Table 13) at zone of origin. For ear attitude, semi erect (122 genotypes) and semi re-curved (90 genotypes) had larger contribution for phenotypic diversity, contrary erect (22 genotypes) and re-curved (19 genotypes) had lower contribution for phenotypic diversity. Zonal distribution of trait ear attitude showed that North Shewa, Gurage and East Tigray had large number of genotypes with semi erect ear attitude and North Omo, North Shewa and Gurage had large number of genotypes with semi re curved ear attitude (Table 12).

Phenotypic diversity was very high for Ear Attitude (1.32), Kernel color (H' = 0.99) and kernel row number (H' = 0.96), comparatively spike density (H' = 0.65) had low Phenotypic diversity for altitude groups (Table 13). This was due to high ecological heterogeneity of the country, which was favourable condition for barley cultivation. All characters were high in phenotypic diversity over all zones of origin and altitude groups for this study. The same results were reported by Berhane and Alemayehu [36], polymorphism was high for kernel row type (H' = 0.80), spike density (H' = 0.76) and kernel colour (H' = 0.75). Abebe and Bjornstad [37] also had The highest mean diversity index (H) pooled over traits was shown by populations from Arssi and Welega, whereas the lowest is for individual populations from Bale, Shewa, Tigray and Gamu Gofa.

Regional diversity index

Estimate of diversity index (H) pooled over zone of origin showed high phenotypic diversity among four qualitative characters. The mean H' ranged from 0.35 for Keficho Shekicho to 1.06 for Arssi zone. Arssi, Gurage, North Omo, Agew Awi, North Shewa, South Welto, West Shewa, East Gojam, South Gonder and Bale showed greater diversity index followed by Misrak harerge and Keficho Shekicho zones showed lower phenotypic diversity index (Table 13). Among all characters, Ear Attitude shows high polymorphic in all zone of origin except Keficho Shekicho, followed by kernel row number from West Shewa, South Gonder, Bale, Arssi, Gurage, Agew Awi, North Omo, and South Welto, showed high phenotypic diversity index. Genotypes from East Tigray and Hadeya showed lower phenotypic diversity index for kernel row number. Spike density from Gurage, Agew, Awi, East Tigray showed high phenotypic diversity index. Genotypes from East Welega, South Gonder, West Shewa, South Tigray, South Welto, and North Shewa showed lower phenotypic diversity index. Kernel color from Arssi, West Shewa, North Omo, Gurage, North Shewa, South Welto, Hadeya, Misrak Gojam, East Welega and East Tigray showed high phenotypic diversity index. Genotypes from Agew Awi, Bale and released varieties, showed lower phenotypic diversity index. Similarly a previous report found among all characters, kernel row number from Gonder, grain color from Gojam, Shewa, and Wellega, spike density from Arssi and Tigray showed high phenotypic diversity index [38].

Altitudinal diversity index

Altitude groups showed high phenotypic diversity among four qualitative characters. The mean H' pooled over characters for four altitude groups varied from 1.01 for altitude between 2000 and 3000 to 0.95 for altitudes group greater than 3000 m.a.s.l with total mean value of 0.98 ± 0.14. Altitude groups between 2500-3000 m.a.s (19 genotypes) followed by altitude groups 2001-2500 m.a.s (94 genotypes) had a large number of genotypes with the highest mean diversity index of 1.01 ± 0.14. Ear Attitude and kernel color showed the highest altitudinal diversity index in all altitude and relatively spike density showed lower altitudinal diversity index (Table 13). Similarly reported to mean diversity index for characters increases with altitude reaching a maximum between 2400-2800 m.a.s.l and decreasing beyond that altitude [9, 37, 39]. This indicates high phenotypic diversity in barley was related to high rainfall and lower temperature at high altitudes, which shows barley that is a cool season crop.

According to Bedasa et al [38] difference in altitude gradient and agro ecological setting gave high diversity variation in barley genotypes and found that Kernel row number from altitude group between 2001 and 3000 m.a.s.l, grain color from altitude group 1500-2000 and 2501-3000 m.a.s.l and spike density from altitude group 2501 and 3500 m.a.s.l showed the highest diversity index.

Table 12: Distribution of three qualitative traits under seventeen zone of the country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of Geno</th>
<th>Terrace type</th>
<th>Spike density</th>
<th>Kernel color</th>
<th>Ear Attitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arssi</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gonder</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Welto</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigray</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shekicho</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East harerge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Shewa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wellega</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigray</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North omo</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 13: Estimate of Shannon-Weaver diversity index (H') of Ethiopian barley genotypes for seventeen zone of origins and four altitude groups by four qualitative traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Row type</th>
<th>Spike density</th>
<th>Kernel color</th>
<th>Ear Atitude</th>
<th>Means± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agew Awi</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.92±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arssi</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.06±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bale</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.80±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondar</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.83±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South wello</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.88±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tigray</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.76±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.03±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadya</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.77±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keficho Shekicho</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.35±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shewa</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.87±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.85±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East harerge</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.63±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wellega</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.77±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Tigray</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North omo</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.92±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shewa</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.90±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released variety</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.80±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mean</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.77±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude class</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Row type</td>
<td>Spike density</td>
<td>Kernel color</td>
<td>Ear Atitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2500</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2501-3000</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mean</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.98±0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Soil acidity is now a serious threat to barley production in the most high lands of Ethiopia. The extent of acidity is increased in 2.1% within the past three decades mainly due to increase in continuous cropping and use of acidifying fertilizers in parity with increased in demand for barley production without expansion of the cultivated area. However, the assessment of genetic diversity among barely genotypes using multivariate statistical analysis is indispensable for plant breeding purposes, since it provides selection and screening tolerant genotypes available in germplasm collections.

Results from the field evaluation of barley genotypes under acidic (unlimed) and non-acidic (limed) soil condition demonstrated that there were genetic diversity between genotypes collected from different barley growing acidic prone areas. Better responses of barley phonological and yield components were observed under limed environments. Acidic soil had severe impact on growth, development and genetic diversity of barley genotypes from early seedling emergence to final harvest by depleting soil nutrient and make barley growing Ethiopian highland unproductive.

Although better yield and yield components also observed under acidic environment from tolerant genotypes that gave indication of Ethiopia had wide genetic diversity of barley genotypes that could tolerance to soil acidity stress.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) for its financial support for this research.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References


